

# **EXHIBIT B-1**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) AU:10-CR-00297(1)-LY  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
VS. ) AUSTIN, TEXAS  
)  
DAVID ANDREW DIEHL, )  
)  
Defendant. ) FEBRUARY 7, 2011

\*\*\*\*\*

TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEE YEAKEL

VOLUME 1 OF 2

\*\*\*\*\*

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MATTHEW B. DEVLIN  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
816 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1000  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

FOR THE DEFENDANT: STEPHEN M. ORR  
ORR & OLAVSON  
804 RIO GRANDE  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701

COURT REPORTER: ARLINDA RODRIGUEZ, CSR  
200 WEST 8TH STREET  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701  
(512) 916-5143

Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography, transcript  
produced by computer.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**EXAMINATION INDEX**

SEAN MULLEN	
DIRECT BY MR. DEVLIN	12
CROSS BY MR. ORR	43
REDIRECT BY MR. DEVLIN	48
RECROSS BY MR. ORR	49
KERRY JENKINS	
DIRECT BY MR. DEVLIN	58
CROSS BY MR. ORR	72
KENNETH COURTNEY	
DIRECT BY MR. DEVLIN	87
CROSS BY MR. ORR	115
REDIRECT BY MR. DEVLIN	145
N.A.D.	
DIRECT BY MR. ORR	158

<b>EXHIBIT INDEX</b>				
			OFFD	ADM
1				
2	Government			
3	1	Agreed Stipulation	20	79
4	1-1	Video 3:49	20	22
5	1-1B	Still image	22	22
6	1-1C	Still image	22	22
7	1-1D	Still image	22	22
8	1-1E	Still image	22	22
9	1-1F	Still image	22	22
10	1-1G	Still image	22	22
11	1-1H	Still image	22	24
12	1-1I	\$1 million novelty bill	24	20
13	1-2	Video 3:08	20	23
14	1-2B	Still image	23	23
15	1-2C	Still image	23	23
16	1-2D	Still image	23	23
17	1-2E	Still image	23	23
18	1-2F	Still image	23	20
19	2	Video 1:20	20	25
20	2A	Still image	25	20
21	3	Video 3:04	20	20
22	4-1	Video clip 3:44 (1:54)	20	20
23	5-1	Video segment 6:20	20	20
24	5-2	Video segment 9:38	20	20
25	6	Video 0:34	20	20

1	<b>EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED)</b>			
2			OFFD / ADM	
3	Government			
3	7	Video 5:02	20	27
4	7A	Still image	27	27
5	7B	Still image	27	27
6	7C	Still image	27	27
7	7E	Still image	27	27
8	7G	Still image	27	20
9	8	Video 4:21	20	20
10	9-1	Video 0:26	20	28
11	9-1A	Still image	28	28
12	9-1B	Still image	28	20
13	9-2	Video 0:16	20	20
14	10	Video 0:41	20	30
15	11	Video 40:30	30	33
16	12A	Deed records Feb 1999 purchase	33	34
17	12B	Deed records Nov 2000 sale	34	35
18	12C	Star Ranch records	35	35
19	12C-1	Star Ranch record	35	36
20	12D	Seton medical records - June 2000	36	37
21	12E	TCSO accident report	37	26
22	12F	Sealed document (protected victims)	26	20
23	13	Compilation of all videos under seal	20	7
24	Defendant			
25	1	E-mail from David Andrew Diehl	140	140

09:03:03 1 (Open Court, Defendant present)

09:03:03 2 THE COURT: Case calls for trial *United States v.*  
09:03:07 3 *David Andrew Diehl*, Number A-10-CR-297-LY. I'll hear  
09:03:15 4 announcements first from the Government.

09:03:16 5 MR. DEVLIN: Matthew Devlin for the United States,  
09:03:19 6 Your Honor.

09:03:19 7 THE COURT: Is the Government ready?

09:03:20 8 MR. DEVLIN: Government is ready to proceed.

09:03:22 9 THE COURT: And then for the defense?

09:03:23 10 MR. ORR: Steve Orr for Mr. Diehl, Your Honor. We're  
09:03:25 11 ready.

09:03:26 12 THE COURT: All right. Is the defense ready.

09:03:28 13 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

09:03:28 14 THE COURT: All right. The defendant has been  
09:03:30 15 charged in a second superseding indictment returned October the  
09:03:34 16 19th, 2010 by a grand jury impaneled in the Austin Division in  
09:03:39 17 the Western District of Texas with ten counts of sexual  
09:03:45 18 exploitation of a child and production of child pornography.

09:03:53 19 Does the defendant waive the reading of the  
09:03:55 20 indictment, or does the defendant wish the indictment read?

09:04:00 21 THE DEFENDANT: Waive reading of the indictment,  
09:04:00 22 yes. Yes, sir.

09:04:01 23 THE COURT: Mr. Diehl, you're familiar with the  
09:04:03 24 charges in the indictment?

09:04:04 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:04:05 1 THE COURT: And to such charges, how do you plead?

09:04:08 2 THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

09:04:09 3 THE COURT: All right. Very good.

09:04:10 4 Now, Mr. Orr, you may be seated or you may remain  
09:04:14 5 standing. Mr. Diehl, I have got a couple of questions I want  
09:04:17 6 to ask you. This case by agreement of the parties, by you and  
09:04:22 7 the Government, is scheduled to be a bench trial which means  
09:04:26 8 it's a trial to the Court, to me, and I will take up all  
09:04:32 9 matters, both legal and factual, in this case and determine the  
09:04:36 10 disputed facts in this case and apply the law to them.

09:04:41 11 You have previously signed a waiver of a jury trial.  
09:04:44 12 Do you remember doing that?

09:04:46 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:04:46 14 THE COURT: And I previously accepted that waiver  
09:04:51 15 after my review of it. But at this time I want to ask you:  
09:04:54 16 You voluntarily signed that waiver of jury trial?

09:04:57 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:04:58 18 THE COURT: And you do understand that you have a  
09:05:00 19 right to have a jury hear the charges against you and  
09:05:03 20 deliberate and determine your guilt or innocence; is that  
09:05:08 21 correct?

09:05:08 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:05:09 23 THE COURT: And your lawyer has explained that to  
09:05:10 24 you?

09:05:11 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:05:11 1 THE COURT: Do you continue to desire to waive your  
09:05:13 2 right to trial by jury?

09:05:15 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:05:15 4 THE COURT: And you do that freely and voluntarily?

09:05:18 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:05:18 6 THE COURT: And nobody has promised you anything or  
09:05:20 7 promised you what the outcome of this case may be if you try  
09:05:26 8 the case to the Bench instead of to the jury; is that correct?

09:05:29 9 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

09:05:30 10 THE COURT: And you do understand, then, by waiving a  
09:05:32 11 jury, that I will make all of the decisions in this case; is  
09:05:36 12 that correct?

09:05:36 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:05:37 14 THE COURT: All right. Then the Court reaffirms the  
09:05:40 15 acceptance of your waiver of trial by jury.

09:05:43 16 There further has been an agreed stipulation of facts  
09:05:48 17 and evidence filed in this case which is signed by the attorney  
09:05:54 18 for the Government, Mr. Devlin, and by your attorney, Mr. Orr,  
09:06:00 19 and by you. Are you familiar with that stipulation of facts  
09:06:03 20 and evidence?

09:06:04 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:06:04 22 MR. DEVLIN: And, Judge, if I may, may I go ahead at  
09:06:08 23 this time move to admit Government Exhibit 1, which is the  
09:06:10 24 agreed stipulation of facts of evidence and have you cover what  
09:06:13 25 you need to about that?

09:06:14 1 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, any objection to Government's  
09:06:15 2 Exhibit Number 1.

09:06:17 3 MR. ORR: No, Your Honor. But I think we need to  
09:06:19 4 make it clear on the record that that exhibit applies only for  
09:06:23 5 this proceeding today; that we have specifically not agreed  
09:06:28 6 that it may be used in any other proceeding in state or federal  
09:06:33 7 court.

09:06:33 8 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit  
09:06:35 9 Number 1, which is the Agreed Stipulation of Facts and  
09:06:39 10 Evidence, which was signed by the Government and by the  
09:06:44 11 defendant and by the attorney for the defendant on January  
09:06:49 12 27th, 2011 and filed January 31st, 2011.

09:06:53 13 The Court has previously reviewed that agreed  
09:06:58 14 stipulation when it was filed, and I'm familiar with the  
09:07:01 15 statements made in there. And I do note that the parties agree  
09:07:05 16 and stipulate that the stipulation and the facts contained in  
09:07:10 17 the stipulation are to be used solely for purposes of this  
09:07:14 18 trial that we have commenced this morning and for no other  
09:07:18 19 purpose. And the Court accepts it in that vein.

09:07:22 20 However, Mr. Diehl, I wish to advise you that  
09:07:27 21 although I accept it and confirm and affirm that that is my  
09:07:31 22 understanding of the stipulation, there is always a possibility  
09:07:37 23 that some other judge, if there are any other cases that are  
09:07:42 24 brought, might look at that. And at that point, you and your  
09:07:48 25 attorney may need to argue as to what you stipulated to.

09:07:54 1 So although I accept this stipulation solely for the  
09:07:58 2 purposes of this trial today, it is not a guarantee that it  
09:08:05 3 could not be used somewhere else. It would just be against my  
09:08:09 4 wishes. Do you understand that?

09:08:10 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

09:08:11 6 THE COURT: And you would have an opportunity to  
09:08:14 7 object to it in any later trial or any later proceeding. Do  
09:08:19 8 you understand that?

09:08:19 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:08:20 10 THE COURT: All right. You have read the agreed  
09:08:22 11 stipulation that you signed; is that correct?

09:08:26 12 THE DEFENDANT: I'm hoping it's the latest version  
09:08:28 13 that I read.

09:08:29 14 THE COURT: Well, let's be certain. Mr. Orr, would  
09:08:31 15 you please take the original that I just admitted into  
09:08:35 16 evidence, and we'll take a couple of minutes and you can read  
09:08:41 17 it.

09:08:41 18 MR. ORR: I'm pretty sure it hasn't been altered  
09:08:45 19 anywhere in the process, but I don't suppose it hurts for him  
09:08:47 20 to take a look at it.

09:08:51 21 And while he's doing that, Your Honor, we do invoke  
09:08:53 22 the Rule.

09:08:54 23 THE COURT: All right. The Rule has been invoked.  
09:08:57 24 Mr. Devlin, is there any -- and Mr. Orr, is there anyone  
09:09:01 25 present here in the courtroom that you intend to use as a

09:09:04 1 witness in this case?

09:09:10 2 MR. DEVLIN: I do have two -- two individuals, two  
09:09:13 3 FBI Special Agents, Sean -- I mean, excuse me -- Jake Baillie  
09:09:17 4 and Scott Jensen, who are here and I would ask that they be  
09:09:20 5 excused from the Rule.

09:09:22 6 MR. ORR: We have no problem with that, Your Honor.  
09:09:24 7 They're case agents.

09:09:25 8 THE COURT: All right. Then I excuse the case agent  
09:09:28 9 from the Rule. Is there anyone else, Mr. Devlin or Mr. Orr,  
09:09:31 10 present in the courtroom that is not a case agent or, in your  
09:09:34 11 case, a party who might be called upon to testify in this case?

09:09:40 12 MR. DEVLIN: No, Your Honor.

09:09:40 13 THE COURT: All right. Then I instruct both of you  
09:09:43 14 to tell anyone that you may call as a witness who is not  
09:09:46 15 present in the courtroom at this time that the Rule has been  
09:09:49 16 invoked; that no witnesses are to talk about this case among  
09:09:53 17 themselves or with any other person except when they're on the  
09:09:57 18 witness stand and testifying in this courtroom; except the  
09:10:00 19 witnesses may discuss the case with the lawyers for either  
09:10:04 20 side. So do you both understand that? Mr. Devlin?

09:10:06 21 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, Your Honor.

09:10:09 22 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

09:10:10 23 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.

09:10:10 24 THE COURT: All right.

09:10:43 25 MR. ORR: Your Honor, Mr. Diehl agrees that it's the

09:10:45 1 same.

09:10:45 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Diehl, that is the agreed  
09:10:47 3 stip -- Government's Exhibit Number 1 is in fact the Agreed  
09:10:51 4 Stipulation of Facts and Evidence which you signed; is that  
09:10:53 5 correct?

09:10:53 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:10:54 7 THE COURT: And you're familiar with that?

09:10:55 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:10:56 9 THE COURT: And you reaffirm that the statements made  
09:11:00 10 and the Agreed Stipulation of Facts and Evidence is true, and  
09:11:03 11 the Court may proceed on the basis of the evidence that is  
09:11:06 12 contained in that stipulation; is that correct?

09:11:08 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

09:11:10 14 THE COURT: And with regard to any witnesses who are  
09:11:12 15 mentioned in that stipulation who might be called, you agree to  
09:11:17 16 waive your right to confront and cross-examine those witnesses  
09:11:22 17 and you understand what that means; is that correct?

09:11:25 18 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.

09:11:26 19 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else the  
09:11:28 20 Court -- I mean, either side desires me to go over or to do  
09:11:34 21 before we proceed with the evidence in this case?

09:11:36 22 MR. DEVLIN: No, Your Honor.

09:11:37 23 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

09:11:38 24 MR. ORR: No, Your Honor.

09:11:39 25 THE COURT: All right. Government's Exhibit

09:11:40 1 Number 1, having been accepted into evidence, the Court has  
09:11:45 2 that exhibit before it as evidence. Mr. Devlin, if you have  
09:11:50 3 additional witnesses to call, you may call your first witness  
09:11:53 4 at this time.

09:11:53 5 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And just for  
09:11:56 6 formality's sake, we do waive an opening statement.

09:11:58 7 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.

09:11:59 8 MR. DEVLIN: And we're ready to proceed.

09:12:01 9 THE COURT: All right. Both sides waive opening  
09:12:03 10 statements.

09:12:03 11 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.

09:12:04 12 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, the first witness we call is  
09:12:06 13 Special Agent Sean Mullen.

09:12:36 14 **SEAN MULLEN,**  
09:12:36 15 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

09:12:36 16 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

09:12:36 17 **BY MR. DEVLIN:**

09:12:36 18 Q. Agent Mullen, would you please state your name and spell  
09:12:39 19 your last name for the record, please?

09:12:41 20 A. Sean Mullen, M-u-l-l-e-n.

09:12:43 21 Q. A how are you employed?

09:12:44 22 A. Special agent of the FBI.

09:12:46 23 Q. And how long have you been an FBI agent?

09:12:49 24 A. Since November of 2003.

09:12:51 25 Q. And what areas are you assigned to investigate currently?

09:12:54 1 A. Cyber crimes, which involved high-tech crimes, including  
09:12:58 2 computer intrusion, intellectual property rights, and sexual  
09:13:02 3 exploitation of children, to include child pornography.

09:13:04 4 Q. All right. Are you the case agent in this investigation?

09:13:07 5 A. Yes, I am.

09:13:08 6 Q. Okay. Can you describe for the Court briefly how this  
09:13:14 7 investigation began and how it went in the direction that it  
09:13:18 8 did.

09:13:18 9 A. Sure. We received -- the FBI received some information  
09:13:21 10 from the Maine State Police in August of 2009. They had been  
09:13:25 11 looking into the video series known as the "tent series," which  
09:13:29 12 is a child pornography series.

09:13:30 13 Q. And that's tent, t-e-n-t?

09:13:31 14 A. T-e-n-t, tent, yes. At the time they were able to narrow  
09:13:36 15 the location where they believed that the videos had been shot  
09:13:39 16 and contacted the location, which is the Star Ranch in McDade,  
09:13:43 17 Texas in Bastrop County. At that point they provided  
09:13:49 18 information to us, and the agents interviewed folks out at  
09:13:52 19 Star Ranch.

09:13:54 20 The victim was identified. At that point she was  
09:13:56 21 interviewed, and she identified the defendant and other  
09:14:03 22 witnesses for us to interview.

09:14:04 23 Q. And that was the victim who has been identified in the  
09:14:06 24 stipulation and indictment as Jane Doe Number 1?

09:14:09 25 A. That is correct.

09:14:11 1 MR. DEVLIN: All right. Your Honor, may I -- I'm  
09:14:16 2 sorry.

09:14:16 3 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And then from there additional videos were  
09:14:18 4 discovered as well?

09:14:19 5 A. Correct. During the case of -- during ongoing unrelated  
09:14:23 6 investigations, we identified other videos that we believe were  
09:14:27 7 produced and made by the defendant. At that point we were able  
09:14:33 8 to identify the victims there -- the second victim, Jane Doe  
09:14:36 9 Number 2. And from there interviewed her, and she confirmed it  
09:14:40 10 was her in the videos and that it was the defendant.

09:14:42 11 And then after that we found subsequent videos  
09:14:46 12 working with the National Center of Missing & Exploited  
09:14:49 13 Children that they believed also were involved in the series  
09:14:51 14 known as c-baby which would include Jane Doe Number 2 and Jane  
09:14:54 15 Doe Number 3.

09:14:56 16 Q. And c-baby is spelled c-b-a-b-y?

09:14:59 17 A. That is correct.

09:15:00 18 Q. Okay. The -- can you describe for the Court briefly what  
09:15:04 19 a series is in terms of child pornography?

09:15:09 20 A. Sure. One or more visual depictions of child pornography  
09:15:13 21 that contain one or more victims that either have not been  
09:15:17 22 identified or have been identified. And in law enforcement and  
09:15:21 23 within conjunction with the National Center for Missing &  
09:15:23 24 Exploited Children collectively put all those videos and images  
09:15:29 25 together in order to help identify the location, the victim,

09:15:31 1 and/or the offender.

09:15:33 2 Q. Okay. And they're often commonly name as a result of  
09:15:36 3 maybe a common object in the picture or maybe a common name  
09:15:39 4 that's being used. There's just some commonality to the series  
09:15:43 5 that gives it its name?

09:15:45 6 A. That is correct.

09:15:46 7 MR. DEVLIN: Okay. May I approach the witness,  
09:15:50 8 Your Honor?

09:15:50 9 THE COURT: You may. And I'll let you both know you  
09:15:52 10 don't need to ask to approach the witness. If you have  
09:15:54 11 business with the witness, just approach the witness. And when  
09:15:57 12 you complete your business, return to the podium.

09:16:00 13 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

09:16:50 14 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I'm going to show you a  
09:16:53 15 number of DVDs, the Digital Versatile Discs that have been  
09:16:59 16 marked as follows: Government Exhibit 1-1, do you recognize  
09:17:03 17 that disc?

09:17:03 18 A. Yes, I do.

09:17:04 19 Q. Is that the same -- is there a video contained on that  
09:17:08 20 disc that conforms to the video cited as Government Exhibit 1-1  
09:17:15 21 in the stipulation?

09:17:16 22 A. Yes.

09:17:17 23 Q. And you have viewed this?

09:17:18 24 A. Yes, I have.

09:17:19 25 Q. And you viewed this exact one?

09:17:20 1 A. Yes, I have.

09:17:21 2 Q. And it does contain the video that is described in the  
09:17:24 3 stipulation under Government Exhibit 1-1?

09:17:25 4 A. Yes, it does.

09:17:26 5 Q. Okay. Government Exhibit 1-2 is also a DVD with a video  
09:17:30 6 on it that conforms to the video described under Government  
09:17:33 7 Exhibit 1-2 in the stipulation?

09:17:35 8 A. Yes, it does.

09:17:36 9 Q. Okay. And Government Exhibit 2 conforms to the video  
09:17:42 10 described as Government Exhibit 2 in the stipulation?

09:17:45 11 A. Yes, it does.

09:17:53 12 Q. Government Exhibit 3, that also contains a video that  
09:17:56 13 conforms to the video described as Government Exhibit 3 in the  
09:17:59 14 stipulation?

09:17:59 15 A. Yes, it does.

09:18:00 16 Q. Okay. Government Exhibit 4-1, does that conform -- does  
09:18:06 17 that contain a video that conforms to the video described as  
09:18:10 18 Government Exhibit 4-1 in the stipulation?

09:18:14 19 A. Yes, it does.

09:18:15 20 Q. And Government Exhibit 5-1 does that conform to the video  
09:18:18 21 described as Government Exhibit 5-1?

09:18:21 22 A. Yes.

09:18:21 23 Q. Okay. Let me just go back to 4-1 for a moment. There  
09:18:52 24 is -- when you were reviewing 4-1, it's stated that that was --  
09:18:55 25 in the stipulation that was approximately 3 minutes and 44

09:18:58 1 seconds. And it turned out when it was converted to DVD that  
09:19:02 2 it was about 1 minute and 54 seconds; is that correct?  
09:19:06 3 A. That is correct.  
09:19:06 4 Q. Okay. It is still the same video that is described there;  
09:19:10 5 is that right?  
09:19:11 6 A. Yes, it is.  
09:19:11 7 Q. Was there some sort of I guess problem with the video  
09:19:14 8 formatting on that particular one that made it shorter than it  
09:19:17 9 was originally described?  
09:19:19 10 A. Yes. The original player showed it as being 3 minutes --  
09:19:24 11 approximately 3 minutes and 44 seconds in length. And when  
09:19:27 12 converted, it shrunk it down to actual video time of a minute  
09:19:31 13 and 54 seconds.  
09:19:32 14 Q. Okay. This was a video that you'd obtained from  
09:19:35 15 elsewhere. It was just simply in kind of a -- I guess a  
09:19:39 16 problematic format, if you will. It would skip occasionally  
09:19:42 17 and what have you; is that right?  
09:19:43 18 A. That's correct.  
09:19:44 19 Q. So it would show one time on Windows Media Player. And  
09:19:48 20 then when converted to DVD, it showed another time; is that  
09:19:50 21 correct?  
09:19:50 22 A. That's correct.  
09:19:50 23 Q. But you have watched it in full, and it is the same video  
09:19:52 24 described as Government's Exhibit 4-1 in the stipulation?  
09:19:55 25 A. Yes, it is.

09:19:56 1 Q. Okay. I think we've gone over Government Exhibit 5-1.

09:20:04 2 That's the same one as described as Government Exhibit 5-1 in  
09:20:05 3 the stipulation?

09:20:05 4 A. Yes.

09:20:06 5 Q. Government Exhibit 5-2, is that a video that conforms to  
09:20:12 6 the description as Government Exhibit 5-2 in the stipulation?

09:20:17 7 A. Yes.

09:20:17 8 Q. Government Exhibit 6, is that a video that conforms --

09:20:24 9 THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Devlin. I'm looking  
09:20:27 10 at -- never mind. I've got it now.

09:20:29 11 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.

09:20:29 12 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Government Exhibit 6, does that contain a  
09:20:33 13 video that conforms to the description under Government --  
09:20:36 14 Government Exhibit 6 in the stipulation?

09:20:39 15 A. Yes.

09:20:39 16 Q. Government Exhibit 7, is that a -- does that contain a  
09:20:46 17 video that conforms to the description under Government  
09:20:48 18 Exhibit 7 in the stipulation?

09:20:50 19 A. Yes.

09:20:50 20 Q. Government Exhibit 8, is that a DVD containing a video  
09:20:57 21 that conforms to the description under Government Exhibit 8 in  
09:21:00 22 the stipulation?

09:21:01 23 A. Yes.

09:21:01 24 Q. Government Exhibit 9-1 and 9-2, I'll give you those  
09:21:08 25 together. Do those contain videos that conform to the

09:21:11 1 descriptions of Government's Exhibits 9-1 and 9-2 respectively  
09:21:16 2 in the stipulation?

09:21:17 3 A. Yes, they do.

09:21:18 4 Q. And Government Exhibit 10, does that contain a video that  
09:21:23 5 conforms to the video described under Government Exhibit 10 in  
09:21:26 6 the stipulation?

09:21:27 7 A. Yes, it does.

09:21:28 8 Q. Okay. And these are all the same videos that are charged  
09:21:34 9 under the respective counts: Counts 1, 2, 3 through 10 in the  
09:21:39 10 indictment; is that correct?

09:21:40 11 A. That's correct.

09:21:41 12 Q. There's one -- Count 4 of the indictment contains a  
09:21:44 13 description of two videos. Only one of those videos are  
09:21:48 14 contained here; is that correct?

09:21:49 15 A. That is correct.

09:21:50 16 Q. All right. And, finally, I'm going to show you Government  
09:21:59 17 Exhibit 13. Is this a compilation basically for the Court's  
09:22:03 18 convenience of all the videos, with the exception of Government  
09:22:06 19 Exhibit 7?

09:22:07 20 A. Yes, it is.

09:22:08 21 Q. Okay. And Government Exhibit 7 just simply would not copy  
09:22:11 22 onto this disc and needs to be viewed separately; is that  
09:22:14 23 correct?

09:22:14 24 A. That is correct.

09:22:16 25 MR. DEVLIN: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I would

09:22:17 1 move admit Government's Exhibits 1-1, 1-2, 2, 3, 4-1, 5-1, 5-2,  
09:22:35 2 6, 7, 8, 9-1, 9-2, and 10. And, as well, Government Exhibit  
09:22:45 3 13, which is just simply a compilation copy for the Court's  
09:22:50 4 viewing convenience of the aforementioned exhibits, minus  
09:22:55 5 Government Exhibit 7.

09:22:56 6 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

09:22:57 7 MR. ORR: Your Honor, as long as the compilation is  
09:22:59 8 nothing other than just an identical reproduction and  
09:23:05 9 Mr. Devlin informed that it is of the other exhibits, we have  
09:23:09 10 no objection.

09:23:09 11 THE COURT: All right. Government's Exhibits, 1-1,  
09:23:14 12 1-2, 2, 3, 4-1, 5-1, 5-2, 6, 7, 8, 9-1, 9-2, 10, and 13 are  
09:23:28 13 admitted.

09:23:38 14 MR. DEVLIN: And, judge, as I mentioned, 13 contains  
09:23:41 15 everything but Government's Exhibit 7. Government's Exhibit 7  
09:23:44 16 will have to be viewed separately, but it is not very long.  
09:23:47 17 And if we may, Judge, I know you wanted to view the exhibits.  
09:23:50 18 I do have some more questions for Agent Mullen. Would you like  
09:23:53 19 to reserve that to the end of his testimony or do you want to  
09:23:55 20 view them now?

09:23:56 21 THE COURT: Well, go ahead and ask your questions  
09:23:58 22 now. And if it becomes necessary during the course of your  
09:24:01 23 questions for me to view the exhibits before you finish  
09:24:05 24 questioning, I'll interrupt. Otherwise, I'll hear the  
09:24:08 25 questions and then view the exhibits.

09:24:09 1 MR. DEVLIN: Very well. Judge, while Mr. Orr is  
09:24:46 2 looking at some other exhibits I'd given him, I would also ask  
09:24:49 3 that the exhibits that you just admitted -- and I'll name them  
09:24:53 4 again -- 1-1, 1-2, 2, 3, 4-1, 5-1, 5-2, 6, 7, 8, 9-1, 9-2, 10,  
09:25:04 5 and 13 all be admitted under seal because they all contain  
09:25:08 6 child pornography.

09:25:09 7 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

09:25:10 8 MR. ORR: We don't object admitting them under seal.  
09:25:13 9 We don't agree that they necessarily contain child  
09:25:17 10 pornography. But it's fine.

09:25:18 11 THE COURT: All right. The Court rules that  
09:25:20 12 Government's Exhibits 1-1, 1-2, 2, 3, 4-1, 5-1, 5-2, 6, 7, 8,  
09:25:28 13 9-1, 9-2, 10, and 13 are admitted under seal and not to be  
09:25:36 14 viewed by anyone without order of this Court.

09:25:39 15 After the Court reviews the exhibits and reaches its  
09:25:45 16 decision in this case, if the Court finds that the exhibits do  
09:25:49 17 not in fact contain child pornography, the Court will  
09:25:53 18 reconsider its previous order.

09:25:55 19 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

09:26:28 20 (Soto voce discussion between Counsel)

09:26:31 21 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I'm going to show you a  
09:26:36 22 series of photographs marked Government's Exhibits 1-1B, 1-1C,  
09:26:47 23 1-1D, 1-1E, 1-1F, 1-1G and 1-1H. I want you to tell me if --  
09:26:58 24 take a look at those and tell me if you recognize those?

09:27:18 25 A. Yes. I recognize all of them.

09:27:20 1 Q. And do they depict -- do they depict the victim known as  
09:27:25 2 Jane Doe Number 1, which is seen in Government Exhibit 1-1 and  
09:27:29 3 1-2?  
09:27:30 4 A. Yes, they do.  
09:27:31 5 Q. And those are true and accurate still images taken from  
09:27:34 6 those videos?  
09:27:35 7 A. Yes, they are.  
09:27:36 8 Q. Now, some of them have been redacted; is that correct, so  
09:27:39 9 that they are not pornographic?  
09:27:41 10 A. That is correct.  
09:27:42 11 Q. Nevertheless, they do show Jane Doe Number 1 as she  
09:27:46 12 appeared in those videos at that time; is that correct?  
09:27:49 13 A. That is correct.  
09:27:50 14 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I would move admit  
09:27:52 15 Government's Exhibits 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 1-E, 1-F, 1-G, and 1-H.  
09:28:02 16 And I would further move to admit them under seal because they  
09:28:07 17 depict a protected victim in this case.  
09:28:10 18 MR. ORR: We have no objection, Your Honor.  
09:28:12 19 THE COURT: All right, Government's Exhibit 1-1B,  
09:28:16 20 1-1C, 1-1D, 1-1E, 1-1F, 1-1G, 1-1H are admitted, and those  
09:28:25 21 exhibits are admitted under seal on the basis that they depict  
09:28:30 22 images of a protected victim.  
09:28:34 23 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) I'm going to go ahead and show you what's  
09:28:37 24 been marked as Government Exhibits 1-2B, 1-2C, 1-2D, 1-2E, and  
09:28:46 25 1-2F, and tell me if you recognize those?

09:28:57 1 THE COURT: It was 1-2B through what?

09:28:59 2 MR. DEVLIN: 1-2B through F.

09:29:07 3 A. Yes. I do recognize those.

09:29:09 4 Q. And those -- are those still images depicting the victim  
09:29:13 5 known as Jane Doe Number 1?

09:29:14 6 A. Yes, they do.

09:29:15 7 Q. And these are still images taken from -- the video  
09:29:18 8 Government Exhibit 1-2; is that correct?

09:29:19 9 A. That is correct.

09:29:20 10 Q. And do these accurately portray her as she appeared in  
09:29:23 11 that video?

09:29:24 12 A. Yes, they do.

09:29:25 13 MR. DEVLIN: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move to admit  
09:29:26 14 Government's Exhibits 1-2B through F into evidence and would  
09:29:29 15 further move to admit them under seal because they depict a  
09:29:32 16 protected victim in this case.

09:29:34 17 MR. ORR: We have no objection to the admission or to  
09:29:36 18 their being sealed, Your Honor.

09:29:37 19 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 1-2B, 1-2C, 1-2D,  
09:29:44 20 1-2E, and 1-2F are admitted, and they are admitted under seal  
09:29:50 21 because they contain images of a protected victim.

09:29:55 22 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And I'm going to show you what's been  
09:29:56 23 marked as 1-1I. Can you -- can you identify that for us.

09:30:01 24 A. Yes. It is a -- it looks to be a copy of a bill that is  
09:30:06 25 seen in one of the videos in count 1 of the indictment.

09:30:09 1 Q. And this is basically a \$1 million novelty bill that is  
09:30:13 2 seen in Government Exhibit 1-1; is that correct?  
09:30:15 3 A. That is correct.  
09:30:16 4 Q. And this is a much clearer and larger version of that  
09:30:20 5 \$1 million bill; is that correct?  
09:30:21 6 A. Yes, it is.  
09:30:23 7 MR. DEVLIN: All right. I'll go ahead and move to  
09:30:24 8 admit Government Exhibit 1-1I, Your Honor.  
09:30:28 9 MR. ORR: No objection to admission or to sealing,  
09:30:30 10 Your Honor.  
09:30:31 11 MR. DEVLIN: It doesn't need to be sealed,  
09:30:33 12 Your Honor.  
09:30:33 13 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?  
09:30:35 14 MR. ORR: No. That's fine. I misunderstood.  
09:30:37 15 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 1-1I is admitted.  
09:31:51 16 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I'm showing you what has  
09:31:54 17 been marked as Government's Exhibit 2A. Do you recognize that  
09:31:57 18 document?  
09:31:57 19 A. Yes, I do.  
09:31:58 20 Q. Or photograph, I should say. Is that a still image that  
09:32:02 21 is taken from the video marked as Government Exhibit 2?  
09:32:04 22 A. Yes, it is.  
09:32:05 23 Q. And is that a still photo -- a still image, I should say,  
09:32:08 24 of the victim known as Jane Doe Number 2?  
09:32:10 25 A. Yes, it is?

09:32:11 1 Q. And is that an accurate still image from the video?

09:32:14 2 A. Yes, it is.

09:32:15 3 MR. DEVLIN: Judge, I would move to admit Government  
09:32:16 4 Exhibit 2A into evidence and would move to admit it under seal  
09:32:20 5 because it depicts a protected victim.

09:32:22 6 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:32:23 7 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 2A is admitted. It  
09:32:26 8 is admitted under seal because it contains the image of a  
09:32:29 9 protected victim.

09:32:49 10 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, since we've been talking  
09:32:51 11 about protected victims, I want to show you a document that's  
09:32:54 12 marked as Government's Exhibit 12F. Can you tell me what that  
09:32:57 13 document is?

09:32:57 14 A. Yes. It's a list of the true identities of the protected  
09:33:01 15 victims and the associated Jane Doe that are referenced to  
09:33:05 16 that.

09:33:05 17 Q. So it list Jane Doe Number 1, Jane Doe Number 2 and  
09:33:08 18 Jane Doe Number 3, who are cited in the indictment as well as  
09:33:11 19 in the agreed stipulation?

09:33:12 20 A. Yes, it does.

09:33:12 21 Q. And it shows their true name?

09:33:14 22 A. Yes, it does.

09:33:15 23 Q. As well as the relationship in this case to the defendant;  
09:33:19 24 is that correct?

09:33:20 25 A. That is correct.

09:33:20 1 Q. Is the information in that document true and accurate?

09:33:24 2 A. Yes, it is.

09:33:26 3 MR. DEVLIN: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I move  
09:33:27 4 to admit Government Exhibit 12F into evidence and would move to  
09:33:32 5 admit it under seal since it does refer to protected victims.

09:33:38 6 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:33:39 7 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12F is admitted. It  
09:33:41 8 is admitted under seal, as it contains the identity and other  
09:33:45 9 information concerning protected victims.

09:35:50 10 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I am handing you a series of  
09:35:56 11 photographs. The first ones are marked Government's Exhibits  
09:36:02 12 7A, 7B, 7C, 7E, 7G, and 9-1A and 9-1B.

09:36:18 13 First on the Government's exhibits marked with the --  
09:36:21 14 in the series seven, can you take a look at those and tell me  
09:36:24 15 if you recognize those.

09:36:33 16 THE COURT: Did that go through 7G, did you say?

09:36:35 17 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, Your Honor. There was a couple of  
09:36:38 18 letters that aren't there.

09:36:39 19 THE COURT: That's all right. I just wanted to make  
09:36:42 20 sure.

09:36:42 21 MR. DEVLIN: Yes. The last one is 7G.

09:36:45 22 THE COURT: And what were the two after that?

09:36:47 23 MR. DEVLIN: 9-1A and 9-1B.

09:36:50 24 THE COURT: Thank you.

09:36:56 25 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, do you recognize these

09:36:59 1 photographs?

09:36:59 2 A. Yes, I do.

09:37:00 3 Q. And that one with the number 7 in them refer -- are they  
09:37:03 4 still images of the videos depicted in Government Exhibit 7?

09:37:06 5 A. Yes, they are.

09:37:08 6 Q. And are they still images of the victim known as  
09:37:11 7 Jane Doe Number 3?

09:37:12 8 A. Yes, they are.

09:37:14 9 Q. And these are true and accurate still images taken from  
09:37:17 10 those videos?

09:37:18 11 A. Yes, they are.

09:37:20 12 MR. DEVLIN: I would move to admit, Judge, 7A, B, C,  
09:37:22 13 E, and G into evidence, and would move to admit them under seal  
09:37:26 14 since they depict protected victim.

09:37:29 15 MR. ORR: No objection.

09:37:30 16 THE COURT: All right. 7A -- run through the  
09:37:33 17 exhibits.

09:37:33 18 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, sir. 7A, B, C, E as in echo, and G  
09:37:39 19 as in golf.

09:37:42 20 THE COURT: All right. Government's Exhibit 7A, B,  
09:37:45 21 C, E, and G are admitted, and they're admitted under seal  
09:37:50 22 because they contain images of a protected victim.

09:37:54 23 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And do you recognize the photos marked as  
09:37:59 24 Government's Exhibits 9-1A and B.

09:38:02 25 A. Yes, I do.

09:38:03 1 Q. And are those still images taken from the video marked as  
09:38:07 2 Government Exhibit 9-1?

09:38:08 3 A. Yes, they are.

09:38:09 4 Q. And are they -- do they both depict still images of the  
09:38:12 5 victim known as Jane Doe Number 3?

09:38:15 6 A. Yes.

09:38:15 7 Q. And these are true and accurate still images taken from  
09:38:19 8 those videos?

09:38:20 9 A. Yes, they are.

09:38:21 10 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I move to admit Government's  
09:38:22 11 Exhibits 9-1A and B, and further move to admit them under seal  
09:38:30 12 since they depict a protected victim.

09:38:42 13 MR. ORR: No objection.

09:38:43 14 THE COURT: Government's Exhibits 9-1A and 9-1B are  
09:38:47 15 admitted, and they are admitted under seal because they contain  
09:38:52 16 information and images regarding a protected victim.

09:39:02 17 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I'm showing you what has  
09:39:32 18 been marked as Government Exhibit 11. Is there a video on that  
09:39:36 19 DVD that you're familiar with?

09:39:40 20 A. Yes.

09:39:40 21 Q. And is it basically described as a home video that was  
09:39:44 22 provided to you by Kerry Jenkins, the defendant's ex-wife?

09:39:48 23 A. Yes, it is.

09:39:49 24 Q. And there was a -- the portion of that video is about  
09:39:52 25 40 minutes. It was part of a longer video that was about --

09:39:56 1 well, just a little over an hour long that essentially depicted  
09:39:59 2 some home movies; is that correct?  
09:40:01 3 A. That's correct.  
09:40:01 4 Q. The first 22 minutes or so which are not on there depicted  
09:40:04 5 a wedding that was subsequent to the divorce of Mr. Diehl and  
09:40:11 6 Ms. Jenkins; is that right?  
09:40:13 7 A. Yes, it is.  
09:40:14 8 Q. And that is not on this video; is that correct?  
09:40:17 9 A. That's correct.  
09:40:17 10 Q. The last 40 minutes or so of that home video are on here;  
09:40:21 11 is that right?  
09:40:22 12 A. That is right.  
09:40:23 13 Q. And that depicts Jane Doe Number 2; is that correct?  
09:40:26 14 A. That's correct.  
09:40:26 15 Q. And there's just various -- I guess it cuts in and out as  
09:40:28 16 the video was recorded; is that correct?  
09:40:31 17 A. That's correct.  
09:40:32 18 Q. And that was taken by the defendant, Mr. Diehl?  
09:40:35 19 A. Yes, it was.  
09:40:36 20 Q. At or near the time of the other videos involving  
09:40:39 21 Jane Doe Number 2?  
09:40:40 22 A. Yes, it was.  
09:40:41 23 Q. This does not contain child pornography, however, per se;  
09:40:45 24 is that correct?  
09:40:46 25 A. That's correct.

09:40:46 1 Q. It does show -- it does show Jane Doe Number 2, perhaps in  
09:40:50 2 a state of undress but not nude; is that correct?

09:40:53 3 A. That's correct.

09:40:54 4 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, at this time I move to admit  
09:40:56 5 Government Exhibit 11 into evidence.

09:40:59 6 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:41:00 7 THE COURT: Government Exhibit Number 11 is admitted.

09:41:03 8 MR. DEVLIN: And, Judge, I would further move to  
09:41:05 9 admit it under seal since it does depict one of the protected  
09:41:09 10 victims; namely, Jane Doe Number 2.

09:41:13 11 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

09:41:13 12 MR. ORR: Oh. No objection.

09:41:15 13 THE COURT: The exhibit, Government's Exhibit  
09:41:18 14 Number 11, is admitted under seal, as it contains images of a  
09:41:23 15 protected victim.

09:41:43 16 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, you have obviously seen the  
09:41:46 17 video contained in Government's Exhibit 11, the home video; is  
09:41:50 18 that correct?

09:41:50 19 A. That's correct.

09:41:51 20 Q. Can you briefly give -- the Court will see it, but can you  
09:41:54 21 briefly give the Court an overview of what is on that video  
09:41:57 22 that is of significance today?

09:41:59 23 A. Yes. Towards the end of the video, I guess about halfway  
09:42:03 24 through it, there is a portion that appears to be a  
09:42:06 25 video recording of a camera -- where the camera is hidden in

09:42:10 1 the bedroom and focused on a closet area in that bedroom.

09:42:15 2 Q. And what happens later?

09:42:16 3 A. During that portion of the video, at one point the face of

09:42:20 4 the defendant is seen leaning over and looking into the

09:42:23 5 camera. And then later after that, Jane Doe Number 2 and

09:42:28 6 another female enter the room and eventually undress partially

09:42:32 7 and play around. And then they play and kick the bed and

09:42:36 8 something falls over the camera and you just hear audio.

09:42:39 9 Q. Okay. They're playing in the room, just the two of them

09:42:42 10 alone; is that correct?

09:42:43 11 A. That's correct.

09:42:43 12 Q. At least as far as you can tell?

09:42:45 13 A. That's what it appears to be, yes.

09:42:48 14 Q. And the video is hidden apparently under some sort of

09:42:50 15 sheet or other covering?

09:42:51 16 A. Yes.

09:42:52 17 Q. And you said at some point that the covering is knocked

09:42:55 18 loose and -- and it covers the -- the lens portion of the

09:43:00 19 camera?

09:43:00 20 A. That's correct.

09:43:01 21 Q. But there's still some audio after that?

09:43:03 22 A. Yes, there is.

09:43:04 23 Q. And it basically shows girls playing; is that correct?

09:43:07 24 A. That's correct.

09:43:08 25 Q. So they were just kind of dressing and undressing, just

09:43:11 1 kind of normal play, almost, if you will; it that right?

09:43:15 2 A. Yes.

09:43:15 3 Q. But at the beginning of that portion of the video, there  
09:43:18 4 was nobody in that room other than the defendant; is that  
09:43:21 5 right?

09:43:21 6 A. That's correct.

09:43:22 7 Q. Okay. And the beginning part of that video, is it fair to  
09:43:26 8 say that it has a lot of images of Jane Doe Number 2 just  
09:43:30 9 around the defendant's former residence in Austin?

09:43:32 10 A. Yes.

09:43:33 11 Q. Okay. She's dressed, and it's just basically home movies?

09:43:38 12 A. That's correct.

09:43:39 13 Q. Okay.

09:44:14 14 MR. DEVLIN: Judge, I am going to move --

09:44:17 15 Excuse me. Strike that.

09:44:18 16 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, I'm showing you what's been  
09:44:21 17 marked as Government's Exhibit 12A and 12B. Do you recognize  
09:44:24 18 Government's Exhibit 12A.

09:44:26 19 A. Yes, I do.

09:44:26 20 Q. What is that?

09:44:27 21 A. It is a deed of trust for the defendant's previous  
09:44:35 22 residence in Austin.

09:44:37 23 Q. Okay. And that is a residence on Hazelhurst Drive here in  
09:44:41 24 Austin?

09:44:41 25 A. That is correct.

09:44:42 1 Q. And this is a certified copy from the Williamson County  
09:44:48 2 Clerk's Office; is that correct?  
09:44:49 3 A. That's correct.  
09:44:50 4 Q. All right. And it purports to show the purchase of that  
09:44:53 5 residence by Defendant Diehl and his then wife Kerry Diehl; is  
09:44:58 6 that correct?  
09:44:59 7 A. That's correct.  
09:45:00 8 Q. Also known as Kerry Jenkins?  
09:45:02 9 A. Yes.  
09:45:02 10 Q. All right.  
09:45:03 11 MR. DEVLIN: And, judge, I move to admit Government's  
09:45:05 12 Exhibit 12A.  
09:45:06 13 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor. And that does  
09:45:08 14 not need to be sealed, Judge.  
09:45:10 15 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12A is admitted.  
09:45:13 16 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And Government's Exhibit 12B, do you  
09:45:14 17 recognize that?  
09:45:15 18 A. Yes, I do.  
09:45:16 19 Q. And what is that?  
09:45:16 20 A. It's a certified copy from the Williamson County Clerk's  
09:45:19 21 Office of a General Warranty Deed for the residence --  
09:45:22 22 Defendant's residence on Hazelhurst in Austin, Texas, where  
09:45:27 23 they -- the sale of their property in November of 2000.  
09:45:30 24 Q. Okay.  
09:45:31 25 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I move to admit Government's

09:45:33 1 Exhibit 12B.

09:45:34 2 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:45:35 3 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12B is admitted.

09:46:24 4 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) I'm showing you what's been -- Agent

09:46:27 5 Mullen, I'm showing you what's been marked as Government's

09:46:30 6 Exhibit 12C. Do you recognize that packet of documents?

09:46:35 7 A. Yes, I do.

09:46:39 8 Q. And what does that exhibit purport to show?

09:46:43 9 A. It is a registration form for an event called the

09:46:48 10 SS Star Ranch dated June 18th through June 24th, 2000, where

09:46:53 11 David and Kerry Diehl have signed and attended it for

09:46:57 12 approximately two days.

09:46:59 13 Q. Okay.

09:47:00 14 A. And then the receipts -- various receipts and canceled

09:47:04 15 checks from Mr. Diehl while at the Star Ranch.

09:47:09 16 Q. During the period June 18th through June 24th, 2000?

09:47:18 17 A. Yes. Except for one check that is dated June 25th, 2000.

09:47:22 18 Q. Okay. Just one day after that?

09:47:24 19 A. Yes.

09:47:24 20 Q. These are copies of originals that you have?

09:47:26 21 A. That's correct.

09:47:27 22 Q. And the copy is a fair and accurate depiction of the

09:47:30 23 originals of those; is that correct?

09:47:32 24 A. That's correct.

09:47:33 25 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I move to admit Government's

09:47:34 1 Exhibit 12C.

09:47:35 2 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:47:36 3 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12C is admitted.

09:47:39 4 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) I'm also going to show you Government's  
09:47:41 5 Exhibit 12C-1. Do you recognize that?

09:47:44 6 A. Yes, I do.

09:47:45 7 Q. And what is that?

09:47:46 8 A. Two receipts for food items, a receipt from Star Ranch,  
09:47:52 9 both dated June 24th, 2000. One with Kerry Diehl's name on it;  
09:47:59 10 the other with David Diehl and Jane Doe Number 1, first name.

09:48:02 11 Q. Okay. The first name of Jane Doe Number 1 is on one of  
09:48:05 12 the receipts pertaining to David Diehl?

09:48:08 13 A. That's correct.

09:48:09 14 Q. And were those also part of the Star Ranch records that  
09:48:11 15 you obtained from the Star Ranch?

09:48:13 16 A. Yes, they are.

09:48:14 17 Q. These are copies of the originals?

09:48:15 18 A. Yes, they are.

09:48:16 19 Q. Are these true and accurate copies?

09:48:16 20 A. Yes, they are.

09:48:16 21 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I move to admit Government's  
09:48:17 22 Exhibit 12C-1 and would move to admit it under seal because of  
09:48:22 23 the reference to the protected victim Jane Doe Number 1.

09:48:26 24 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:48:26 25 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12C-1 is admitted.

09:48:29 1 It is admitted under seal because of the reference to the  
09:48:32 2 protected victim.

09:48:34 3 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) I'm showing you what's been marked as  
09:48:36 4 Government Exhibit 12D. Do you recognize that document?

09:48:58 5 A. Yes, I do.

09:48:58 6 Q. And what is that?

09:48:59 7 A. It is a certified copy from the Seton Northwest Hospital  
09:49:04 8 of medical records pertaining to Mr. Diehl's visit to the  
09:49:08 9 emergency room at Seton Northwest Hospital on June 13th, 2000.

09:49:12 10 Q. Okay. And you said these are certified by Seton; is that  
09:49:17 11 correct?

09:49:17 12 A. That's correct.

09:49:18 13 Q. Certified business records?

09:49:20 14 A. That's correct.

09:49:21 15 Q. And they completed an affidavit to that effect on these  
09:49:23 16 records?

09:49:23 17 A. Yes, they did.

09:49:25 18 Q. And these are true and accurate copies -- the affidavit is  
09:49:27 19 the original affidavit, and the medical records themselves are  
09:49:30 20 copies that were provided by Seton; is that correct?

09:49:33 21 A. That's correct.

09:49:34 22 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I move to admit  
09:49:35 23 Government's Exhibit 12D.

09:49:37 24 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:49:38 25 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12D is admitted.

09:49:41 1 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And, finally, Government's Exhibit 12E, do  
09:49:43 2 you recognize that?

09:49:44 3 A. Yes, I do.

09:49:45 4 Q. And what is that?

09:49:46 5 A. That is a copy of a Travis County incident report  
09:49:50 6 detailing a motorcycle accident dated June 13th, 2000 that  
09:49:56 7 Mr. Diehl had -- was involved in.

09:49:58 8 Q. All right. And that essentially relates the motorcycle  
09:50:03 9 accident referenced in the Government Exhibit 12D medical  
09:50:05 10 record?

09:50:06 11 A. Yes, it does.

09:50:07 12 MR. DEVLIN: I move to admit Government's Exhibit  
09:50:09 13 12E, Judge?

09:50:10 14 MR. ORR: No objection, Your Honor.

09:50:11 15 THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 12E is admitted.

09:50:15 16 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Agent Mullen, the medical records and the  
09:50:18 17 motorcycle accident that occurred on June 13th, 2000 involving  
09:50:21 18 Mr. Diehl, what is the relevance of that to this case?

09:50:25 19 A. The relevance of that is injuries sustained by Mr. Diehl  
09:50:29 20 from that accident that were depicted in the videos in count 1  
09:50:33 21 of the indictment where it shows abrasions to his left hand.

09:50:38 22 Q. Okay. So Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2 show his hand,  
09:50:44 23 and it shows some injury to that hand, some abrasions, that  
09:50:48 24 were caused as a result of that motorcycle accident; is that  
09:50:52 25 correct?

09:50:52 1 A. That's correct.

09:50:53 2 Q. Okay. And, in fact, Government Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2 were  
09:50:59 3 produced shortly after that accident during the -- that  
09:51:03 4 conference or that event at the Star Ranch from June 18th  
09:51:06 5 through June 24th, 2000; is that correct?

09:51:09 6 A. That's correct.

09:51:10 7 Q. All right. Agent Mullen, have -- going back to the tent  
09:51:28 8 and c-baby videos, in this particular case, are the video  
09:51:34 9 exhibits in counts 1-1 -- excuse me -- Government's  
09:51:38 10 Exhibits 1-1 through 10, the videos, are those videos that have  
09:51:45 11 been known to be part of the tent series and the c-baby  
09:51:50 12 series? And, if so, which ones conform to which series?

09:51:54 13 A. Yes, they are. The videos in count 1 pertain to tent  
09:51:57 14 series.

09:51:58 15 Q. That's Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2?

09:52:00 16 A. That's correct.

09:52:01 17 Q. Okay.

09:52:01 18 A. And then all other Government exhibits pertain to the  
09:52:04 19 c-baby series.

09:52:05 20 Q. And that's basically Government's Exhibit 2 through 10; is  
09:52:08 21 that right?

09:52:09 22 A. That's correct.

09:52:10 23 Q. All right. Now that the producer of those videos has been  
09:52:20 24 identified, have you been kind of designated as a point of  
09:52:27 25 contact for other agents where those videos have turned up

09:52:30 1 around the country?

09:52:31 2 A. Yes, I am.

09:52:32 3 Q. Okay. And is that common for -- when a series of child  
09:52:38 4 pornography with an identified victim is found, that the agents  
09:52:43 5 will contact whoever the agent was who determined that victim  
09:52:49 6 in that video and, you know, kind of -- sometimes you have to  
09:52:53 7 come out and testify about the circumstances relating to those  
09:52:56 8 videos; is that correct?

09:52:57 9 A. Yes, it is.

09:52:58 10 Q. Okay. Have you been contacted about the tent and c-baby  
09:53:02 11 series?

09:53:03 12 A. Yes, I have.

09:53:04 13 Q. Have you been contacted recently about that?

09:53:06 14 A. Yes, I have.

09:53:07 15 Q. And, basically, these have been other FBI agents?

09:53:10 16 A. Other FBI agents and other federal agents, as well as  
09:53:14 17 state and local law enforcement officers.

09:53:16 18 Q. Okay. And why have they contacted you?

09:53:19 19 A. They contacted me after the -- the National Center for  
09:53:21 20 Missing & Exploited Children have indicated to them that videos  
09:53:25 21 or depictions they have found during their criminal  
09:53:26 22 investigations were part of the c-baby and/or tent series. And  
09:53:30 23 they contacted me to provide a victim identification and  
09:53:32 24 confirmation that that indeed was him and also provide  
09:53:36 25 investigative summaries.

09:53:38 1 Q. Oak. And where were those agents conducting those  
09:53:41 2 investigation who contacted you about the tent series and the  
09:53:45 3 c-baby series?

09:53:46 4 A. They have contacted me from California, Florida, Texas,  
09:53:48 5 New Jersey, and Ohio are some of -- a few of the ones that have  
09:53:53 6 contacted me.

09:53:53 7 Q. Okay. And as it states in the stipulation, all of these  
09:53:56 8 videos -- the video exhibits, as they're collectively referred  
09:54:00 9 to in the stipulation, have been all found outside of the State  
09:54:05 10 of Texas; is that correct?

09:54:06 11 A. That is correct.

09:54:06 12 Q. Is there one video that has in it -- I believe it might be  
09:54:11 13 Government Exhibit 5-1 -- that shows a magazine -- a partial  
09:54:18 14 magazine? Can you talk about that for a moment?

09:54:20 15 A. Sure. During that video, a portion of it is shot, it  
09:54:23 16 looks like, underneath a coffee table. And at the very bottom  
09:54:27 17 it depicts a magazine that was identified by law enforcement in  
09:54:31 18 the United Kingdom as being a *Dirt Rider Magazine* from October  
09:54:36 19 of 1999.

09:54:37 20 Q. Okay. And had that -- had that video been found outside  
09:54:40 21 of Texas?

09:54:42 22 A. Yes. It was found by the United Kingdom in 1999 --  
09:54:46 23 October of 1999.

09:54:48 24 Q. Okay. And, indeed, is it also true that one or more of  
09:54:53 25 the video exhibits has been found in Australia?

09:54:56 1 A. That is correct.

09:54:57 2 Q. Okay. And do you know which video that is?

09:55:00 3 A. I do not know exactly which one.

09:55:02 4 Q. Was it one of the c-baby videos?

09:55:04 5 A. It's one of the c-baby videos.

09:55:06 6 Q. Okay. And that was found -- how early was that video  
09:55:09 7 found?

09:55:09 8 A. I do not recall.

09:55:10 9 Q. Okay. But the one with the magazine in it which -- which  
09:55:19 10 I believe is Government Exhibit 5-1, that was found in the  
09:55:23 11 United Kingdom as early as 1999?

09:55:26 12 A. That is correct.

09:55:27 13 MR. ORR: Your Honor, I object and move to strike the  
09:55:29 14 testimony. Apparently it's based on hearsay -- I don't know  
09:55:34 15 how many-hand hearsay that this was found in 1999.

09:55:36 16 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin?

09:55:37 17 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, it's just simply providing  
09:55:39 18 some background information about an admitted exhibit.

09:55:41 19 THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection as to  
09:55:43 20 the date it was found as being hearsay.

09:55:46 21 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.

09:55:46 22 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) And, in fact, you -- are you aware whether  
09:55:51 23 or not any of these videos that have been admitted have been  
09:55:54 24 found in other child pornography cases here in Austin?

09:55:57 25 A. Yes, they have.

09:55:58 1 Q. And one or more of them have been found in cases here?

09:56:03 2 A. That is correct.

09:56:03 3 Q. And as recently as within the last couple of months?

09:56:07 4 A. That is correct.

09:56:07 5 Q. And perhaps as far back as months or years; is that

09:56:11 6 correct?

09:56:11 7 A. That is correct.

09:56:12 8 Q. Okay. Now, did you have an opportunity to obtain a

09:56:17 9 computer that was believed to be associated with

09:56:24 10 Defendant Diehl?

09:56:24 11 A. Yes, I did.

09:56:25 12 Q. Can you describe the circumstances of that.

09:56:27 13 A. Yes. The computer that was -- that had belonged to the

09:56:30 14 defendant was shipped by the defendant and/or his attorney from

09:56:34 15 Florida to his ex-wife in Austin. And at that point we

09:56:38 16 obtained it.

09:56:39 17 Q. What kind of computer was it?

09:56:41 18 A. It was a desktop computer that contained two hard drives.

09:56:45 19 Q. Okay. And a desktop in contrast to a laptop. It did not

09:56:49 20 have a flip top. It was a large --

09:56:50 21 A. Tower, correct.

09:56:51 22 Q. -- tower. Okay.

09:56:56 23 Has that computer been -- been looked at?

09:56:59 24 A. We submitted it, and our -- our examiners have tried to

09:57:04 25 forensically examine the computer but have been unable to

09:57:07 1 because the drives -- one of the drives is encrypted.

09:57:10 2 Q. Okay. And they're still working on breaking that  
09:57:14 3 encryption?

09:57:14 4 A. To my knowledge they are still trying, yes.

09:57:32 5 MR. DEVLIN: Pass the witness Your Honor.

09:57:45 6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

09:57:45 7 **BY MR. ORR:**

09:57:48 8 Q. How are you this morning, sir?

09:57:50 9 A. I'm doing fine. How are you?

09:57:51 10 Q. I'm fine. So far as the videos that have been admitted,  
09:58:03 11 you didn't -- you didn't personally locate any of them; is that  
09:58:06 12 correct, sir?

09:58:07 13 A. That's correct.

09:58:08 14 Q. Oak. So far as the laptop is concerned, were there any  
09:58:14 15 efforts to get a search warrant while that laptop was in  
09:58:17 16 Florida?

09:58:18 17 A. There was no laptop that I was aware of.

09:58:22 18 Q. Okay. You didn't know anything about it in Florida?

09:58:24 19 A. You mean a desktop?

09:58:26 20 Q. Desktop. Excuse me.

09:58:27 21 A. Correct, yes.

09:58:28 22 Q. Were efforts made to get a search warrant for it?

09:58:30 23 A. Yes, there were.

09:58:31 24 Q. And was that search warrant -- were you successful in  
09:58:35 25 getting a search warrant? By "you," I mean the Federal Bureau

09:58:38 1 of Investigation?

09:58:40 2 A. No, we were not.

09:58:41 3 Q. Okay. And why not?

09:58:42 4 A. The judge did not sign the warrant.

09:58:44 5 Q. Okay. And did you get a search warrant to search it here?

09:58:47 6 A. Yes, we did.

09:58:48 7 Q. Okay. But you -- and based on what? Did you have more

09:58:53 8 information, or why did you get one here that you couldn't get

09:58:55 9 in Florida?

09:58:56 10 A. I don't know the answer to that.

09:58:58 11 Q. Okay. All right. If you don't know, you don't know.

09:59:03 12 A. I submitted an affidavit to the magistrate judge here.

09:59:06 13 Q. So as far as any -- you have worked on this case for quite

09:59:10 14 some time, have you not, sir?

09:59:12 15 A. I have.

09:59:13 16 Q. A lot of what you know is based on hearsay, correct, sir?

09:59:16 17 What other agents have told you?

09:59:18 18 A. Not a lot of it. But some of it, yes.

09:59:20 19 Q. Some of it. All right, sir.

09:59:23 20 And you have talked to various witnesses, have you

09:59:25 21 not, sir?

09:59:25 22 A. I have.

09:59:26 23 Q. And have you talked to, apparently, Mr. Diehl's ex-wife?

09:59:30 24 A. Yes, I have.

09:59:31 25 Q. Okay. And how many times have you talked to her?

09:59:33 1 A. I don't recall the exact number, but probably around ten.

09:59:38 2 Q. Okay. And she has been cooperating with the FBI, correct,

09:59:45 3 sir?

09:59:45 4 A. She's been answering our questions, yes.

09:59:47 5 MR. ORR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor.

09:59:50 6 THE COURT: You may.

10:00:30 7 Q. (BY MR. ORR) All right, sir. And would it be fair to say

10:00:34 8 that the videos that you have -- that you have admitted in this

10:00:40 9 case, you have established that, based on your investigation,

10:00:42 10 occurred -- were made apparently during a period somewhere in

10:00:46 11 1999 and 2000?

10:00:47 12 A. That's correct.

10:00:48 13 Q. Okay. And it would also be fair to say the original

10:00:54 14 complaint in this case dealt with a video that was at least

10:00:58 15 discovered somewhere around 2005? Or do I have that wrong?

10:01:02 16 A. That's approximately correct.

10:01:03 17 Q. All right. And so, based on the investigation, what you

10:01:07 18 found is that you believe through hearsay that some of these

10:01:12 19 maybe -- were put on the Internet earlier than 2005?

10:01:16 20 A. Yes.

10:01:17 21 Q. But you have no direct knowledge of that?

10:01:19 22 A. That's correct.

10:01:20 23 Q. Okay. But you have no evidence based on your thorough

10:01:24 24 investigation that any videos were produced in this particular

10:01:30 25 case after December or -- of 2000?

10:01:36 1 A. That's correct.

10:01:37 2 Q. Oak. Or could we even restrict that to the summer of  
10:01:40 3 2000?

10:01:41 4 A. I don't know the exact time. Just through the time he  
10:01:45 5 lived at the house. Roughly --

10:01:47 6 Q. Sometime in 2000?

10:01:49 7 A. -- sometime in 2000

10:01:49 8 Q. Okay. And for a period of time this case was investigated  
10:01:53 9 and Mr. Diehl was on the streets, was still at home in Florida,  
10:01:56 10 correct?

10:01:57 11 A. That's correct.

10:01:57 12 Q. And were efforts made to surveil him in Florida?

10:02:01 13 A. Yes, they were.

10:02:02 14 Q. Okay. Were efforts made to contact and subpoena his  
10:02:07 15 various Internet service providers?

10:02:10 16 A. Yes, they were.

10:02:13 17 Q. Okay. And by way of the Internet service, can you  
10:02:16 18 determine who has made downloads of what over a period of time?

10:02:20 19 A. Not through a subpoena, no.

10:02:21 20 Q. Well, okay. Did you get a chance to look at the records  
10:02:24 21 that were returned from his various Internet service providers  
10:02:27 22 and his mail providers?

10:02:29 23 A. Yes.

10:02:30 24 Q. Okay. Did you find any evidence there of any downloads or  
10:02:35 25 uploads of anything that was illegal?

10:02:37 1 A. No, I did not.

10:02:38 2 Q. Okay. And that covered a period of how long?

10:02:42 3 A. Up to whatever the Internet service provider maintained

10:02:48 4 and then what was ever in the E-mail at the time.

10:02:51 5 Q. Do you have any idea how many years that would be?

10:02:54 6 A. I do not recall the exact time frame. But ...

10:02:58 7 Q. Some number of years?

10:03:00 8 A. Yes. At least a year.

10:03:02 9 Q. At least a year?

10:03:03 10 A. Yeah. Probably.

10:03:04 11 Q. Well, would it surprise you to learn that, at least in the

10:03:07 12 discovery, there's E-mails going back to at least '08?

10:03:11 13 A. No.

10:03:11 14 Q. Okay. And possibly as far back as '06 and '07. That,

10:03:16 15 again, would not surprise you?

10:03:18 16 A. No, it would not.

10:03:19 17 Q. Okay. Now, so far as any surveillance, did you -- did the

10:03:23 18 FBI surveil Mr. Diehl in any way in terms of his personal daily

10:03:28 19 activities?

10:03:29 20 A. I believe they did, yes.

10:03:30 21 Q. Okay. And did they see him, you know, taking his child to

10:03:34 22 tennis lessons and that sort of thing?

10:03:37 23 A. No, they did not.

10:03:37 24 Q. Okay. You're aware that he did have custody of his son?

10:03:41 25 A. Yes, I am.

10:03:41 1 Q. Okay. Anyway, during this -- do you have any idea how  
10:03:44 2 long the surveillance lasted?

10:03:45 3 A. It was a very brief surveillance. Maybe a day at the  
10:03:50 4 most.

10:03:50 5 Q. Oh, okay. So the one day didn't reveal anything untoward  
10:03:54 6 in Mr. Diehl's behavior?

10:03:56 7 A. That's correct.

10:03:57 8 Q. Neither did the subpoenaing of his E-mail service  
10:04:01 9 providers as well as his Internet service providers?

10:04:03 10 A. That's correct.

10:04:04 11 MR. ORR: Okay. I pass the witness.

10:04:06 12 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION**

10:04:07 13 BY MR. DEVLIN:

10:04:07 14 Q. Agent Mullen, would the information that you requested  
10:04:11 15 from the Internet service providers pertaining to Mr. Diehl  
10:04:14 16 necessarily inform you whether he had uploaded anything  
10:04:19 17 illegally?

10:04:19 18 A. No, they would not.

10:04:20 19 Q. And in terms of the word "uploaded," how -- how is it, I  
10:04:25 20 guess in general, that people can upload things to the  
10:04:28 21 Internet?

10:04:28 22 A. They can do it various ways. They can send it through  
10:04:35 23 E-mail. They can do it through social networking sites. They  
10:04:40 24 can use peer-to-peer software and other means.

10:04:42 25 Q. Okay. Are there also networks, something called Usenet?

10:04:46 1 Have you heard of that?

10:04:47 2 A. Yes I have.

10:04:48 3 Q. What is Usenet?

10:04:49 4 A. Usenet is kind of a bulletin board service where you can  
10:04:54 5 post pictures and comments and different binary files.

10:04:58 6 Q. In your experience do Internet service providers routinely  
10:05:02 7 record every action taken by a user in term of browsing or  
10:05:06 8 uploading or downloading?

10:05:07 9 A. No, they do not.

10:05:08 10 Q. Okay. That would require the -- would that require the  
10:05:12 11 ISPs to actually take affirmative steps to record that  
10:05:15 12 information and store it?

10:05:16 13 A. Yes, it would.

10:05:17 14 Q. Do most ISPs do that?

10:05:19 15 A. No, they do not.

10:05:21 16 Q. Do any ISPs do that?

10:05:24 17 A. Not that I'm aware of.

10:05:26 18 MR. DEVLIN: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

10:05:27 19 MR. ORR: Just a second, Your Honor.

10:05:36 20 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION**

10:05:36 21 **BY MR. ORR:**

10:05:36 22 Q. Well, Special Agent Mullen, isn't it true that Internet  
10:05:39 23 service providers do record upload and download logs, and they  
10:05:42 24 can provide information if they see someone's doing something  
10:05:45 25 on their servers that they shouldn't be doing?

10:05:49 1 A. I don't know if they record upload and download. They  
10:05:52 2 record IP information.  
10:05:53 3 Q. Well, and if there's some IP information -- say,  
10:05:57 4 certain -- say if a child pornography site has a certain IP  
10:06:01 5 address, the FBI would likely know about that, would they not?  
10:06:05 6 A. It's possible they would know about it, yes.  
10:06:07 7 Q. And in Mr. Diehl's history that you got any subpoenas, you  
10:06:11 8 could get the Internet service provider addresses, could you  
10:06:14 9 not?  
10:06:15 10 A. I could get the IP address which Mr. Diehl's address had  
10:06:20 11 at the time, not the ones he's talking to. That would require  
10:06:24 12 a court order.  
10:06:24 13 Q. Well, you didn't find any -- any unusual or illegal  
10:06:29 14 Internet protocol addresses in your records, did you, or  
10:06:32 15 anything that lead you to believe that he had any connection to  
10:06:36 16 a child pornography site?  
10:06:37 17 A. No.  
10:06:38 18 MR. ORR: Pass the witness.  
10:06:38 19 MR. DEVLIN: No further questions, Your Honor.  
10:06:40 20 THE COURT: All right. You may step down. At this  
10:06:43 21 time, in order that I can get this in context, I want to view  
10:06:49 22 the exhibits that were introduced during this witness's  
10:06:53 23 testimony. How do you want to proceed?  
10:06:58 24 MR. ORR: Your Honor, we -- with Mr. Diehl's  
10:07:00 25 permission, we suggest that the videos need not be shown in

10:07:04 1 Open Court. That Your Honor could view them, if necessary, in  
10:07:08 2 your -- in Your Honor's chambers. There's no use to I think go  
10:07:12 3 through all of that out here.

10:07:13 4 THE COURT: Well, it is necessary that I view them if  
10:07:18 5 I'm going to make a decision.

10:07:19 6 MR. ORR: I think it's necessary, yes, sir.

10:07:23 7 THE COURT: And I'm hesitant to view them at a time  
10:07:25 8 after this witness may have left because it's possible that the  
10:07:28 9 Court will have questions. So --

10:07:34 10 MR. ORR: I'm -- well, sorry.

10:07:35 11 THE COURT: -- I feel like I need to -- I think you  
10:07:38 12 have provided or the Government has provided a hookup where I  
10:07:40 13 can view them here at my bench. And I realize this may take a  
10:07:45 14 little bit of time while I view them, but I think it is  
10:07:48 15 important that the Court review all of the evidence in this  
10:07:51 16 case in a timely and contemporaneous fashion to the testimony  
10:07:56 17 that I receive regarding that.

10:07:58 18 MR. ORR: I do agree to that, Your Honor. I didn't  
10:08:00 19 mean that we would -- that Your Honor would view them later. I  
10:08:03 20 just meant, Your Honor, that we might take a recess and let  
10:08:05 21 Your Honor view them in chambers, either with or without  
10:08:08 22 Mr. Devlin and myself.

10:08:10 23 THE COURT: Well, I believe that I would prefer not  
10:08:17 24 to do that even though it is cumbersome. I think this is an  
10:08:23 25 open proceeding, even though the child pornography will not be

10:08:27 1 exhibited to the public. And you-all may be in recess if you  
10:08:31 2 want, but I'm going to review them here on the equipment that's  
10:08:34 3 provided here in the Courtroom in Open Court as part of this  
10:08:39 4 trial as opposed to recessing to chambers to view it.

10:08:42 5 MR. ORR: Yes, sir. May I have just one more second?

10:08:45 6 THE COURT: You may.

10:08:49 7 MR. ORR: Your Honor, we don't need to see it. We've  
10:08:52 8 all seen all this stuff. We don't need to see it again on the  
10:08:55 9 big monitors.

10:08:57 10 THE COURT: That will be fine. Do what you need to  
10:08:59 11 do to get it set up for me to observe the evidence. And those  
10:09:05 12 will be -- I think all of the videos have been introduced in  
10:09:09 13 evidence during Agent Mullen's testimony. Is that correct,  
10:09:14 14 Mr. Devlin?

10:09:15 15 MR. DEVLIN: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

10:09:16 16 THE COURT: All of the videos the Government intends  
10:09:19 17 to introduce were introduced during Agent Mullen's testimony;  
10:09:23 18 is that correct?

10:09:23 19 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, sir.

10:09:24 20 THE COURT: All right. So when I observe these  
10:09:26 21 videos, then there will be -- there will not be a need to take  
10:09:30 22 an additional break to observe other videos that will be  
10:09:33 23 introduced in evidence; is that correct?

10:09:35 24 MR. DEVLIN: That's correct, Your Honor.

10:09:36 25 THE COURT: And is it your intention to do this based

10:09:39 1 on the way the exhibits have come in, by my viewing Exhibit  
10:09:42 2 Number 13, which is a compilation of the other videos; is that  
10:09:47 3 correct?

10:09:47 4 MR. DEVLIN: Thirteen -- let me ...

10:09:49 5 THE COURT: And 7.

10:09:50 6 MR. DEVLIN: Thirteen and 7 and -- 13 and 7 have the  
10:09:53 7 videos that contain child pornography. Since 11 does not  
10:09:57 8 contain child pornography, we did not include it, but it is  
10:10:00 9 separate and it's about 40 minutes long. So there's  
10:10:03 10 essentially three discs.

10:10:05 11 THE COURT: All right. Well, those will be what I  
10:10:06 12 need to -- if they're in evidence, I want to observe all of  
10:10:10 13 them.

10:10:58 14 The one you put in at this point is which number?

10:11:02 15 MR. DEVLIN: I put it in as Government Exhibit 13,  
10:11:04 16 Judge. It will come up with a user-friendly screen that will  
10:11:06 17 allow you to click on each exhibit. What will happen is the  
10:11:09 18 exhibit -- when you click on the name of the exhibit, it will  
10:11:12 19 play that exhibit. At the end of it, it will go back to the --  
10:11:15 20 to the menu, and then you can play the next exhibit.

10:11:22 21 THE COURT: Well, what I will do is, after the first  
10:11:24 22 one ends, I'll have you do this for fear that I will do  
10:11:28 23 something.

10:11:43 24 MR. DEVLIN: That's fine. Do you mind sticking up  
10:11:44 25 here and doing that?

10:11:44 1 LEGAL ASSISTANT: No. I'll be here.

10:11:44 2 MR. DEVLIN: Go ahead.

10:11:44 3 (Court views exhibits)

10:11:44 4 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, we're free to step in and  
10:11:46 5 out while you watch that?

10:28:00 6 THE COURT: You may.

10:28:00 7 (At the bench, on the record)

10:28:00 8 MR. ORR: May I approach the bench and see that,  
10:28:04 9 Your Honor?

10:28:28 10 THE COURT: This is 5-2, maybe 5-1.

10:28:36 11 MR. ORR: You did take out the compilation? The  
10:28:39 12 extraneous stuff that's not in there?

10:28:40 13 MR. DEVLIN: Remember, one of them is about six  
10:28:42 14 minutes, and the other is about nine, the video segments that  
10:28:45 15 we did have.

10:28:46 16 THE COURT: 5-1 is 620. And 5-9 -- and 5-2 is 938.

10:28:52 17 MR. DEVLIN: Some of them are four or five minutes  
10:28:54 18 long.

10:28:54 19 MR. ORR: What I mean is that there's one in what  
10:28:55 20 count that had that huge amount of stuff in it? That's out of  
10:29:00 21 here?

10:29:00 22 MR. DEVLIN: Yeah. That was this one that was  
10:29:01 23 actually I think five had the 48 minute one. So we only took  
10:29:06 24 the two segments.

10:29:07 25 MR. ORR: Okay.

10:29:08 1 MR. DEVLIN: So ...

10:49:13 2 (Viewing exhibits)

10:49:13 3 (Open Court, Defendant present)

10:49:13 4 MR. ORR: Your Honor, could we let Mr. Diehl take a  
10:49:16 5 break?

10:49:17 6 THE COURT: Yes. How long a break do you need,  
10:49:26 7 Counsel?

10:49:26 8 MR. ORR: He just needs to go, Your Honor. He just  
10:49:30 9 needs a quick trip, I think.

10:49:34 10 THE COURT: Before he leaves, let me ask you both:  
10:49:37 11 What parts of Exhibit 11 do you think are important to this  
10:49:43 12 case for me to see? The whole 40 minutes or should I -- are  
10:49:48 13 there particular parts of it?

10:49:50 14 MR. DEVLIN: Probably the second half, approximately.

10:49:58 15 THE COURT: I'm not there yet.

10:49:59 16 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.

10:50:00 17 THE COURT: But I just wanted to know if I should  
10:50:01 18 watch the whole 40 minutes or what is the part that is of ...

10:50:09 19 MR. DEVLIN: You can -- no. I have no need for the  
10:50:11 20 Court to see the first 20 minutes or so. I'd have to go to the  
10:50:15 21 exact portion that I would like you to see. And, actually, it  
10:50:18 22 would end up being a very small segment because even with the  
10:50:22 23 testimony, there was a point where the camera was being hidden  
10:50:25 24 and then it videotaped some activity and then it was covered.  
10:50:27 25 So there's really nothing at that point.

10:50:29 1 THE COURT: Well, go ahead and let's let Mr. Diehl  
10:50:31 2 take a break, and I will continue to observe Exhibit 7. And  
10:50:35 3 then I'll have you -- the two of you set Exhibit 11 up for me  
10:50:39 4 to see what I need to see. And then we'll be through with  
10:50:43 5 that.

10:50:44 6 MR. DEVLIN: Very good, Your Honor.

10:50:44 7 (Recess, Court continues viewing exhibits)

10:56:01 8 (Open Court, Defendant present)

10:56:01 9 THE COURT: You want to put 11 in and fast-forward to  
10:56:04 10 whatever part you want the Court to observe.

10:56:06 11 (Court views exhibits)

11:02:47 12 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

11:02:53 13 (At the bench, on the record)

11:02:53 14 MR. DEVLIN: This is the portion right here where  
11:02:58 15 he's getting in front of it.

11:03:00 16 MR. ORR: I thought we just saw that a second ago.

11:03:03 17 MR. DEVLIN: We were fast-forwarding through it, so  
11:03:06 18 now we're going at regular speed.

11:03:08 19 MR. ORR: Okay.

11:06:12 20 MR. DEVLIN: After this it's just all audio, Judge.  
11:06:15 21 There's nothing. Just all -- unless you have a desire to keep  
11:06:20 22 playing this, Steve.

11:06:21 23 MR. ORR: No.

11:06:22 24 MR. DEVLIN: There's nothing significant.

11:06:24 25 MR. ORR: No.

11:06:25 1 MR. DEVLIN: So may I stop it?

11:06:27 2 THE COURT: You may.

11:06:28 3 MR. ORR: Are we done with videos?

11:06:30 4 MR. DEVLIN: Yes.

11:06:31 5 MR. ORR: Good. Great.

11:06:49 6 (Open Court, Defendant present)

11:06:49 7 THE COURT: The Court has reviewed Defendant's

11:06:52 8 Exhibits 13 and 7 in their entirety, and the portions -- I

11:06:59 9 mean -- pardon me -- Government's Exhibits 13 and 7 in their

11:07:02 10 entirety and the portion of Government's Exhibit Number 11 that

11:07:08 11 the attorneys advised me was the most relevant to the decisions

11:07:15 12 the Court has to make in this case.

11:07:18 13 So at this time, having reviewed those exhibits, the

11:07:20 14 Court has no additional questions for Agent Sean Mullen, who

11:07:28 15 was the witness. He was passed before the Court observed the

11:07:35 16 exhibits and stepped down. Does either party have any

11:07:37 17 additional questions of Agent Mullen at this time?

11:07:41 18 MR. DEVLIN: No, Your Honor.

11:07:43 19 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

11:07:44 20 MR. ORR: No, Your Honor.

11:07:46 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Devlin, you may call your

11:07:50 22 next witness.

11:07:51 23 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, the Government would call

11:07:52 24 Kerry Jenkins.

11:08:52 25 \*\*\*\*\*

11:08:52 1 **KERRY JENKINS,**

11:08:52 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

11:08:52 3 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

11:08:52 4 **BY MR. DEVLIN:**

11:08:52 5 Q. Good morning.

11:08:53 6 A. Good morning.

11:08:54 7 Q. A little nervous this morning?

11:08:56 8 A. Yes.

11:08:57 9 Q. Okay. Just be calm, and I'm going to ask you a couple of

11:09:01 10 easy questions.

11:09:02 11 Is your name Kerry Jenkins?

11:09:04 12 A. Yes.

11:09:05 13 Q. Okay. You -- how old are you?

11:09:09 14 A. I'm 31.

11:09:11 15 Q. Okay. And do you live in the Austin area?

11:09:14 16 A. Yes.

11:09:14 17 Q. Okay. Do you know the defendant in this case,

11:09:18 18 David Diehl?

11:09:19 19 A. Yes.

11:09:20 20 Q. How do you know him?

11:09:22 21 A. He's my ex-husband.

11:09:24 22 Q. When were you married to the defendant?

11:09:27 23 A. January 1st, 1996.

11:09:29 24 Q. And are you no longer married to him; is that correct?

11:09:34 25 A. That's correct.

11:09:34 1 Q. Do you remember when you divorced?

11:09:36 2 A. Our divorce was final on May 21st, 2003 -- I'm sorry --

11:09:42 3 2002.

11:09:42 4 Q. 2002? All right. How old were you when you married the

11:09:47 5 defendant?

11:09:47 6 A. Sixteen.

11:09:48 7 Q. Do you remember how old he was?

11:09:51 8 A. Thirty-one.

11:09:52 9 Q. Okay. And when did you meet him?

11:09:57 10 A. I was 15. It was the summer of '95.

11:10:02 11 Q. All right. Did you meet him here in Texas or somewhere

11:10:06 12 else?

11:10:06 13 A. I met him in Ohio.

11:10:07 14 Q. In Ohio. Okay. Is he originally from Ohio?

11:10:12 15 A. Yes.

11:10:13 16 Q. All right. Have you had any contact with him since your

11:10:22 17 divorce?

11:10:22 18 A. Yes.

11:10:23 19 Q. And why is that?

11:10:24 20 A. We have a child together.

11:10:26 21 Q. Okay. You have a son; is that correct?

11:10:29 22 A. That's correct.

11:10:30 23 MR. ORR: Your Honor, could we ask that she speak

11:10:32 24 up? I'm sorry. I'm just having a little trouble.

11:10:34 25 THE COURT: If you'll pull the microphone a little

11:10:37 1 closer to you kind of below your mouth.

11:10:45 2 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) When you were married to the defendant,  
11:10:53 3 how was he employed?

11:10:54 4 A. He was a software engineer.

11:10:56 5 Q. Okay. Was he a software engineer when you met him?

11:11:01 6 A. Yes.

11:11:01 7 Q. And did he hold software engineering jobs?

11:11:05 8 A. Yes.

11:11:06 9 Q. Okay. Do you know how long he had worked as a software  
11:11:10 10 engineer when you got married?

11:11:13 11 A. As far as I know, since he graduated from college.

11:11:17 12 Q. All right. Do you remember when that was?

11:11:19 13 A. No.

11:11:19 14 Q. Okay. What kind of -- what kinds of jobs did he hold when  
11:11:26 15 you were married to him?

11:11:28 16 A. Programming. He was a software engineer, so he  
11:11:31 17 programmed. He mostly took contracts, some short-term jobs.

11:11:35 18 Q. All right. And after you were Married to him, do you know  
11:11:38 19 what kind of jobs he had?

11:11:40 20 A. As far as I know, the same.

11:11:42 21 Q. Okay. So you've had reason to be in touch with him, as  
11:11:45 22 you mentioned, because you had a son together; is that right?

11:11:48 23 A. That's correct.

11:11:49 24 Q. And he's about, what, 14 years old now?

11:11:52 25 A. Yes.

11:11:52 1 Q. Okay. And after you were divorced, he was living  
11:11:56 2 primarily with the defendant; is that right?

11:11:58 3 A. That's correct.

11:11:59 4 Q. Okay. While you were married, where did you and the  
11:12:06 5 defendant live? You had mentioned you met him in Ohio; is that  
11:12:09 6 right?

11:12:10 7 A. That's correct.

11:12:11 8 Q. Okay. After that where did the two of you live?

11:12:13 9 A. We lived a lot of places. When we first got married we  
11:12:18 10 lived in Montgomery, Alabama for a very short time. And then  
11:12:21 11 we moved to Chicago, Illinois. We lived in Atlanta, Georgia,  
11:12:27 12 and we lived in the suburbs of Chicago. We lived in Ohio. We  
11:12:32 13 lived in Austin, Texas.

11:12:33 14 Q. All right. So you went -- you were in Chicago, you left,  
11:12:37 15 and then came back again?

11:12:38 16 A. Correct.

11:12:38 17 Q. Is that basically what you're saying? Okay.

11:12:42 18 Did you live in Austin from roughly February 1999 to  
11:12:46 19 November of 2000?

11:12:48 20 A. That sounds about right.

11:12:49 21 Q. Okay. Was that at a residence Hazelhurst Drive here in  
11:12:52 22 Austin?

11:12:53 23 A. Part of that time, yes.

11:12:54 24 Q. Part of that time. And part of that time you lived where  
11:12:57 25 else?

11:12:58 1 A. In an apartment on McNeil Road.

11:12:59 2 Q. Was that before or after you lived in that house?

11:13:02 3 A. Before.

11:13:02 4 Q. Okay. So you were in Austin a little over 18 months. Was

11:13:06 5 that -- would it be fair to say that that was the longest

11:13:09 6 amount of time you stayed in one place during your marriage?

11:13:13 7 A. Probably, yes.

11:13:14 8 Q. Okay. In November of 2000, you left Austin; is that

11:13:21 9 right?

11:13:21 10 A. That sounds correct.

11:13:24 11 Q. I should say the two of you left Austin; is that --

11:13:27 12 A. No. Actually, he stayed.

11:13:28 13 Q. Okay.

11:13:30 14 A. I went up to Ohio and got a job. He actually stayed. We

11:13:34 15 had an RV, and he was working a contract here in Austin, and so

11:13:38 16 he stayed for a while.

11:13:39 17 Q. All right. Was there a time that he moved from Austin?

11:13:42 18 A. Yes. I mean, I don't understand the question.

11:13:47 19 Q. I'm sorry. After -- after you -- after you sold the house

11:13:50 20 here in Austin, okay, are you saying that you went to Ohio?

11:13:55 21 A. Yes.

11:13:55 22 Q. And he stayed around Austin for a while?

11:13:58 23 A. Yes. He stayed in the RV.

11:14:00 24 Q. Okay.

11:14:00 25 A. At an RV park.

11:14:02 1 Q. Okay. Was there a time that he moved elsewhere from  
11:14:05 2 Austin? Did he leave Austin at some point to move elsewhere?  
11:14:09 3 A. No.  
11:14:10 4 Q. No? He stayed in Austin for how long?  
11:14:13 5 A. It was a short time.  
11:14:15 6 Q. Okay. Do you know where else he moved after that?  
11:14:19 7 A. Well, he -- after that -- we were still married -- he  
11:14:22 8 moved up to Ohio.  
11:14:23 9 Q. Right. Okay. So he moved to Ohio.  
11:14:25 10 A. Yes.  
11:14:25 11 Q. All right. How long did y'all live in Ohio at that point?  
11:14:29 12 A. I don't remember.  
11:14:37 13 Q. All right. Was it a long time or a short amount of time?  
11:14:40 14 A. It was probably close to two years -- a year and a half to  
11:14:48 15 two years.  
11:14:48 16 Q. All right. Did you live anywhere else other than Ohio  
11:14:52 17 while you were still married after that?  
11:14:53 18 A. No.  
11:14:54 19 Q. All right. Were you divorced while you were living in  
11:14:57 20 Ohio?  
11:14:57 21 A. Yes.  
11:14:58 22 Q. Okay. After the divorce, okay, where did you live?  
11:15:03 23 A. I stayed in Ohio for a short time, and then I moved to  
11:15:07 24 Texas.  
11:15:07 25 Q. All right. Where did the defendant live after the

11:15:10 1 divorce, to your knowledge?

11:15:11 2 A. He lived in many places. He lived -- has lived in  
11:15:15 3 Florida, California, Texas. He's lived in Corpus Christi and  
11:15:22 4 Austin and Dallas and Houston. He's lived in northern  
11:15:30 5 California, and he's lived a couple of different places in  
11:15:32 6 Florida.

11:15:32 7 Q. All right. And you, again, kept in touch with him because  
11:15:42 8 he had custody of your son; is that right?

11:15:44 9 A. That's correct.

11:15:45 10 Q. Did he have custody of your son during all of those moves?

11:15:48 11 A. Most of them.

11:15:49 12 Q. All right. And you were obviously still in touch with  
11:15:53 13 your son, and he would visit regularly?

11:15:55 14 A. Yes.

11:15:55 15 Q. Okay. And you've lived in Texas all of that time since  
11:15:59 16 then? Since you've moved back to Texas, you've lived only in  
11:16:01 17 Texas?

11:16:02 18 A. Yes.

11:16:02 19 Q. All right. Why did -- why did the two of you -- and then  
11:16:08 20 after his -- after your divorce, why did he move so often?

11:16:12 21 A. He would take contracts -- software engineering contracts  
11:16:22 22 for work. And then when the contract was up, he would move on  
11:16:25 23 to a different contract. So he would go where he could get the  
11:16:28 24 most money.

11:16:28 25 Q. Okay. So it's all job related, basically?

11:16:33 1 A. Yes.

11:16:33 2 Q. All right. When, did -- while you were married, did you  
11:16:38 3 and he have computers and computer equipment in the house?

11:16:44 4 A. Yes.

11:16:44 5 Q. All right. Did you -- when was the earliest that you can  
11:16:49 6 remember you had computers and computer equipment in your  
11:16:52 7 residence, wherever you were?

11:16:54 8 A. He had a computer when we got married.

11:16:57 9 Q. All right. Did -- do you remember what kind of computer  
11:17:02 10 it was?

11:17:03 11 A. No.

11:17:03 12 Q. All right. Did he have more than one computer?

11:17:05 13 A. At times, yes.

11:17:07 14 Q. Okay. Did you have a computer?

11:17:09 15 A. Yes. And I used his sometimes before I got my own.

11:17:15 16 Q. All right. How would you describe his computer in terms  
11:17:19 17 of what kind was it? Was it a desktop? laptop? perhaps both?

11:17:24 18 A. Desktop -- well, he had both. When -- at first he had a  
11:17:28 19 desktop.

11:17:29 20 Q. Okay. And then at some point there was a laptop?

11:17:33 21 A. Yes.

11:17:33 22 Q. Okay. Maybe more -- was there more than one at any point?

11:17:36 23 A. More than one computer?

11:17:38 24 Q. Uh-huh.

11:17:39 25 A. Yes.

11:17:39 1 Q. Okay. Did he -- did he configure or build his own  
11:17:48 2 computer?

11:17:49 3 A. Yes.

11:17:50 4 Q. So he would add parts to it over time?

11:17:52 5 A. I guess.

11:17:53 6 Q. Okay.

11:17:54 7 A. He built -- the one computer he built. He ordered all the  
11:17:58 8 parts, and then he built it.

11:18:00 9 Q. Okay. When you moved to the various places, did you  
11:18:11 10 always bring your computer equipment?

11:18:13 11 A. Yes.

11:18:13 12 Q. And I'm saying the two of you when you were married.

11:18:16 13 A. Yes.

11:18:17 14 Q. All right. Did you have any video-recording equipment,  
11:18:25 15 like video cameras, with you?

11:18:28 16 A. Yes.

11:18:28 17 Q. All right. Who used the video equipment?

11:18:32 18 A. Mostly David.

11:18:33 19 Q. All right. Did he use it a lot?

11:18:36 20 A. Yes.

11:18:36 21 Q. Can you describe that in a little bit more detail.

11:18:40 22 A. Anytime we went anywhere, he would video record. He would  
11:18:44 23 video record the kids around the house. Just -- he would video  
11:18:50 24 record on his motorcycle. So he would have me video record him  
11:18:54 25 on his motorcycle.

11:18:56 1 Q. Okay. Okay. Would it be fair to say he was an avid  
11:19:02 2 videographer?

11:19:03 3 A. I would say that's fair.

11:19:05 4 Q. All right. What kinds of cameras did he own?

11:19:10 5 A. He owned a JVC camcorder. He owned another camcorder. I  
11:19:18 6 believe it was a Sony. And he also had some other camera  
11:19:21 7 equipment -- lipstick camera, and then another camera that was  
11:19:27 8 like a just a small camera on a circuit board that hooked to  
11:19:33 9 the camcorders so that he could video without actually using  
11:19:41 10 the camcorder.

11:19:41 11 Q. What do you mean by a lipstick camera?

11:19:44 12 A. It's a small, maybe, three inches -- two and a half to  
11:19:49 13 three inches long cylinder with a camera in the end. And then  
11:19:53 14 it hooked into the camcorder. He taped it to the top of his  
11:19:59 15 helmet when he rode his motorcycle.

11:20:01 16 Q. All right. Kind of a helmet cam, if you will?

11:20:04 17 A. He made it that way, yes.

11:20:06 18 Q. Okay. Are you -- did you previously describe a button  
11:20:12 19 camera?

11:20:12 20 A. I think that's probably what it's called.

11:20:15 21 Q. And what is that.

11:20:16 22 A. It's a camera on a circuit board, about one inch-by-one  
11:20:22 23 inch square. Very thin and with a round, very small camera  
11:20:27 24 lens on top of it. So I believe that they are -- they're kind  
11:20:33 25 of used in, like, the nanny cams. You know, like a teddy

11:20:37 1 bear's eye. I think that's it.

11:20:40 2 Q. Okay. Do you know what he was using that camera for?

11:20:43 3 A. I don't. He -- I didn't know he ever used it, if he did.

11:20:48 4 Q. But you had seen this lipstick cam and this button camera,  
11:20:52 5 as you describe it?

11:20:54 6 A. Yes.

11:20:54 7 Q. All right. Did he -- the JVC and the Sony cameras that  
11:21:00 8 you described, do you know how they recorded video? Did they  
11:21:03 9 do it on a tape? on a disc? internally? Do you recall?

11:21:08 10 A. I know that JVC camera used tapes. I don't recall the  
11:21:13 11 Sony.

11:21:14 12 Q. All right. Was there -- are you aware whether he ever  
11:21:19 13 uploaded and stored videos on his computer?

11:21:23 14 A. I know that he did upload and store videos of him riding  
11:21:28 15 his motorcycle on the computer.

11:21:31 16 Q. All right. Did he ever edit or maybe do anything -- I'm  
11:21:41 17 going to say do anything fancy with any of his videos on his  
11:21:44 18 computer.

11:21:45 19 A. He was trying to learn how. He had some video editing  
11:21:48 20 software that he was using, and he was learning how to use that  
11:21:52 21 to, like, set music to the videos and edit segments and stuff.

11:21:56 22 Q. Okay. Were you ever involved in any of that? In any  
11:22:00 23 video editing or anything like that?

11:22:03 24 A. No. I knew that he did it, but I didn't really take an  
11:22:07 25 interest.

11:22:07 1 Q. Okay. When you moved, what happened to the video cameras  
11:22:14 2 and the video equipment that you owned?  
11:22:17 3 A. They moved with us.  
11:22:18 4 Q. All right. When you divorced -- when you and he divorced,  
11:22:23 5 what happened to the video equipment?  
11:22:25 6 A. He kept it. And then at some point later after -- we had  
11:22:29 7 been divorced for a while -- he gave me the JVC camcorder so  
11:22:34 8 that I would have one. It was actually in the divorce that I  
11:22:38 9 would get one, but I didn't take it initially. I waited until  
11:22:42 10 later.  
11:22:42 11 Q. Okay. Do you know how much later that you got that  
11:22:45 12 camera, roughly?  
11:22:46 13 A. Maybe a year.  
11:22:48 14 Q. All right. And was it from that camera that you -- was  
11:22:52 15 that the camera that you gave later to Special Agent Mullen?  
11:22:56 16 A. Yes.  
11:22:57 17 Q. Okay. And inside it was -- it contained a -- a video  
11:23:00 18 recording?  
11:23:01 19 A. Yes.  
11:23:02 20 Q. Okay. And he -- Special Agent Mullen retained that video  
11:23:10 21 recording; is that right?  
11:23:11 22 A. That's correct.  
11:23:12 23 Q. Just some home movies, I guess; is that right?  
11:23:16 24 A. Yes.  
11:23:16 25 Q. Have you ever heard of any software called retriever

11:23:28 1 software?

11:23:29 2 A. Yes.

11:23:29 3 Q. Can you tell me -- tell us about that?

11:23:31 4 A. It's a software program that David wrote to go onto the

11:23:35 5 Internet and download files. I don't know much more about it

11:23:42 6 than that. I probably did years ago, but I don't really

11:23:46 7 remember.

11:23:46 8 Q. How do you know about that?

11:23:48 9 A. Well, he wrote it. He told me about it. And I actually

11:23:52 10 designed and animated GIF for him to use for the program.

11:23:56 11 Q. Okay. Was this while you were married?

11:23:59 12 A. Yes.

11:23:59 13 Q. All right. Do you know why he developed that?

11:24:03 14 A. To go Online and download files. But I don't know

11:24:10 15 exactly -- I don't know much about it, really.

11:24:15 16 Q. Okay. Now, you're aware of what the defendant is charged

11:24:23 17 with here in court today; is that correct?

11:24:25 18 A. That's correct.

11:24:26 19 Q. Production of child pornography. While you were married

11:24:31 20 to him, were you aware of any activities that he had involving

11:24:37 21 child pornography?

11:24:38 22 A. No, I was not.

11:24:39 23 Q. Were you involved in any way with child pornography?

11:24:42 24 A. No, I was not.

11:24:43 25 Q. When did you first learn about this investigation?

11:24:47 1 A. I learned about this investigation between Christmas and  
11:24:53 2 New Year's of 2009.

11:24:56 3 Q. Okay. And was that -- what did you learn at that point?

11:25:02 4 A. I learned that --

11:25:05 5 MR. ORR: Objection to hearsay, Your Honor. What she  
11:25:08 6 learned.

11:25:08 7 THE COURT: Well, it's not hearsay yet. I'm going to  
11:25:11 8 let her answer the question, and then I'll let you re-urge your  
11:25:14 9 objection.

11:25:14 10 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

11:25:15 11 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Just in general what did you learn about  
11:25:17 12 the investigation?

11:25:18 13 A. I learned that David was under investigation for -- for --  
11:25:26 14 at the time, what I knew of it was child molestation of someone  
11:25:32 15 that I knew.

11:25:32 16 Q. Okay.

11:25:33 17 THE COURT: Now, when you say between Christmas and  
11:25:37 18 New Year's 2009, was that Christmas 2008 to New Year's 2009 or  
11:25:42 19 Christmas 2009 to New Year's 2010?

11:25:47 20 THE WITNESS: Christmas 2009 to New Year's 2010.

11:25:51 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

11:25:52 22 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Did you have anything to do with starting  
11:25:55 23 that investigation?

11:25:57 24 A. No. I did not start the investigation.

11:25:59 25 Q. Okay. It was already ongoing when you learned about it?

11:26:02 1 A. Correct.

11:26:03 2 MR. DEVLIN: May I have a moment, Your Honor.

11:26:05 3 THE COURT: You may.

11:26:15 4 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I pass the witness.

11:26:17 5 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

11:26:34 6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

11:26:34 7 **BY MR. ORR:**

11:26:34 8 Q. Hi. How are you doing?

11:26:35 9 A. Hi.

11:26:36 10 Q. So when you lived with Mr. Diehl here in Austin and you  
11:26:49 11 had -- you had a son, correct?

11:26:51 12 A. Correct.

11:26:52 13 Q. Little A.?

11:26:53 14 A. Yes.

11:26:54 15 Q. That's what he goes by, right?

11:26:56 16 A. Yes.

11:26:56 17 Q. So he was a little boy at the time?

11:26:58 18 A. Uh-huh.

11:26:58 19 Q. He's getting bigger now, right?

11:27:00 20 A. Yes.

11:27:01 21 Q. Okay. Good. And so far as the sorts of things -- one of  
11:27:08 22 the things that you did with Mr. Diehl is y'all went to the  
11:27:11 23 Star Ranch Nudist Colony here in the Austin area, did you not?

11:27:16 24 A. We did.

11:27:17 25 Q. Okay. And so at the ranch there are children who were

11:27:22 1 nude, correct?

11:27:23 2 A. That is correct.

11:27:24 3 Q. Adults, children, everybody runs around without their

11:27:27 4 clothes on?

11:27:28 5 A. That's correct.

11:27:29 6 Q. And so far as how many visits do you think he made to the

11:27:34 7 Star Ranch with David?

11:27:36 8 A. Many. We -- we were members.

11:27:41 9 Q. Okay.

11:27:41 10 A. We went regularly.

11:27:43 11 Q. Okay. Did he have a -- did y'all have a cabin there ever?

11:27:47 12 A. Yes.

11:27:48 13 Q. Okay. And you still continue to go to the Star Ranch, do

11:27:53 14 you not?

11:27:54 15 A. I haven't been lately because he won't let me.

11:27:57 16 Q. Okay. Well, your parents stay out there, do they not?

11:28:02 17 A. Yes, they do.

11:28:03 18 Q. Okay. And you and Mr. Diehl are now at the -- you're

11:28:08 19 feuding about A., correct?

11:28:10 20 A. Yes.

11:28:11 21 Q. That's maybe a -- that's a fair way to put it, is it not?

11:28:15 22 There are some sort of civil proceedings pending about your

11:28:18 23 son?

11:28:19 24 A. Yes.

11:28:19 25 Q. Okay. And one of the things his civil lawyer asked to

11:28:23 1 have done in that case was that you be enjoined from taking A.  
11:28:26 2 to the Star Ranch?

11:28:28 3 A. Yes.

11:28:28 4 Q. Okay. And you -- have you violated that order, not to do  
11:28:32 5 that?

11:28:33 6 A. No, I have not.

11:28:34 7 Q. Okay. So -- and do you have any idea why Mr. Diehl did  
11:28:38 8 not want A. going to the Star Ranch?

11:28:41 9 MR. DEVLIN: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

11:28:49 10 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, do you have a response to the  
11:28:51 11 objection?

11:28:51 12 MR. ORR: I think it's relevant in that they try to  
11:28:56 13 portray Mr. Diehl in a poor light, and I'm trying to put him  
11:28:59 14 back in a slighter better light.

11:29:01 15 THE COURT: I'll allow the question. The objection  
11:29:02 16 is overruled.

11:29:04 17 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

11:29:05 18 Q. (BY MR. ORR) Do you have any idea why Mr. Diehl would not  
11:29:08 19 want his son going to the Star Ranch?

11:29:10 20 A. No. I'm not sure why.

11:29:12 21 Q. Okay. All right. Are you aware of the -- other than just  
11:29:19 22 a general outline of what the charges are against Mr. Diehl in  
11:29:23 23 this case, are you aware of any of -- any of the videos or what  
11:29:27 24 the details are?

11:29:28 25 A. I have identified victims.

11:29:30 1 Q. Okay. That's because Mr. -- Mr. Devlin and Agent Mullen  
11:29:36 2 have shown you still photographs?

11:29:38 3 A. Yes.

11:29:39 4 Q. And you were able to say, Well, that's so and so and this  
11:29:42 5 is so and so. That sort of thing, correct?

11:29:44 6 A. Correct.

11:29:45 7 Q. All right. Well, when you visit the Star Ranch, is there  
11:29:52 8 ways to video -- can you take photographs out there and  
11:29:55 9 videos? Can you do that?

11:29:56 10 A. In general it's not allowed.

11:29:58 11 Q. But in -- are there specific instances where it can be  
11:30:02 12 allowed?

11:30:02 13 A. There are.

11:30:03 14 Q. Okay. And what -- tell the Court what -- what those  
11:30:06 15 instances are?

11:30:07 16 A. Special events. They take photographs of the children  
11:30:13 17 with Santa Clause at Christmas. Most of the children are  
11:30:18 18 clothed --

11:30:19 19 Q. Okay.

11:30:19 20 A. -- when that happens.

11:30:20 21 Q. Okay. And do the children -- in order for the parents to  
11:30:24 22 get permission for them to be photographed, do they have to  
11:30:28 23 wear an arm band?

11:30:29 24 A. I believe that was the case during the 2000 convention.

11:30:32 25 Q. Okay. And so there was a convention in 2000, correct?

11:30:35 1 A. Yes.

11:30:36 2 Q. And you attended that with Mr. Diehl and A.?

11:30:38 3 A. No, I did not.

11:30:39 4 Q. Oh, you did not go?

11:30:41 5 A. No. And neither did A..

11:30:43 6 Q. Okay. Well, were you out there at all in 2000?

11:30:46 7 A. Yes.

11:30:47 8 Q. Okay. With Mr. Diehl and A.?

11:30:49 9 A. Yes.

11:30:49 10 Q. Okay. But you have no recollection of attending the

11:30:54 11 convention?

11:30:55 12 A. No.

11:30:55 13 Q. Okay. You think that Mr. Diehl went there by himself?

11:30:58 14 A. Yes.

11:30:59 15 Q. Okay. All right. Now, was there a time when -- that a

11:31:12 16 young relative of Mr. Diehl's came to live with you in Austin?

11:31:16 17 A. Yes.

11:31:16 18 Q. And about when was that?

11:31:18 19 A. That was in '99, I believe.

11:31:27 20 Q. Okay. And how long did she live with you?

11:31:29 21 A. I don't recall. She lived with us for a while. She was

11:31:34 22 enrolled in school.

11:31:35 23 Q. About six months to a year at the most?

11:31:38 24 A. Yes.

11:31:38 25 Q. Something like that?

11:31:39 1 And without mentioning her name, she would be  
11:31:42 2 Mr. Diehl's niece. Would that be fair to say?  
11:31:44 3 A. Yes.  
11:31:45 4 Q. Okay. And about how old was she at that time?  
11:31:48 5 A. I believe she was seven.  
11:31:50 6 Q. Okay. Could she have been a little older?  
11:31:55 7 A. She might have been eight.  
11:31:56 8 Q. Okay. Were there any problems with her in terms of  
11:32:01 9 discipline or anything like that?  
11:32:03 10 A. Occasionally. She's a child. There occasionally are.  
11:32:08 11 Q. Well, that's part of growing up. Sometimes you're hard to  
11:32:12 12 handle?  
11:32:12 13 A. Yes.  
11:32:12 14 Q. Not everyone can be the perfect child like I was, I  
11:32:18 15 suppose.  
11:32:18 16 So did you -- did you know -- there was another young  
11:32:32 17 lady. I think you've been shown her photograph probably from a  
11:32:35 18 video from the Star Ranch.  
11:32:40 19 A. Can you be more specific?  
11:32:41 20 Q. Well, I'd rather not mention her name.  
11:32:44 21 A. Well, yes.  
11:32:45 22 Q. The young lady from the Star Ranch. She would have been  
11:32:49 23 about ten at the time?  
11:32:50 24 A. Yes.  
11:32:50 25 Q. Okay. And did there ever come a time, for instance, when

11:33:03 1 either -- when some young girl would climb into your bed with  
11:33:08 2 you and David?  
11:33:09 3 A. Very rarely. I didn't like to have the children in my  
11:33:13 4 bed. A. didn't sleep in my bed.  
11:33:15 5 Q. Well, you'd kick them out, right?  
11:33:17 6 A. Yes.  
11:33:17 7 Q. You and David would kick them out?  
11:33:19 8 A. Yes.  
11:33:20 9 Q. It was something -- it was unwanted attention that you  
11:33:23 10 were receiving from the little girls, correct?  
11:33:26 11 A. Well, either A. or her. We didn't allow either of them to  
11:33:29 12 sleep in the bed.  
11:33:30 13 Q. Okay. It just gets too crowded, right?  
11:33:35 14 A. Yeah. I just didn't think it was appropriate.  
11:33:37 15 Q. Okay. All right. And did -- was there a time when Mr. --  
11:33:42 16 Mr. Diehl had a motorcycle accident, around -- somewhere around  
11:33:46 17 this time frame that we're talking about?  
11:33:48 18 A. Yes.  
11:33:48 19 Q. Okay. Was it a fairly bad accident?  
11:33:50 20 A. Yes.  
11:33:51 21 Q. Okay. Could you tell the Court what happened to him in  
11:33:54 22 that accident?  
11:33:54 23 A. He injured his hand, his forearm, his ribs.  
11:34:00 24 Q. Okay. And did you -- did you go to the hospital with him?  
11:34:05 25 A. Yes.

11:34:06 1 Q. And what hospital did he go to?

11:34:08 2 A. Seton Northwest.

11:34:09 3 Q. Okay. How long was he in the hospital?

11:34:11 4 A. It was just an ER visit.

11:34:13 5 Q. Just an emergency visit?

11:34:16 6 A. Yes.

11:34:16 7 Q. Okay. And was he given some drugs there at the hospital?

11:34:20 8 A. Yes.

11:34:20 9 Q. Okay. Do you know what he was given?

11:34:22 10 A. Morphine, and then he was prescribed Silvadene for his

11:34:27 11 road rash.

11:34:28 12 Q. For what?

11:34:28 13 A. Road rash.

11:34:30 14 Q. Okay. Was he given some Oxycontin there?

11:34:33 15 A. I do not recall any.

11:34:35 16 Q. Okay. Well, did -- did you and Mr. Diehl share a doctor?

11:34:41 17 That is, did you have -- did he go to the same doctor you went

11:34:46 18 to?

11:34:46 19 A. Yes.

11:34:46 20 Q. Okay. And was the doctor prescribing medications for you?

11:34:51 21 A. Yes.

11:34:51 22 Q. And what had he prescribed for you?

11:34:54 23 A. Well, I don't believe that was at the same time --

11:34:57 24 MR. ORR: Objection. Relevance.

11:34:58 25 THE COURT: Mr. Orr. Relevance?

11:35:00 1 MR. ORR: Well, it goes to the relevance of what  
11:35:02 2 Mr. Diehl was allowed to take and what he got from the same  
11:35:05 3 doctor.

11:35:06 4 THE COURT: All right. And what relevance does all  
11:35:08 5 of that have to the charges in the indictment?

11:35:10 6 MR. ORR: I think it goes to what -- to the  
11:35:15 7 apparent -- to this time frame, Your Honor, he was on these  
11:35:19 8 medications. And this is the only time frame which I think  
11:35:22 9 that any of this ever occurred. The Government's allegations  
11:35:25 10 go to the time frame of 1999 to 2000. And I'm trying to show  
11:35:29 11 during this time frame that he had been receiving medications  
11:35:32 12 from his doctor and from the hospital as a result from his  
11:35:36 13 wreck. It might be more appropriate at sentencing but -- if we  
11:35:39 14 get that far.

11:35:39 15 THE COURT: I'll allow you a little leeway, but don't  
11:35:42 16 belabor the point.

11:35:43 17 MR. ORR: No, sir. I won't.

11:35:45 18 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

11:35:46 19 Q. (BY MR. ORR) So do you remember the doctor's name?

11:35:49 20 A. No.

11:35:49 21 Q. Okay. Well, did you get some medications from the doctor?

11:35:53 22 A. I did, yes.

11:35:55 23 Q. And did Mr. Diehl get some medications from the doctor?

11:35:58 24 A. I do not recall.

11:35:59 25 Q. Okay. Well, at one point the doctor gave you too much --

11:36:04 1 too many medications, and you actually overdosed, correct?

11:36:07 2 A. That is correct.

11:36:08 3 Q. By accident, I assume, correct?

11:36:10 4 A. Yes.

11:36:10 5 Q. All right. But whatever the doctor gave you, it was

11:36:13 6 something that apparently was either too much in quantity or

11:36:16 7 not an appropriate substance, correct?

11:36:18 8 A. Correct.

11:36:20 9 Q. And you do know that Mr. Diehl visited this doctor?

11:36:24 10 A. Yes.

11:36:24 11 Q. Okay. Now, so far as you mentioned these video cameras,

11:36:41 12 Mr. Diehl never video-ed you in any way during the course of

11:36:45 13 your marriage or your time together. Would that be a fair

11:36:48 14 statement?

11:36:48 15 A. He video recorded me.

11:36:50 16 Q. Did he video you in any kind of sexual activities?

11:36:54 17 A. Not to my knowledge.

11:36:55 18 Q. Okay. Other than just home videos, that's all you know

11:36:58 19 about with him, correct?

11:36:59 20 A. Correct.

11:37:00 21 Q. Okay. Did you have access to his computer there at the

11:37:04 22 house that you lived in together in Austin?

11:37:07 23 A. Yes.

11:37:08 24 Q. Okay. And you never saw any -- any -- you testified you

11:37:11 25 didn't know anything about any of this sort of thing. You

11:37:14 1 didn't see any kind of child pornography or any kind of  
11:37:19 2 pornography on the computer. Would that be a fair statement?  
11:37:22 3 A. That is fair.  
11:37:23 4 Q. Okay. Now, you -- Mr. Diehl during the time of the  
11:37:31 5 marriage was gone a lot, was he not? Traveling?  
11:37:34 6 A. That is true.  
11:37:35 7 Q. And that was difficult, was it not? Or was it?  
11:37:39 8 A. Some -- most of the time, it was.  
11:37:41 9 Q. Okay. In any event, you've been remarried to another man,  
11:37:45 10 correct?  
11:37:45 11 A. That's correct.  
11:37:46 12 Q. And what is his name?  
11:37:48 13 A. Charles.  
11:37:48 14 Q. Okay. And you -- what's his last name?  
11:37:51 15 A. Claybo (phonetic).  
11:37:52 16 Q. Okay. And you have -- you have three children with him,  
11:37:57 17 do you not?  
11:37:58 18 A. Yes, I do.  
11:37:58 19 Q. Okay. And now you are seeking custody of A., right?  
11:38:02 20 A. I have custody of A.  
11:38:04 21 Q. Okay. Well, you have custody. Do you have temporary  
11:38:07 22 custody or permanent custody?  
11:38:08 23 A. Temporary.  
11:38:09 24 Q. Okay. So you're seeking permanent custody?  
11:38:12 25 A. Yes.

11:38:12 1 Q. Okay. Now, when -- when Mr. -- at the time of the  
11:38:21 2 divorce, Mr. Diehl, what, got custody of his son by agreement  
11:38:24 3 with you? Is that the way it worked?  
11:38:28 4 A. No. At the time of the divorce, we had shared parenting.  
11:38:31 5 Q. Okay. And then did that become parenting that Mr. Diehl  
11:38:37 6 undertook more than you did in terms of time, at least?  
11:38:41 7 A. Mr. Diehl moved.  
11:38:43 8 Q. Uh-huh.  
11:38:44 9 A. And then we were still sharing A. And then he took him in  
11:38:52 10 the middle of the night and took him to Ohio and filed for  
11:38:55 11 custody while I was pregnant on bed rest and couldn't travel.  
11:38:59 12 And he lied to the Court, and he told the Court that I had no  
11:39:03 13 part of A.'s life.  
11:39:06 14 Q. And how long ago was that?  
11:39:08 15 A. That was in two thousand -- 2003 -- 2002.  
11:39:15 16 Q. Okay. And -- but until Diehl was arrested by the  
11:39:19 17 United States of America, you didn't undertake to get custody  
11:39:23 18 of A. back?  
11:39:24 19 A. No, I did not.  
11:39:36 20 MR. ORR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?  
11:40:17 21 Q. (BY MR. ORR) Okay. So -- and you're aware of the  
11:40:20 22 activities that Mr. Diehl has undertaken with his son A.?  
11:40:24 23 A. Could you be more specific?  
11:40:26 24 Q. Well, he takes him to tennis lessons and takes him to  
11:40:30 25 school and that sort of thing?

11:40:32 1 A. He did. Now I do that.

11:40:33 2 Q. Huh?

11:40:34 3 A. He did, and now I do that.

11:40:35 4 Q. Okay. And he's quite an accomplished tennis player, is he  
11:40:40 5 not?

11:40:40 6 A. He is.

11:40:41 7 Q. Now, you claim he was taken in the middle of the night?

11:40:43 8 A. Yes, he was.

11:40:44 9 Q. You mean from your house? You mean stolen or kidnapped?

11:40:48 10 A. No. When it was his visitation.

11:40:50 11 Q. Okay. Well, if the -- the divorce was in Ohio, correct?

11:40:56 12 A. Correct.

11:40:56 13 Q. And so that until I guess changed, that Court would have  
11:41:01 14 jurisdiction over the child?

11:41:03 15 A. Yes.

11:41:04 16 Q. And so was there a change of orders there after -- at some  
11:41:09 17 time after the divorce?

11:41:10 18 A. There was.

11:41:11 19 Q. Okay. And you undertook no way -- nothing to change that  
11:41:16 20 order?

11:41:17 21 A. No.

11:41:18 22 Q. Okay.

11:41:20 23 THE COURT: Now, I'm giving you some leeway here, but  
11:41:23 24 I think --

11:41:23 25 MR. ORR: I was about to move on. You're absolutely

11:41:26 1 right, Your Honor. Your Honor has once again given me some  
11:41:30 2 latitude.

11:41:31 3 THE COURT: Don't abuse it.

11:41:33 4 Q. (BY MR. ORR) Just one more question.

11:42:08 5 Did there ever come a time -- well, do you know who a  
11:42:11 6 person named Kenneth Courtney is?

11:42:13 7 A. I don't know Kenneth Courtney personally. I have heard of  
11:42:18 8 him.

11:42:18 9 Q. And did you ever mention him to the FBI?

11:42:21 10 A. I did.

11:42:21 11 Q. And in what context did you do that?

11:42:28 12 A. I -- David told me that -- that Kenneth Courtney had been  
11:42:33 13 arrested for possession of child pornography, and I relayed  
11:42:38 14 that information to the FBI, that Kenneth Courtney was someone  
11:42:41 15 that David knew.

11:42:42 16 Q. That he what?

11:42:43 17 A. That Kenneth Courtney was someone that David knew after  
11:42:46 18 David told me that he had been arrested.

11:42:49 19 Q. Okay. And when you -- when you lived with Mr. Diehl, did  
11:43:18 20 he seem to love his son?

11:43:19 21 A. Yes.

11:43:20 22 Q. And you never saw him -- you know the allegations against  
11:43:24 23 him, correct?

11:43:25 24 A. Yes.

11:43:25 25 Q. And you never saw him undertake anything like that that

11:43:30 1 involved -- you'd had no idea that, if any of this was going  
11:43:35 2 on, it was going on -- let me put it like that -- correct?  
11:43:38 3 A. I'm sorry can you ask the question?  
11:43:40 4 Q. You never knew anything -- in other words, you never knew  
11:43:43 5 about any videos of any children?  
11:43:45 6 A. No, I did not. I mean, not any pornographic videos.  
11:43:49 7 Q. Well, the normal family home videos?  
11:43:52 8 A. Yes. There were family home videos.  
11:43:55 9 Q. Okay. And that's all that you knew about?  
11:43:58 10 A. Yes.  
11:43:58 11 Q. Okay.  
11:44:00 12 MR. ORR: Pass the witness.  
11:44:02 13 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin, redirect?  
11:44:03 14 MR. DEVLIN: Nothing further, Your Honor.  
11:44:05 15 THE COURT: All right. You may step down. At this  
11:44:07 16 time the Court will be in recess until 1:30.  
11:44:10 17 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, before that may the witness  
11:44:12 18 be excused? I know that Mr. Orr has subpoenaed her.  
11:44:16 19 MR. ORR: Can we have rebuttal, Your Honor, if  
11:44:20 20 necessary? I don't think it will be necessary.  
11:44:22 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll have to keep her  
11:44:25 22 here.  
11:44:28 23 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.  
11:44:28 24 THE COURT: All right. The Court's in recess until  
11:44:30 25 1:30.

11:44:30 1 (Recess)

13:34:42 2 (Open Court, Defendant present)

13:34:42 3 THE COURT: Are we ready to proceed? Do we have  
13:34:44 4 anything we need to take up?

13:34:46 5 MR. DEVLIN: Nothing we need to take up from the  
13:34:47 6 Government, Your Honor.

13:34:48 7 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, anything?

13:34:49 8 MR. ORR: No, Your Honor.

13:34:49 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Devlin, you may call your  
13:34:52 10 next witness.

13:34:53 11 MR. DEVLIN: Call Kenneth Courtney.

13:35:42 12 (Witness sworn)

13:36:03 13 MR. DEVLIN: May I proceed, Your Honor?

13:36:04 14 THE COURT: You may.

13:36:05 15 **KENNETH COURTNEY,**

13:36:05 16 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

13:36:05 17 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

13:36:05 18 **BY MR. DEVLIN:**

13:36:06 19 Q. Your name is Kenneth Courtney, and that's spelled  
13:36:10 20 C-o-u-r-t-n-e-y; is that correct?

13:36:11 21 A. Yes, sir.

13:36:11 22 Q. Okay. And you are -- do you know the defendant in this  
13:36:17 23 case, David Diehl?

13:36:18 24 A. Yes, sir.

13:36:18 25 Q. And, Judge, may I -- I'm just going to adjust his

13:36:22 1 microphone a little bit. Or if you can do that.

13:36:29 2 A. Yes, sir.

13:36:30 3 Q. How do you know Mr. Diehl?

13:36:33 4 A. We were friends.

13:36:35 5 Q. How long have you known him?

13:36:38 6 A. Since 2006 -- 2005. Roughly six, seven years.

13:36:50 7 Q. Okay. Around mid 2000s or so. Okay. You describe

13:36:58 8 yourselves as friends. Can you be a little bit more detailed

13:37:02 9 about that? How good a friends were you?

13:37:04 10 A. We were -- we shared a common profession, programming. We

13:37:12 11 both had -- we were single parents. We both had similar

13:37:18 12 political views. Similar pastimes -- motorcycles. Good

13:37:28 13 friends.

13:37:28 14 Q. Okay. Where did you first meet him?

13:37:32 15 A. Busch Gardens.

13:37:33 16 Q. Was that in Tampa, Florida?

13:37:36 17 A. Yes, sir.

13:37:36 18 Q. Okay. And just basically fair to say that you were in the

13:37:40 19 same vicinity and struck up a conversation --

13:37:43 20 A. Yes, sir.

13:37:43 21 Q. -- and went from there?

13:37:44 22 Okay. How frequently did you socialize with him,

13:37:53 23 would you say, on average?

13:37:54 24 A. Professionally, probably virtually every day during our

13:37:59 25 professional careers. Sometimes it was on and off, but

13:38:02 1 virtually every day on Instant Messenger or other forms of  
13:38:10 2 Internet communication. But primarily instant messenger.  
13:38:14 3 Q. Okay. How about personally?  
13:38:16 4 A. Telephone, maybe every other day or maybe once a week or  
13:38:21 5 something like that. It just depended.  
13:38:23 6 Q. Okay. Did you know his family?  
13:38:27 7 A. I knew his son A.  
13:38:28 8 Q. All right. Did you have a child of your own who was about  
13:38:33 9 A.'s age?  
13:38:33 10 A. Yes, sir. Lauren. She's almost 12.  
13:38:35 11 Q. Okay. She's almost 12 now?  
13:38:39 12 A. Yes, sir.  
13:38:39 13 Q. Okay. So five or six years ago, I guess she would have  
13:38:42 14 been five, six, seven years old?  
13:38:44 15 A. Correct. I also had another daughter at that time who has  
13:38:48 16 since passed away.  
13:38:49 17 Q. Okay. Did the defendant know your family?  
13:38:51 18 A. Yes, sir.  
13:38:52 19 Q. Okay. Did you visit his house?  
13:38:56 20 A. Yes, I did.  
13:38:57 21 Q. And where was he living at the time that you knew him?  
13:39:00 22 A. In Oldsmar, Florida.  
13:39:01 23 Q. Okay.  
13:39:02 24 A. Just outside of Tampa.  
13:39:03 25 Q. All right. And where were you living at that time?

13:39:05 1 A. I was living in Brandon -- well, Riverview, Florida.

13:39:09 2 Q. I take it they're fairly close in distance?

13:39:12 3 A. Roughly 35 miles.

13:39:13 4 Q. All right. Was there a time -- when you met him, he was

13:39:17 5 living at this location in Florida?

13:39:18 6 A. I believe so.

13:39:19 7 Q. Okay. Did -- was there a point in time that -- after that

13:39:24 8 that he moved away from Florida?

13:39:27 9 A. More recently he had spent a year in San Jose, California.

13:39:32 10 Q. Do you remember roughly when that was?

13:39:35 11 A. About a year and a half before May -- before I fell. So

13:39:40 12 that was I guess 2008. I can't remember precisely.

13:39:44 13 Q. Okay. And I'm asking you a lot about times. I think

13:39:47 14 you've related to me previously that times are not necessarily

13:39:49 15 the most precise thing for you. Is that fair to say?

13:39:52 16 A. I go by basic milestones in my home life, and that would

13:39:56 17 be the loss of my daughter in 2006. So plus or minus. It's

13:40:01 18 kind of vague now. And also because I've been down for two

13:40:06 19 years.

13:40:06 20 Q. Let's talk about that for a minute, Mr. Courtney. You're

13:40:10 21 currently serving a sentence yourself; is that correct?

13:40:14 22 A. Yes, sir.

13:40:15 23 Q. And it's a 15-year sentence with the State of Florida?

13:40:18 24 A. Yes, sir.

13:40:19 25 Q. And what is that for?

13:40:20 1 A. Possession of child pornography.

13:40:21 2 Q. Okay. You were -- you were sentenced in -- do you

13:40:27 3 remember when you were sentenced?

13:40:29 4 A. Roughly May 15th of last year -- of this past year.

13:40:36 5 Q. Of 2010?

13:40:37 6 A. Yes, sir.

13:40:38 7 Q. Okay. When -- when were you arrested?

13:40:40 8 A. May 14th of 2009.

13:40:43 9 Q. All right. And where were you living at the time?

13:40:46 10 A. Riverview, Florida.

13:40:48 11 Q. And where is that -- what big city is that near? Tampa?

13:40:51 12 A. Tampa. It's eight miles east of Tampa.

13:40:54 13 Q. Okay. And your sentence of 15 years is pretty much solid

13:41:02 14 time. There's no parole in Florida; is that correct?

13:41:05 15 A. 85 percent.

13:41:06 16 Q. So you will serve 85 --

13:41:08 17 A. Twelve years, nine months.

13:41:09 18 Q. Twelve years and nine months. So you're looking at

13:41:13 19 probably around, 2021 to get out?

13:41:16 20 A. February 5th, 2022.

13:41:18 21 Q. 2022. Okay. In terms of your being here today, you're

13:41:23 22 not voluntarily here today, are you? I mean, in terms of you

13:41:29 23 didn't -- you didn't raise your hand and say, Hey, I'll come to

13:41:32 24 this trial. You were subpoenaed or you were writted out; is

13:41:35 25 that correct?

13:41:35 1 A. That's correct.

13:41:36 2 Q. All right. Regarding your testimony here today, have  
13:41:41 3 there been any promises or deals or any other benefits that  
13:41:50 4 have been represented to you in exchange to your testimony?

13:41:53 5 A. Nothing's been promised. However, I've been told that you  
13:41:56 6 will call my prosecuting attorney or prosecutor and say that I  
13:42:00 7 was here and that I testified truthfully.

13:42:05 8 Q. Okay. Well, in terms of your -- again, as far as a  
13:42:09 9 promise, I represented to you that I would contact the  
13:42:12 10 prosecutor in your state case.

13:42:13 11 A. Yes, sir.

13:42:14 12 Q. And give the prosecutor an overview of the nature of your  
13:42:18 13 testimony and what have you; is that correct?

13:42:20 14 A. Yes, sir.

13:42:21 15 Q. And that could be good, bad, or ugly if you come in here  
13:42:26 16 and lie; is that correct?

13:42:27 17 A. Absolutely.

13:42:28 18 Q. Okay. So it's not necessarily that he's going to be  
13:42:31 19 told -- or he or she is going to be told that you testified  
13:42:35 20 truthfully. It's that you testified --

13:42:37 21 A. Yes, sir.

13:42:37 22 Q. -- and what it was that you testified to; is that correct?

13:42:39 23 A. Yes, sir.

13:42:39 24 Q. And is it fair to say that it's your hope that you do get a  
13:42:45 25 benefit of this in terms of a reduction of your sentence?

13:42:48 1 A. Yes, sir.

13:42:48 2 Q. Okay. But no promises have been made by me or even the  
13:42:51 3 Florida prosecutor regarding that; is that correct?

13:42:55 4 A. No promises have been made.

13:42:56 5 Q. And no promises have been made by any court official or  
13:43:00 6 any other law enforcement official; is that correct?

13:43:03 7 A. Yes, sir.

13:43:03 8 Q. That's just your own personal hope, what you would like to  
13:43:07 9 see happen. But there's been no promise to that effect made;  
13:43:10 10 is that correct?

13:43:10 11 A. Yes, sir.

13:43:11 12 Q. And you're not testifying here today under any grant of  
13:43:14 13 immunity. Is that true?

13:43:15 14 A. That's true.

13:43:16 15 Q. All right. In terms of your testimony, was there anything  
13:43:19 16 that -- that I or maybe Agent Mullen or any other law  
13:43:24 17 enforcement agent told you to say in terms of your testimony?

13:43:27 18 A. Just to be truthful.

13:43:28 19 Q. Okay. Anything else?

13:43:30 20 A. No.

13:43:31 21 Q. All right. And is that your intent today, is to be  
13:43:35 22 truthful?

13:43:35 23 A. Yes, sir.

13:43:36 24 Q. Okay. Your possession of child pornography conviction,  
13:43:49 25 was that in any way -- was there anybody else involved in the

13:43:54 1 activities that gave rise to that?

13:43:56 2 A. No, sir.

13:43:57 3 Q. All right. Briefly, did that arise as a result of a  
13:44:02 4 search being conducted at your house?

13:44:04 5 A. Well, the -- the short form would be no.

13:44:10 6 Q. Okay. How did it arise?

13:44:14 7 A. I had a friend who -- who had -- I inadvertently showed my  
13:44:20 8 collection to who was later arrested. And as part of his deal,  
13:44:25 9 he said that I had that possession of child pornography. The  
13:44:33 10 detectives came to my house and said they were investigating a  
13:44:36 11 burglary, and then I allowed them into my house. At which  
13:44:41 12 point they told me that they were with the Cyber Crimes unit  
13:44:44 13 and that they were searching only for producers of child  
13:44:48 14 pornography, at which point a long discussion ensued. After  
13:44:52 15 which I gave them complete access to my computer and -- to  
13:44:56 16 prove that I wasn't making anything. I had a ten-year-old  
13:45:00 17 daughter that I had custody of, and I wanted to prove that I  
13:45:06 18 wasn't making it or anything else. And the only way I could do  
13:45:10 19 that was to give them everything I had.

13:45:12 20 Q. Okay. Did you ultimately plead guilty?

13:45:14 21 A. Yes, sir.

13:45:14 22 Q. All right. Were there -- was the Florida State charges  
13:45:19 23 the only charges?

13:45:20 24 A. Yes, sir.

13:45:21 25 Q. There were no federal charges that came out of it?

13:45:24 1 A. No, sir.

13:45:24 2 Q. Let me go back for a moment to something you mentioned.

13:45:33 3 You said that you and Mr. -- let me go back one second.

13:45:37 4 Was the defendant -- was Defendant Diehl in any way  
13:45:40 5 the person or part of the people who had reported you about the  
13:45:43 6 possession of child pornography?

13:45:45 7 A. No.

13:45:45 8 Q. Okay. In terms of your professional background and the  
13:45:48 9 defendant's, you said you were both programmers. Can you  
13:45:52 10 elaborate a little bit on that?

13:45:54 11 A. We both wrote -- I was a senior partner and was writing  
13:45:58 12 Microsoft.net software. Specifically Web services, Enterprise  
13:46:05 13 integration, advanced BIOS parsing engines. You name it, we  
13:46:11 14 wrote it. So ...

13:46:12 15 Q. All right. Was he doing similar things?

13:46:16 16 A. Yes. He's a senior technologist.

13:46:18 17 Q. All right. And you-all did collaborate on professional  
13:46:24 18 projects?

13:46:25 19 A. Many times. Yes, sir.

13:46:25 20 Q. And what kinds of things did you collaborate on?

13:46:28 21 A. On Enterprise file parsing engine to parse Adobe .pdf  
13:46:37 22 files into a database from a flat format. Collaborated on  
13:46:42 23 that. That was for a florist. And I referred him for a job I  
13:46:51 24 got fired from, and he took the job after I got fired from it  
13:46:54 25 for 90 days. A somewhat small project.

13:47:01 1 Q. Okay. What did you think of him as a software programmer?

13:47:04 2 A. Top of the line.

13:47:06 3 Q. You were pretty good yourself?

13:47:08 4 A. I thought so.

13:47:09 5 Q. Okay. What kinds of things did -- were you familiar with

13:47:15 6 any of his specific projects that he worked on as a programmer?

13:47:19 7 A. Well, he worked on a lot, I'd say, more advanced stuff

13:47:24 8 than I did. While he was in San Jose, he worked for Price

13:47:30 9 Waterhouse Cooper Research. And that was all very high-end,

13:47:34 10 state-of-the-art software. Can you be more specific?

13:47:39 11 Q. No. I didn't know if you were familiar with some of the

13:47:42 12 types of things he did specifically. Did you ever work

13:47:45 13 together with him in the same physical location?

13:47:47 14 A. Other than the time that we both worked at Marriott Retail

13:47:53 15 Sales. But that was -- he took the job the week after I left

13:47:56 16 so we never worked in the same location.

13:47:58 17 Q. And that was an I -- information technology programming

13:48:02 18 type of job?

13:48:03 19 A. Yes, sir.

13:48:03 20 Q. All right. Have you known him to work at anything other

13:48:06 21 than programming or software?

13:48:08 22 A. No.

13:48:08 23 Q. All right. Would it be fair to say he was a software

13:48:13 24 engineer?

13:48:13 25 A. Yes.

13:48:13 1 Q. And you were as well?

13:48:15 2 A. I -- yes.

13:48:17 3 Q. Okay. At some point in your friendship with him, did you  
13:48:33 4 learn of his interest in child pornography?

13:48:35 5 A. Only very late.

13:48:39 6 Q. Only very what?

13:48:41 7 A. Late in our -- when I knew him. It was probably within  
13:48:44 8 the last year. Not probably. Definitely. And it came  
13:48:47 9 about -- you know, again we talked about just mores -- general  
13:48:57 10 societal mores and kind of the difference between what's  
13:49:00 11 preached and what's practiced. And, again, sharing similar  
13:49:04 12 political beliefs, you know we kind of eventually discussed  
13:49:10 13 that.

13:49:10 14 Q. All right. And then who said what first in terms of an  
13:49:15 15 interest in child pornography, if you can recall?

13:49:19 16 A. I honestly can't recall.

13:49:22 17 Q. All right. This was before -- this was before or after  
13:49:26 18 you were arrested on your own charges?

13:49:28 19 A. Oh, well before.

13:49:30 20 Q. Before?

13:49:30 21 A. I'd say roughly a year, two a year and a half prior.

13:49:37 22 Q. Prior to your arrest?

13:49:38 23 A. Yes, sir.

13:49:38 24 Q. Okay. So it wasn't a year ago from now. It was a year  
13:49:42 25 ago from the time you were arrested?

13:49:44 1 A. Yes, sir.

13:49:45 2 Q. Okay. Did you share with him your interest in child  
13:49:56 3 pornography at this point?

13:49:57 4 A. Not so much that as much as -- I don't think there was  
13:50:01 5 ever child pornography as much as, you know, just  
13:50:04 6 "Britney Spears is fine," you know, or "What's up with Miley  
13:50:10 7 Cyrus strutting around the stage" or whatever. So it wasn't so  
13:50:13 8 much that discussion of the -- you know, what's out there on  
13:50:19 9 the Internet as much as just a discussion that, you know,  
13:50:24 10 again, society says age and majority is one thing, yet we have  
13:50:30 11 a disparity between the -- again, what's practiced and what's  
13:50:36 12 preached. And that was the discussion.

13:50:38 13 Q. Okay. But did there come a point where you learned that  
13:50:42 14 he either -- you know, I'm going to say was interested in child  
13:50:44 15 pornography?

13:50:44 16 A. Yes. Exactly. We -- it was -- there was a point --  
13:50:51 17 again, a specific point where that happened. And that was  
13:50:57 18 roughly one year before I fell.

13:50:59 19 Q. Okay. And when you say you fell, that means you were  
13:51:02 20 arrested?

13:51:03 21 A. Yes, sir.

13:51:03 22 Q. All right. Did he share his interest in child pornography  
13:51:11 23 with you? Did you share yours with him?

13:51:13 24 A. Right. Essentially we would come down to -- you know, I  
13:51:17 25 would say I found this particular set of files on eMule or

13:51:23 1 something. And he would say, Oh, that's chicken shit or  
13:51:26 2 child's play or whatever. That's old hag. If you ever saw  
13:51:30 3 that downloading commercial where the guy's laughing, it's kind  
13:51:35 4 of, like, that's so yesterday. And so, again, a lot of times I  
13:51:38 5 would say I have this file name and he would say, Oh. I've got  
13:51:43 6 this or whatever.

13:51:44 7 Q. Okay. And you mentioned something called eMule is that  
13:51:48 8 spelled e-M-u-l-e?

13:51:50 9 A. Correct.

13:51:50 10 Q. Is that -- what is that?

13:51:52 11 A. It's a file-sharing network.

13:51:54 12 Q. Okay. Is that -- did you -- what is the relevance of  
13:51:58 13 that?

13:51:59 14 A. That's one of the primary conduits that I personally  
13:52:05 15 downloaded pornography. There was two conduits that I used  
13:52:09 16 that I was familiar with, which was Usenet and the other was  
13:52:15 17 eMule.

13:52:15 18 Q. Usenet would be U-s-e-n-e-t?

13:52:18 19 A. Correct.

13:52:20 20 Q. Okay.

13:52:20 21 A. It's a very esoteric, arcane Internet protocol that's  
13:52:24 22 really only known or utilized by about maybe 1/10th of  
13:52:29 23 1 percent of the population, and eMule is much more popular.  
13:52:35 24 The -- what I found on it was rampant, so, I mean, I can only  
13:52:40 25 imagine that if you were -- I'm not going to go there. eMule

13:52:43 1 was my primary.

13:52:46 2 Q. Okay. What exactly was Defendant Diehl's involvement with  
13:52:51 3 child pornography, as you understood it?

13:52:53 4 A. Well, as he explained it to me his primary conduit was  
13:52:58 5 called IRC, Internet Relay Chat. And using Internet Relay  
13:53:04 6 Chat, he was able to meet people on various channels. And I  
13:53:11 7 don't know the name of those channels off the top of my head,  
13:53:15 8 although I have in the past seen pictures that had posters with  
13:53:19 9 channel names on them.

13:53:21 10 And using that channel would provide a beginning of  
13:53:29 11 the series to that individual in exchange for being -- becoming  
13:53:34 12 what he called a maker. So, again, this was all -- IRC is a  
13:53:44 13 very decentralized communication medium that enabled direct  
13:53:50 14 communication between each computer. But, again, that's what I  
13:53:56 15 understood.

13:53:57 16 Q. Okay. And how -- did he describe himself as -- what did  
13:54:02 17 he describe himself as in this world, I guess?

13:54:05 18 A. He said specifically -- honestly, I don't remember the  
13:54:09 19 exact name. I want to say producer. But I remember that the  
13:54:12 20 people who were making it he called makers, and that was very  
13:54:16 21 clear. I don't remember what he described himself as.

13:54:20 22 Q. Okay. And what was his -- what did he do in relation to  
13:54:25 23 these makers?

13:54:29 24 A. It would entice them with the possibility of having this  
13:54:33 25 complete series to make new material. "Make" meaning make new

13:54:41 1 material in exchange for the rest of the series.

13:54:44 2 Q. So who would have the series?

13:54:46 3 A. He would.

13:54:47 4 Q. He would have, and he would let them have it or have  
13:54:50 5 access to it?

13:54:51 6 A. That's what I understood. Again, I don't know for sure.

13:54:54 7 Q. And what do you mean by a series?

13:54:56 8 A. Well, you know, there would be -- say there could have  
13:55:01 9 been a movie that was broken down into individual files. It  
13:55:05 10 could have been a series of a photographic pictorial or  
13:55:10 11 something of that nature. But a series meaning continuity  
13:55:16 12 between each set.

13:55:18 13 Q. Okay. And so what, then, did you understand would  
13:55:22 14 happen? Would people make videos?

13:55:24 15 A. That's my understanding. Or in this case images. But,  
13:55:29 16 again, I -- I only saw a minor portion of that. So ...

13:55:36 17 Q. All right. Did he have a collection of child pornography?

13:55:40 18 A. Yes.

13:55:40 19 Q. And how do you know that?

13:55:42 20 A. Because I saw it.

13:55:43 21 Q. All right.

13:55:43 22 A. Part of it.

13:55:44 23 Q. What was this collection -- what did this collection  
13:55:48 24 consist of?

13:55:49 25 A. Very much like my own. It was very -- it was contained in

13:55:54 1 an encrypted container. It was had similar file names and  
13:56:04 2 structure to the way I managed my own collection. And, again,  
13:56:12 3 I did see portions of that, and I believe it was either July  
13:56:17 4 or -- July or August of 2008, I believe.  
13:56:27 5 Q. All right.  
13:56:28 6 A. The year before I fell.  
13:56:29 7 Q. When you say it was in an encrypted container, what do you  
13:56:33 8 mean by that?  
13:56:34 9 A. Well, using various file encryption tools available. And  
13:56:40 10 when you have a container, a container looks and appears to  
13:56:44 11 your computer as a normal hard drive or like a USB thumb drive.  
13:56:48 12 It just appears as another file name or another drive letter.  
13:56:53 13 But it's only accessible after entering the encryption program  
13:56:57 14 and the long encryption key.  
13:57:00 15 Q. So the container in this case is some sort of computer or  
13:57:03 16 electronic digital device?  
13:57:05 17 A. Well, it's a file that contains more files.  
13:57:08 18 Q. Okay. On a hard drive or some sort of other computer  
13:57:11 19 media?  
13:57:11 20 A. Correct.  
13:57:12 21 Q. Not just a regular box is what I'm trying to get at.  
13:57:15 22 Okay. So how did he -- how did it come to be that  
13:57:25 23 you got to see some of his collection?  
13:57:27 24 A. I was at -- we had started this particular afternoon at  
13:57:32 25 his girlfriend's house. And just -- we were hanging out there,

13:57:40 1 and his sister was there and his son was there and my daughter  
13:57:47 2 was in Ohio at the time. We were just hanging out, partying.  
13:57:52 3 And then kind of got into a little tussle, so to speak. Blood  
13:58:01 4 was spilled, and we decided to go back to his house.

13:58:07 5 And so we went to Dave's house, and he had something  
13:58:11 6 to drink. And while we were there, we went into his office.  
13:58:15 7 And at that point closed the door, and he's, like, Check this  
13:58:19 8 out. And at which point I viewed several different images and  
13:58:24 9 videos.

13:58:25 10 Q. All right. This was at his house where?

13:58:27 11 A. In Oldsmar.

13:58:29 12 Q. Florida?

13:58:30 13 A. Yes, sir.

13:58:30 14 Q. And whose -- was it on a computer?

13:58:34 15 A. Yes, sir.

13:58:34 16 Q. What kind of computer was it? Do you know, generally?

13:58:37 17 A. It was a server class machine. I believe it was  
13:58:42 18 Windows 2000. I honestly cannot remember, but it was a -- it  
13:58:47 19 was a working class machine.

13:58:48 20 Q. Okay. I guess I was even going for something simpler than  
13:58:53 21 that. Was it a laptop or a desktop?

13:58:55 22 A. Desktop.

13:58:56 23 Q. Okay. Can you recall the videos that you saw at that  
13:58:59 24 time?

13:58:59 25 A. I can recall two in particular. The first was -- and I

13:59:07 1 can't even recall the -- I just remember more by discussing it  
13:59:12 2 more than I do by seeing it, but I did see it. This girl that  
13:59:18 3 was singing, and she was nude. And I saw a little tiny part of  
13:59:25 4 that. And then another video which I since identified of a  
13:59:33 5 girl in a tent and who he said was "Star Baby." He said the  
13:59:40 6 actual name, but I did not remember. So I don't know.  
13:59:43 7 Q. Okay. Mr. Courtney, I'm going to show you some  
14:00:11 8 photographs here. And if you recognize them, say so; if you  
14:00:13 9 don't, say so. I'm going to show you what's been admitted as  
14:00:18 10 Government Exhibit 1-1B. This is a still image. Do you  
14:00:21 11 recognize that?  
14:00:21 12 A. Yes, sir.  
14:00:21 13 Q. How do you recognize that.  
14:00:23 14 A. That is a frame from the video.  
14:00:25 15 Q. Okay. How about Government Exhibit 1-1C?  
14:00:28 16 A. Frame from the video.  
14:00:29 17 Q. And do you remember the girl in the video?  
14:00:32 18 A. I don't remember the girl. I remember the sounds. I  
14:00:35 19 remember the hand. I remember the ring on the hand. I  
14:00:37 20 remember the -- everything.  
14:00:38 21 Q. Okay. What kind of sounds do you remember?  
14:00:41 22 A. It was just general banter, going back and forth. Kind of  
14:00:48 23 sounded like there was an established rapport, like he was kind  
14:00:52 24 of teasing her. She was, like, "Come on," or something like  
14:00:55 25 that. But there was rapport that had been developed and some,

14:01:02 1 "Don't be shy" I think was something that I heard.

14:01:06 2 Q. Okay. I'm showing you 1-1D. So you recognize that?

14:01:12 3 A. Like the others.

14:01:13 4 Q. Same girl. Okay. How about 1-1F?

14:01:19 5 A. Same. I remember that. That's the tent that I described.

14:01:25 6 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you 1-1H. Does that look

14:01:32 7 familiar?

14:01:33 8 A. I believe so, yes.

14:01:34 9 Q. Okay. All right. So these are still images from the

14:01:40 10 video that you saw at his house in Florida in two thousand --

14:01:43 11 A. Right.

14:01:44 12 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin, let me see the exhibits.

14:01:46 13 MR. DEVLIN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

14:01:48 14 THE COURT: Just need to make sure that I know what

14:01:50 15 you're looking at.

14:02:30 16 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.

14:02:30 17 Q. (BY MR. DEVLIN) Mr. Courtney, in regard to this particular

14:02:33 18 video, the Star Baby video, did Defendant Diehl say anything to

14:02:39 19 you regarding that video?

14:02:40 20 A. Yes, sir. He said that he had actually made that video.

14:02:44 21 I -- which kind of made me wonder. I obtained that video on

14:02:50 22 eMule network -- on the eMule file-sharing network. And so,

14:02:55 23 again, he did say he made it. And also said -- when he said

14:03:01 24 her name, he said, Don't ever say that name again because you

14:03:05 25 can't say that name. So I forgot it promptly, and I really do

14:03:08 1 not remember. So ...

14:03:09 2 Q. So you had seen that video before that day?

14:03:11 3 A. Yes, I did.

14:03:12 4 Q. On your own?

14:03:13 5 A. Yes.

14:03:14 6 Q. All right. Is eMule a network that you can obtain things  
14:03:18 7 from basically around the world?

14:03:19 8 A. It's -- it's -- it's complicated. The answer is yes.

14:03:24 9 Q. Okay. What's the complicated answer?

14:03:28 10 A. Well, eMule is two separate networks. There's an  
14:03:31 11 eDonkey network and the Gnutella network. And both -- Gnutella  
14:03:35 12 is a distributed network of millions of computers that are all  
14:03:42 13 sharing files and that are discovered via the eDonkey network  
14:03:46 14 and other networks. And eDonkey is a server-based network, and  
14:03:52 15 the servers are not connected to each other.

14:03:54 16 So each server is its own little island, and you  
14:03:57 17 connect into that server. There may be a million users for  
14:04:00 18 server and several hundred servers that are listed. But the  
14:04:04 19 net result is it's a worldwide distributed network of mostly  
14:04:08 20 anonymous machines.

14:04:09 21 Q. Okay. When he told you that he made that video, what was  
14:04:16 22 your reaction to that?

14:04:17 23 A. Surprise. I -- I just -- I didn't think that -- I  
14:04:27 24 honestly didn't think he did it. But, you know, again, that's  
14:04:32 25 what he said. I went back, and I must have looked at that

14:04:35 1 video probably 50 times. So it was ingrained in my head when,  
14:04:39 2 you know, it came up.

14:04:41 3 Q. What else of his collection did you see?

14:04:49 4 A. Well, I was aware that he had a series called "Tori," who  
14:04:53 5 was similar. But I never saw it. He said that he had it. He  
14:04:59 6 gave me names. We talked about the Baby J series, an  
14:05:04 7 eight-year-old, nine-year-old girl that was described as the  
14:05:10 8 Traci Lords of kitty porn, if you will. And -- but other than  
14:05:17 9 that, I did not see that much of what he had other than those  
14:05:22 10 select videos. Again, he showed me some footage from a nudist  
14:05:29 11 camp that he had gone to. Kids jumping on a bed. Not  
14:05:33 12 explicitly sexual in any way. Just kids jumping on a bed.

14:05:39 13 Q. Okay. Mr. Courtney, I'm going to again show you several  
14:05:57 14 photographs, still images. And if you recognize them say, so;  
14:06:01 15 if you don't, please say so.

14:06:02 16 I'm showing you what's been marked as  
14:06:04 17 Government's Exhibit 2A. Do you recognize that at all?

14:06:07 18 A. Its vaguely familiar, but I wouldn't be able to tell you  
14:06:11 19 with certainty.

14:06:12 20 Q. Okay.

14:06:12 21 A. I'd say vaguely familiar.

14:06:14 22 Q. All right. Government Exhibit 7A?

14:06:16 23 A. Never.

14:06:20 24 Q. Government Exhibit 7B?

14:06:25 25 A. Vaguely familiar.

14:06:26 1 Q. Okay. And when you say "vaguely familiar," is it vaguely  
14:06:30 2 familiar from stuff you saw from Defendant Diehl or stuff you  
14:06:33 3 saw on your own?  
14:06:34 4 A. Independently.  
14:06:36 5 Q. Independently?  
14:06:37 6 A. eMule.  
14:06:38 7 Q. Off of the eMule network. Okay.  
14:06:41 8 Government Exhibit 7C?  
14:06:43 9 A. Honestly, even though it's the same, no.  
14:06:47 10 Q. Okay.  
14:06:48 11 A. I've never seen that.  
14:06:50 12 Q. Have you seen the person in the picture?  
14:06:54 13 A. I've never seen this person.  
14:06:56 14 Q. Okay. Government Exhibit 9-1A?  
14:07:05 15 A. Again, that's -- that's not -- the first one is vaguely  
14:07:10 16 familiar. This -- no.  
14:07:11 17 Q. No?  
14:07:12 18 A. No.  
14:07:12 19 Q. Okay. In terms of making or producing videos, did  
14:07:55 20 Defendant Diehl say anything else to you along those lines --  
14:07:58 21 you know, about that to you outside of this one video that you  
14:08:01 22 just described?  
14:08:03 23 A. He also -- he described a system of wireless cameras  
14:08:08 24 imbedded in cleaning supplies -- an Ajax bottle and a spray  
14:08:15 25 bottle -- that were wireless cameras. Additionally, he had

14:08:21 1 many times requested that I use these devices for voyeuristic  
14:08:28 2 purposes of my own daughter and my girlfriend's daughters in  
14:08:32 3 particular. I never did this, but this is something that he  
14:08:40 4 had repeated. He was like, you know, If you want this, then  
14:08:46 5 this is how to accomplish that. And, again, I -- that was  
14:08:50 6 explained to me.

14:08:51 7 He showed me these -- I physically saw these  
14:08:54 8 devices. And, again, I don't know if he used them for that,  
14:08:59 9 but I imagine that -- you know, that was the purpose.

14:09:05 10 Q. What do the devices look like?

14:09:07 11 A. Cleaning supplies. I believe it was an Ajax bottle. I'm  
14:09:12 12 not positive. There was a cleaning bottle that looked like it  
14:09:21 13 contained Ajax and another spray bottle. That, again, at a  
14:09:26 14 casual glance, it just looked like plain old cleaning supplies.

14:09:32 15 Q. Did you see the camera component of those items?

14:09:34 16 A. I believe I did.

14:09:36 17 Q. Okay. And where did you see them?

14:09:37 18 A. In his closet.

14:09:39 19 Q. At his house?

14:09:40 20 A. Yes, sir.

14:09:40 21 Q. In Florida?

14:09:41 22 A. Yes, sir.

14:09:41 23 Q. All right. Did he show you anything that he had filmed  
14:09:45 24 using those?

14:09:46 25 A. Well, the one scene that I -- I'm not positive if it was

14:09:49 1 indeed. I saw a scene that was filmed in a nudist colony.

14:09:55 2 And, again, I don't -- I don't think I ever saw anything that

14:09:59 3 was filmed with those. That was my understanding.

14:10:02 4 Q. Okay. Did he say to what extent he had caused others to

14:10:07 5 make videos that he had received?

14:10:10 6 A. He described himself as a -- as a -- as a player on that

14:10:22 7 scene. As somebody who had known many people who had been

14:10:27 8 involved in that scene. And he described one case in

14:10:32 9 particular that he said he knew of a girl named Vicky who had

14:10:36 10 since been interviewed on like *Oprah* or something like that and

14:10:41 11 pointed me to that particular *Oprah* interview. I actually

14:10:43 12 never saw it, but he said he knew this guy. And, like I said,

14:10:49 13 that's all conjecture.

14:10:52 14 Q. So Vicky was a victim of a child pornography video or

14:10:57 15 series of videos?

14:10:58 16 A. Yes, sir.

14:10:59 17 Q. And when he said he knew this guy, what did he mean?

14:11:02 18 A. That he knew -- it was my understanding that he actually

14:11:05 19 had communication with this individual. But, again, I can't

14:11:10 20 remember precisely on that one. But something like that.

14:11:14 21 Q. Did he describe anything else about how involved he was in

14:11:18 22 having other people make child pornography or making it

14:11:22 23 himself?

14:11:23 24 A. Again, I've personally seen a lot of it. And only going

14:11:27 25 on -- and, again, on Usenet -- or on Usenet also, but Usenet is

14:11:33 1 much older. But on eMule, when you click on a file, you might  
14:11:37 2 get 5,000 images in a file.

14:11:40 3 And if you go through them, you don't pick and  
14:11:43 4 choose. But there are names of IRC channels in those. And,  
14:11:50 5 you know, again, it was my understanding that he was a very  
14:11:53 6 sophisticated IRC user and that he compelled many people to do  
14:12:01 7 that, to make video material.

14:12:05 8 Q. Did he ever brag about it to you?

14:12:08 9 A. I'd have to say sometimes it came across that way. It was  
14:12:18 10 a -- it was almost -- there was a bit of what I like to --  
14:12:28 11 well, not what I like to call, but what I believe would be  
14:12:35 12 cognitive dissonance or, like, trying to make peace with it or  
14:12:37 13 something like that, that I felt, you know, that he was coming  
14:12:40 14 across with.

14:12:41 15 Q. Okay. Did he talk to you about how much child pornography  
14:12:53 16 he was -- he had gotten others to make?

14:12:56 17 A. I don't think he ever quantified it. He just said that --  
14:13:01 18 again, that as -- that it was -- that it was something that he  
14:13:11 19 was doing. And there was not -- there was -- like -- like he  
14:13:18 20 said or -- let me backtrack here.

14:13:21 21 He said that he was -- he was essentially the  
14:13:25 22 "mac daddy" of whatever. I don't think he used that term, but  
14:13:29 23 just a player. Again, a player on a scene for compelling  
14:13:34 24 people to make the material -- makers.

14:13:37 25 Q. Was there a time frame that he explained he was doing it.

14:13:40 1 Did it seem like that was occurring in the past or was it  
14:13:43 2 occurring in the then present?

14:13:45 3 A. It seemed like it was an ongoing thing. And, again, after  
14:13:52 4 a dialogue opened up about, occasionally I would say I just got  
14:13:55 5 this new series or whatever. I just found this. And he was,  
14:13:59 6 like, It's old hag. Or something like that.

14:14:00 7 Q. Did he say how long he had been involved in child  
14:14:04 8 pornography?

14:14:04 9 A. I don't think we ever discussed it, but we both -- I  
14:14:10 10 personally had been downloading it since 1993, okay, on  
14:14:12 11 Usenet. And we were both familiar with Usenet, and we both  
14:14:16 12 understood the protocol. So I guess there was an inference  
14:14:19 13 that was at least that long.

14:14:21 14 Q. How tightly or loosely did he manage this child  
14:14:25 15 pornography collection, to your knowledge?

14:14:28 16 A. Incredibly tightly.

14:14:30 17 Q. Can you be elaborate a little bit?

14:14:32 18 A. Very elaborate security protocols, discussion protocols,  
14:14:36 19 or anything. Again, you know, don't talk about it. Don't --  
14:14:39 20 you know, don't -- you know, don't transfer files. We never  
14:14:45 21 once ever transferred a single file ever.

14:14:48 22 Q. Between you?

14:14:49 23 A. Between us, the Internet, or anything, you know. So he  
14:14:54 24 was -- he's very aware -- more so than I was -- of the  
14:14:59 25 consequences involved with -- with what was going on.

14:15:06 1 Q. Okay. Did he ever share any of his collection, to your  
14:15:09 2 knowledge, with anyone else?  
14:15:10 3 A. Only in as much as that he described the process by which  
14:15:17 4 he would get new material, which would be several files from  
14:15:21 5 the beginning of a series and expectations of a new material at  
14:15:25 6 which point he would share the rest of that series. But it was  
14:15:28 7 very -- it was *quid pro quo*.  
14:15:31 8 Q. So he would share a small amount of his material with  
14:15:34 9 someone else in the expectation of getting something in return?  
14:15:36 10 A. Yes, sir.  
14:15:37 11 Q. Okay. And then at that point he would share a greater  
14:15:40 12 quantify of it? Is that basically a summary?  
14:15:43 13 A. Only in that series. He was very -- he very, like I said,  
14:15:49 14 very *quid pro quo*. Many times I was, like, Give me that. And  
14:15:56 15 he would -- it would -- there was never between us a transfer  
14:16:00 16 of any form. And, again, when you've got eMule, there's -- I  
14:16:06 17 mean, it just wasn't necessary.  
14:16:10 18 Q. Did he -- he say anything else to you about making other  
14:16:23 19 child porn videos in the way that he said he made that Star  
14:16:27 20 Baby one that you referenced?  
14:16:28 21 A. Just, again, what he described was at a nudist colony, he  
14:16:34 22 had a girlfriend that I had never met who had several kids.  
14:16:38 23 And he would, make, like singing videos or just kind of artsy  
14:16:46 24 nudist type videos. He never described anything sexually  
14:16:51 25 explicit or anything like that. It was an artsy, nudist

14:16:57 1 happy-go-lucky, dancing, singing naked videos.

14:17:03 2 Q. Did he ever destroy any of his collection, to your  
14:17:06 3 knowledge?

14:17:07 4 A. There was a scare where he was investigated by some law  
14:17:15 5 enforcement body, and he said that he had destroyed his entire  
14:17:19 6 collection. I took that as probably true. You know, it's very  
14:17:28 7 easy to destroy a collection. You just press delete -- press  
14:17:32 8 delete from a secured deletion program, and it's gone. Or put  
14:17:39 9 the CDs in a microwave, and they're gone.

14:17:42 10 Q. Was there any other occasion perhaps prior to that that  
14:17:45 11 he's -- that you know if he destroyed it?

14:17:48 12 A. We discussed information security, but I do not know of  
14:17:52 13 any other time that he said he destroyed it or not. And,  
14:17:56 14 again, it was my inference. I don't believe for certain that  
14:17:59 15 he actually came out and said, I went and destroyed my  
14:18:02 16 collection.

14:18:04 17 Q. Is having a collection important to people who are  
14:18:07 18 interested in child pornography?

14:18:08 19 A. Well, I would say having a collection is important to  
14:18:11 20 other people as well. But the answer is yes. It's an  
14:18:16 21 obsessive compulsive tendency, if you will. Well, it was for  
14:18:21 22 me. I collected everything. So I would say yes.

14:18:25 23 Q. And kept everything?

14:18:27 24 A. It's the ultimate virus. It's -- you don't want to delete  
14:18:32 25 it. So right. Generally speaking, once you have something,

14:18:36 1 you don't want to delete it.

14:18:38 2 Q. Okay.

14:18:46 3 MR. DEVLIN: One moment, Your Honor.

14:19:00 4 Pass the witness, Your Honor.

14:19:02 5 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, cross-examination?

14:19:04 6 MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor.

14:19:06 7 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

14:19:06 8 **BY MR. ORR:**

14:19:07 9 Q. Mr. Courtney, if I understand it, you were first arrested  
14:19:11 10 in May -- approximately May the 14th of '09, correct, sir?

14:19:18 11 A. Precisely May the 14th of 2009.

14:19:21 12 Q. Okay. And you were approached by Cyber Crime law  
14:19:24 13 enforcement officials in Florida?

14:19:25 14 A. Yes, sir.

14:19:26 15 Q. Who apparently used a subterfuge in talking to you to gain  
14:19:31 16 admission to your home and to your computers?

14:19:34 17 A. That's correct, sir.

14:19:35 18 Q. And at what point did they spring the trap on you?

14:19:42 19 A. After I'd invited them into my house and --

14:19:46 20 Q. Okay. Go ahead.

14:19:47 21 A. And we were in my kitchen. They -- you know, I had asked  
14:19:51 22 them about the burglary to my house three weeks prior to my  
14:19:55 23 arrest. And they said, Oh, we're really concerned about that.  
14:19:59 24 However, we're with the Cyber Crimes unit. And at that point  
14:20:02 25 they -- they kind of started the process of baiting me into

14:20:07 1 doing -- fault.

14:20:11 2 Q. Well, did they ask you about your activities?

14:20:14 3 A. Yes, sir.

14:20:14 4 Q. And did you respond to them about your activities?

14:20:17 5 A. Not immediately.

14:20:18 6 Q. Okay. And when you say "not immediately," well, when did  
14:20:21 7 you respond to them?

14:20:23 8 A. After they assured me that they were looking for people  
14:20:27 9 who were producing child pornography and that the laws had  
14:20:30 10 changed. And that if I would cooperate with them and work with  
14:20:34 11 them, then -- and help them find people who were producing  
14:20:40 12 child pornography at that point, that that -- that's when, you  
14:20:44 13 know, I gave in and opened up my computer to allow them to view  
14:20:51 14 what I had.

14:20:52 15 Q. Okay. And did they view it there on your premises?

14:20:57 16 A. Yes, sir.

14:20:57 17 Q. And how long did that take?

14:20:59 18 A. Approximately an hour and a half.

14:21:01 19 Q. Okay. Now, what did they find on your computer? What  
14:21:07 20 type of pornography did they find?

14:21:09 21 A. Well, I had an extensive collection. I had roughly  
14:21:13 22 3,000-3,500 adult videos from every genre of pornography. And  
14:21:21 23 I would say roughly -- I would say probably 1 percent of my  
14:21:26 24 collection was child pornography --

14:21:28 25 Q. Okay.

14:21:28 1 A. -- overall.

14:21:29 2 Q. All right, sir. So you're one of those people who has --  
14:21:33 3 you had everything on there. All -- any type of pornography  
14:21:36 4 that one might imagine, you would have had a sample of it?

14:21:40 5 A. I had every thing -- not every pornography. I had every  
14:21:43 6 file. I had every movie. I had every virus. I had every  
14:21:49 7 book. I had everything that was digital. I had over  
14:21:52 8 5 terabytes when I fell. And of that 5 terabytes, a  
14:21:56 9 40-gigabyte container was child pornography, of which there was  
14:22:01 10 about 30 gigabytes total?

14:22:04 11 Q. All right, sir. And when with the police or the Cyber  
14:22:07 12 Crimes people were through going through the computer, did you  
14:22:13 13 talk to them some more?

14:22:14 14 A. Yes, sir.

14:22:15 15 Q. Okay. And did you -- at your home there, you kept talking  
14:22:22 16 to them?

14:22:23 17 A. Well, once I was taken into custody, I didn't talk any  
14:22:26 18 more. And also during that entire time, I was never read my  
14:22:29 19 rights either. So, again -- but, again, yes. I talked to  
14:22:36 20 them.

14:22:36 21 Q. Okay. About how long did you talk to them at your house?

14:22:39 22 A. Again, all told, an hour and 30 minutes.

14:22:43 23 Q. Okay. And then you were taken to jail, correct?

14:22:46 24 A. Taken to a holding cell at Hillsborough County.

14:22:51 25 Q. And you hired an attorney, did you not, sir, at some

14:22:54 1 point?

14:22:54 2 A. Yes, sir.

14:22:54 3 Q. I would presume?

14:22:55 4 A. Yes, sir.

14:22:55 5 Q. Did you make bond and get out on the streets?

14:22:58 6 A. My bond was a million dollars.

14:23:00 7 Q. Okay. So ...

14:23:04 8 A. The answer is no.

14:23:06 9 Q. Well, some people can make a million-dollar bond, but you

14:23:09 10 couldn't?

14:23:09 11 A. No, sir.

14:23:10 12 Q. So you hired -- you hired an attorney, correct?

14:23:13 13 A. Yes, sir.

14:23:14 14 Q. And he -- when did you first hire that attorney?

14:23:17 15 A. Well, I heard -- hired my first attorney, John Foster, the

14:23:21 16 day I was arrested. I was later connived into hiring another

14:23:26 17 attorney who is a very good attorney, for the record. And --

14:23:34 18 and so I had two separate attorneys during this process.

14:23:38 19 Q. You had two separate lawyer during the entire process?

14:23:41 20 A. Yes, sir.

14:23:41 21 Q. Okay. And so you remained in jail under a million-dollar

14:23:47 22 bond until or about you were sentenced May the 15th of last

14:23:53 23 year, 2010?

14:23:54 24 A. I believe that's correct.

14:23:55 25 Q. Okay. And then -- then you since more -- I guess sometime

14:24:00 1 after that, you were sent to the Florida penitentiary system?

14:24:04 2 A. Yes, sir.

14:24:04 3 Q. Okay. And did you -- you talked to your lawyers while you

14:24:08 4 were in jail, did you not, sir?

14:24:09 5 A. Frequently.

14:24:10 6 Q. Okay.

14:24:12 7 A. There was a serial -- I never had two -- two -- two

14:24:17 8 attorneys at the same time. So ...

14:24:18 9 Q. But you had the luxury of having two lawyers?

14:24:22 10 A. Well, yes.

14:24:22 11 Q. Or maybe that's a curse, to have two lawyers?

14:24:26 12 A. That's conjecture.

14:24:28 13 Q. Anyway -- okay. And they told you -- I'm going to make a

14:24:32 14 guess -- that you were in a lot of trouble?

14:24:34 15 A. Absolutely.

14:24:35 16 Q. And what the Cyber Crimes people told you about mere

14:24:38 17 possession of child pornography being not much of a problem

14:24:42 18 anymore and the law had changed was not correct?

14:24:44 19 A. Absolutely.

14:24:46 20 Q. And so did you plea bargain for your, what, 15 years?

14:24:49 21 A. Yes, sir.

14:24:50 22 Q. And did your lawyers advise you that that was a whole lot

14:25:00 23 less than you would get going to trial?

14:25:00 24 A. I believe I was looking at 3,997 years. So yes.

14:25:00 25 Q. So 15 is less than that?

14:25:02 1 A. Yes.

14:25:02 2 Q. All right. So now -- and your lawyers told you, I guess,  
14:25:10 3 like Cyber Crimes, that the one way that you could lessen your  
14:25:15 4 penalties was to give in information about producers of  
14:25:18 5 pornography?

14:25:19 6 A. Actually, at that point that was never an option. I was  
14:25:24 7 not ever once given the option of communicating or any form of,  
14:25:34 8 I -- during my entire plea process, I was never given the  
14:25:38 9 option of doing anything of the sort. I was given the option  
14:25:42 10 of take 15 years or go up and plea. That was my option up  
14:25:48 11 until I pled.

14:25:49 12 Q. Well, despite -- so what you're saying is the Cyber Crimes  
14:25:53 13 people told you they wanted information about producers?

14:25:56 14 A. Yes.

14:25:56 15 Q. But from the time of your arrest to the time of your  
14:26:00 16 sentence, you never gave up any information about producers?

14:26:04 17 A. That is correct.

14:26:05 18 Q. And -- but then on May the 27th, thereabouts, of last  
14:26:09 19 year, was it with your permission that your attorney  
14:26:14 20 Bryant Camareno contacted the FBI and the person of  
14:26:18 21 Sean Mullen?

14:26:19 22 A. Yes, sir.

14:26:19 23 Q. And could you tell the Court how it came about that  
14:26:22 24 your -- your lawyer, Mr. Camareno, contacted Sean Mullen. Was  
14:26:27 25 that at your behest?

14:26:29 1 A. He -- well, the answer to that is my attorney was  
14:26:34 2 contacted by, I believe, the prosecutor, who had been contacted  
14:26:39 3 by the FBI, who apparently had found my name in Mr. Diehl's  
14:26:45 4 E-mail archives; at which point they -- they had made the  
14:26:49 5 connection that I had been incarcerated for possession of child  
14:26:53 6 pornography; at which point they contacted my attorney; at  
14:26:56 7 which point I said that I would cooperate.

14:27:01 8 Q. Well, okay. So, now, you were mentioned in the E-mail  
14:27:08 9 archives with Mr. Diehl, and those E-mail archives would  
14:27:11 10 indicate that you had been doing the software business with  
14:27:16 11 Mr. Diehl that you described to the Court?

14:27:18 12 A. Yes, sir.

14:27:18 13 Q. Okay. And how far back do you think your E-mail archives  
14:27:23 14 would have gone?

14:27:24 15 A. Well, I believe they certainly go back, I'd say, to maybe  
14:27:36 16 2001. I don't know. I lost a lot of E-mail --

14:27:40 17 Q. Okay.

14:27:41 18 A. -- in 2001.

14:27:42 19 Q. And a Mr. -- and it's not uncommon for people to keep  
14:27:47 20 E-mail going back for years?

14:27:49 21 A. Decades. Right.

14:27:51 22 Q. Decades. And would that be more common, you think,  
14:27:55 23 amongst computer savvy people than, say, amongst lawyers?

14:27:59 24 A. I wouldn't know.

14:28:00 25 Q. Okay. In any event you -- your lawyer --

14:28:06 1 Well, so your story is that the FBI contacted your  
14:28:17 2 lawyer?

14:28:18 3 A. That or the prosecutor. I don't -- I don't know the  
14:28:23 4 actual chain of events that led to my learning of this.

14:28:27 5 Q. So you wouldn't have any explanation for Special  
14:28:31 6 Agent Mullen writing a report in which he indicates that  
14:28:35 7 Brian Camareno contacted him?

14:28:40 8 A. Other than the fact that I discussed this with my attorney  
14:28:44 9 and that I said that I would be willing to cooperate. I don't,  
14:28:47 10 again, understand the exact -- I don't know the exact chain of  
14:28:51 11 events that occurred.

14:28:52 12 Q. Okay.

14:28:53 13 A. I can't say that the day I learned about this was the day  
14:28:56 14 I pled out. And the day I pled out, I was not given an option  
14:29:00 15 or in any, way, shape, or form anything related to this. I was  
14:29:05 16 told merely that -- that this would be a possibility in the  
14:29:09 17 future.

14:29:10 18 Q. Well -- okay. You -- now, you've testified that you hope  
14:29:19 19 to get -- or, clearly, you hope to get a time reduction?

14:29:24 20 A. Yes, sir. I do hope that.

14:29:25 21 Q. In exchange for this?

14:29:27 22 A. Yes, sir.

14:29:27 23 Q. And you understand that, in order to get a time reduction,  
14:29:30 24 you have to do something of value for the prosecution?

14:29:33 25 A. I have to tell the truth.

14:29:36 1 Q. Okay. When was the first time you talked to anybody from  
14:29:39 2 the FBI?

14:29:40 3 A. The first time would be roughly just prior to  
14:29:50 4 Thanksgiving, I believe.

14:29:51 5 Q. Okay.

14:29:52 6 A. In November.

14:29:53 7 Q. And if you tell the truth and if it's of no value to the  
14:29:57 8 prosecution, that's not going to get you a reduction in your  
14:30:00 9 sentence, is it?

14:30:01 10 A. Again, as far as I've been told, I've been made no  
14:30:06 11 promises or otherwise. And I don't believe that the value  
14:30:11 12 would have merit as far as getting me in front of the judge or  
14:30:15 13 not. It's just simply that I cooperated is enough to get me in  
14:30:19 14 front of my judge, from what I understand.

14:30:21 15 Q. Well, but the more beneficial the cooperation is, the less  
14:30:27 16 sentence you'll get? You hope?

14:30:28 17 A. I can't answer that question.

14:30:30 18 Q. Well, if you came over here and you said to this Judge,  
14:30:34 19 you know, David Diehl is a great guy. I've been to his house  
14:30:37 20 many times, and I never saw any pornography at his house,  
14:30:41 21 that's of no value to anyone, is it, as far as getting you a  
14:30:44 22 time sentence -- a time reduction, is it?

14:30:47 23 A. I guess not.

14:30:48 24 Q. You have to come over here and say something --

14:30:52 25 A. The simple fact that I've been extradited and I will have

14:30:54 1 lost that gain time and other things I would imagine would  
14:30:58 2 constitute at least a consideration.

14:30:59 3 Q. Okay. Now, during this -- the time period of '08 and '09,  
14:31:05 4 you were using drugs, were you not, sir?

14:31:06 5 A. Yes, I was.

14:31:08 6 Q. And you were using methamphetamine and steroids?

14:31:09 7 A. Yes, I was.

14:31:09 8 Q. Okay. And either one of those drugs singly, and certainly  
14:31:16 9 in combination, will dethrone a man's reason, correct?

14:31:20 10 A. Absolutely.

14:31:21 11 Q. Okay. And so what you've testified to is that -- if I  
14:31:25 12 understand it, your story is that you're at a party somewhere.  
14:31:33 13 At -- where was the party at?

14:31:34 14 A. It started at his girlfriend's house, and it wasn't a  
14:31:38 15 party. If you call gathering of more than one person a party,  
14:31:44 16 then, yes, it was. It started at his girlfriend's house.

14:31:47 17 Q. And were you using drugs that day?

14:31:49 18 A. Actually, I was not.

14:31:50 19 Q. Okay.

14:31:51 20 A. I mean, in all fairness, to rephrase the answer to that, I  
14:31:55 21 was at that point using intermuscular injection steroids.

14:32:02 22 However, I did not particularly use that day. I did use that  
14:32:05 23 week. I did not start using methamphetamine until September of  
14:32:08 24 the year following. So until that point, I had not used any  
14:32:12 25 methamphetamine. Just steroids.

14:32:16 1 Q. And you mentioned that there was some sort of tussle at  
14:32:18 2 the party, and there was some blood?

14:32:20 3 A. Yes. There was -- David and I had gotten into physical --  
14:32:24 4 he had thrown some punches, and one connected with my -- my  
14:32:29 5 tooth and my lip and sent my tooth through my lip, at which  
14:32:34 6 point a whole lot of blood started pumping out. And we got  
14:32:38 7 into a little fight, and it was quickly stopped. It wasn't  
14:32:43 8 really a full-blown fight. It was just -- he probably threw  
14:32:47 9 like that one punch at my face, at which point I hit him about  
14:32:51 10 three times. And his girlfriend started screaming and saying,  
14:32:54 11 "Stop this." So we stopped, and that was the extent of it.

14:33:00 12 Q. Well --

14:33:02 13 A. And he was drinking, and I was not drinking at that time.  
14:33:05 14 I did have some beers that night, but it wasn't -- it wasn't  
14:33:15 15 over two or three.

14:33:16 16 Q. Okay. And I believe your testimony continues to the  
14:33:20 17 effect that you then left this place, correct?

14:33:22 18 A. Yes, sir.

14:33:23 19 Q. In what city was this in?

14:33:29 20 A. I believe it's Odessa on the map. It's northeast of  
14:33:36 21 Oldsmar, about 20 minutes of where he was. So I don't know the  
14:33:40 22 exact name, but I think it was Odessa, Florida or thereabouts?

14:33:44 23 Q. Okay. And so then -- and you went over to his house?

14:33:47 24 A. Yes, sir.

14:33:48 25 Q. Twenty minutes away?

14:33:49 1 A. Twenty, 25 minutes.

14:33:51 2 Q. In what city was that in?

14:33:53 3 A. Oldsmar.

14:33:55 4 Q. Okay. And you're sure he lived -- actually lived in

14:33:59 5 Oakmont [sic]?

14:33:59 6 A. I'm absolutely 100 percent without a doubt sure, correct.

14:34:02 7 Q. You're certain he didn't live in Safety Harbor?

14:34:04 8 A. I guess -- I guess he -- I don't know the actual

14:34:10 9 physical -- I never actually mailed him, so I do not know that.

14:34:14 10 But I do know that it's referred to as Oldsmar. So if that is

14:34:19 11 different, then I did not have his postal address.

14:34:22 12 Q. Oh, okay. Well, so far as you testified earlier, y'all

14:34:26 13 lived about 30, 35 miles apart?

14:34:28 14 A. Correct.

14:34:28 15 Q. So y'all didn't meet in person a whole lot?

14:34:31 16 A. Absolutely right.

14:34:32 17 Q. How many times did you meet in person?

14:34:34 18 A. I'd say 12 to 15.

14:34:37 19 Q. Over what? Five years? Six years?

14:34:40 20 A. Yes. Maybe it was more; maybe it was less. About 12 to

14:34:45 21 15.

14:34:45 22 Q. It might be two or three times a year?

14:34:48 23 A. I'd say that could be correct.

14:34:51 24 Q. So did you go out drinking beer together?

14:34:54 25 A. We'd go to GameStop -- I mean GameWorks. We'd go to

14:34:59 1 Buffalo Wild Wings. We'd go to the Centro Ybor. We'd go to  
14:35:06 2 Channelside in Tampa. Busch Gardens.  
14:35:09 3 Q. Okay. Well, let me -- let me ask you -- let me jump back  
14:35:14 4 a little bit. You got sentenced in May of last year, correct?  
14:35:18 5 A. Yes.  
14:35:19 6 Q. And by that time you knew -- it had been in the papers --  
14:35:25 7 you knew that Mr. Diehl had been arrested, did you not?  
14:35:30 8 A. I was aware probably three months prior to my plea  
14:35:36 9 bargaining that I -- I don't know the exact time, again, but I  
14:35:47 10 do know that it was after I was. And it certainly -- it in no  
14:35:52 11 way, shape, or form affected my plea.  
14:35:55 12 Q. Okay. But up until the time that you saw Mr. Diehl -- you  
14:35:59 13 saw in the media that he had been arrested, it's fair to say  
14:36:03 14 you had never mentioned --  
14:36:04 15 A. I never saw him in the media, sir.  
14:36:06 16 Q. Well, you heard about it?  
14:36:08 17 A. Again, my hearing about it was from my attorney.  
14:36:11 18 Q. Okay. However you heard about it, from your attorney, you  
14:36:15 19 hadn't mentioned to Cyber Crimes or, if I understand it, anyone  
14:36:18 20 else about Mr. Diehl allegedly being a producer?  
14:36:22 21 A. Absolutely not.  
14:36:23 22 Q. Only until it's a matter of public media, correct, or you  
14:36:28 23 heard about it from your lawyer?  
14:36:29 24 A. After I heard about it from my lawyer.  
14:36:31 25 Q. Okay. Didn't tell Cyber Crime, didn't tell your lawyer,

14:36:35 1 didn't tell anybody else. Didn't write any letters saying,  
14:36:39 2 Hey, I know about this big producer. Cut me some slack?  
14:36:43 3 A. That was never an option for me.  
14:36:46 4 Q. All right, sir. Now, let's get back to the fight and the  
14:36:48 5 trip over to his house -- to Mr. Diehl's house that you say  
14:36:51 6 happened. And so if I understand this, you've got your --  
14:36:53 7 what? Your tooth is broken?  
14:36:54 8 A. No, sir. It just --  
14:36:55 9 Q. Or jammed into your lip?  
14:36:58 10 A. It was just a swollen lip at this point.  
14:36:59 11 Q. Well, did it hurt?  
14:37:01 12 A. Not particularly.  
14:37:02 13 Q. But there's blood everywhere?  
14:37:04 14 A. It was cleaned up pretty quickly.  
14:37:07 15 Q. All right. So, anyway, this guy had just bloodied your  
14:37:11 16 lip, correct?  
14:37:11 17 A. Yes, sir.  
14:37:12 18 Q. And he says, Well, hey, let's go on over to my house?  
14:37:15 19 A. Yeah.  
14:37:16 20 Q. And so did you go with him in his car?  
14:37:18 21 A. No. I drove his sister in my car, and he drove in his  
14:37:23 22 car.  
14:37:23 23 Q. Okay. Now, you got over to his house, and about how big a  
14:37:27 24 house is it?  
14:37:33 25 A. I'd say roughly -- I don't know for sure -- between 16-

14:37:36 1 and 18-hundred square feet, two-car garage, corner lot.

14:37:46 2 Q. It's not a real big house?

14:37:48 3 A. It's a comfortable house, right. I would say -- I  
14:37:53 4 wouldn't call it small. But, you know ...

14:37:56 5 Q. Well, it's 15- to 18-hundred square feet?

14:37:59 6 A. Right. It's a nice-size house.

14:38:01 7 Q. Well, it's not a little cracker box, but it's not a  
14:38:05 8 two-story mansion?

14:38:06 9 A. It's entirely subjective to your income level.

14:38:09 10 Q. Okay. And so you get there, and you're saying that then  
14:38:18 11 after this fight -- well, who else was there?

14:38:20 12 A. Again, I think it was more of an altercation. But not --  
14:38:23 13 I don't know how you'd describe it, but it wasn't -- it was a  
14:38:28 14 drunk tussle is what it was, for all intents and purposes. But  
14:38:32 15 it was quickly put under the rug.

14:38:36 16 Q. Would you say you were drunk?

14:38:38 17 A. Absolutely not.

14:38:39 18 Q. Had you been drinking earlier?

14:38:41 19 A. I honestly don't recall drinking.

14:38:44 20 Q. Okay. You got to the --

14:38:45 21 A. I'm not a big drinker. I do steroids and meth. That was  
14:38:49 22 my thing. But at this point, I hadn't even done that.

14:38:52 23 Q. Well, I feel so much better about your health if you stick  
14:38:55 24 to meth and steroids.

14:38:56 25 So you get to the -- get to the house, and who else

14:38:59 1 was there?

14:39:00 2 A. I believe it was, again, his sister, his girlfriend. I  
14:39:10 3 don't recall what happened. I know when we were at his  
14:39:14 4 girlfriend's house, she had two infant kids and they had  
14:39:18 5 already gone to bed. I don't know -- I can't recall the  
14:39:21 6 disposition of those. But it was -- I just remember his  
14:39:24 7 girlfriend, myself, Dave, and his sister there. And A. I think  
14:39:29 8 had already gone to bed, so I don't know what happened. I  
14:39:33 9 can't remember.

14:39:33 10 Q. So you don't remember who else was there?

14:39:37 11 A. I remember, like I said, his girlfriend and his sister  
14:39:43 12 passed out in the back room. And, like I said, that was the  
14:39:47 13 night.

14:39:48 14 Q. Okay. Well, then your story goes on. I think you get  
14:39:51 15 these people there at the house. And you're saying that after  
14:39:57 16 breaking your lip with his fist, he takes you into the back and  
14:40:01 17 says, Hey, look at this?

14:40:02 18 A. No. It was -- that's certainly an abbreviated -- but  
14:40:07 19 yes. That's essentially what happened.

14:40:10 20 Q. Okay. And your testimony is -- and I believe you told in  
14:40:16 21 YOUR previous statement in November of last year -- you  
14:40:18 22 mentioned downloading a video?

14:40:20 23 A. Yes, sir.

14:40:21 24 Q. And you found a video by yourself on the Internet?

14:40:24 25 A. Yes, sir.

14:40:25 1 Q. So, now, according to your testimony, low and behold, if I  
14:40:34 2 understand it, if I -- that Mr. Diehl showed you that very same  
14:40:41 3 video at his house?

14:40:42 4 A. Yes, sir.

14:40:42 5 Q. Just pure coincidence.

14:40:44 6 A. The video that I'm describing is the one with the girl in  
14:40:47 7 a tent, approximately 90 seconds duration. And it shows -- you  
14:40:57 8 can hear the voice of a male and see a hand with a ring on it.  
14:41:01 9 And that particular video is the one that he did show me, sir.  
14:41:13 10 And that's the one I also described when I spoke to the FBI.

14:41:23 11 Q. All right, sir. Now, when was the first time you actually  
14:41:36 12 told the FBI that you had seen the video of the young lady in  
14:41:40 13 the tent?

14:41:41 14 A. In November of this past year.

14:41:43 15 Q. And when did you first tell them that you had actually  
14:41:47 16 seen it at Mr. Diehl's house?

14:41:48 17 A. At the same time.

14:41:50 18 Q. Okay.

14:41:54 19 A. And I believe it was November. I don't have -- I don't  
14:41:58 20 have the same information that I'm totally used to.

14:42:03 21 Q. Okay. Now, is the video we're talking about -- the Star  
14:42:37 22 Baby video, is that what you're calling the tent video?

14:42:41 23 A. Correct. And I don't -- I don't precisely recall if  
14:42:44 24 that's what he called it.

14:42:46 25 Q. Okay. Now, you testified to this Judge here that

14:42:48 1 Mr. Diehl, somewhere back in '08, was it? '08 or '09?

14:42:55 2 A. It would be June or July of '08.

14:43:05 3 Q. Okay. So you're saying he told you he produced it?

14:43:08 4 A. When he showed it to me, correct.

14:43:10 5 Q. Okay. And so then when you're meeting with the FBI in

14:43:17 6 November of 2010, they asked you about this Star Baby video

14:43:26 7 apparently in a tent, correct?

14:43:27 8 A. Actually, they did not ask me about it. I described it

14:43:31 9 without ...

14:43:32 10 Q. Well, you said you were aware of only one video, right?

14:43:37 11 A. I said specifically that one that he said that he had

14:43:40 12 made. But also -- I had also seen another video of -- of

14:43:45 13 specifically A. and two girls jumping on a bed naked at a

14:43:50 14 nudist colony.

14:43:52 15 Q. Okay.

14:43:52 16 A. And I believe I also saw the video of a girl singing,

14:43:56 17 although I can't recall it directly because I remember talking

14:43:59 18 about it.

14:43:59 19 Q. Well, you told the FBI in the November meeting that you

14:44:03 20 had doubts that Diehl had even made --

14:44:05 21 A. Yes. I did say that, sir.

14:44:08 22 Q. Okay. And if I give you -- well, have you seen the notes

14:44:37 23 of your interview?

14:44:38 24 A. Yes, sir, I have.

14:44:39 25 Q. And could you show me in here where there's the incident

14:44:50 1 about getting your lip broken and you went to the house and he  
14:44:53 2 took you in the back and showed you?  
14:44:56 3 A. I don't know if that's there or not. I --  
14:44:58 4 Q. Well --  
14:45:01 5 A. Go ahead.  
14:45:01 6 Q. Well, I thought maybe I was missing it because my eyes  
14:45:05 7 aren't as good as they once were. But I don't find it in there  
14:45:09 8 either.  
14:45:11 9 A. Okay. Noted.  
14:45:16 10 Q. But you did talk to the FBI again in January of this year,  
14:45:25 11 correct?  
14:45:25 12 A. I spoke to them again when I arrived here.  
14:45:28 13 Q. Uh-huh. And then on January the 19th of this year, you --  
14:45:53 14 well, you -- you did an interview with the FBI on January the  
14:45:57 15 12th of 2011, correct?  
14:46:00 16 A. It appears so.  
14:46:02 17 Q. And that's when you said, it's been reported to me, that  
14:46:10 18 you were shown a video by Mr. Diehl?  
14:46:11 19 A. Yes, sir.  
14:46:12 20 Q. And that there's a male voice on the video?  
14:46:19 21 A. Yes, sir.  
14:46:19 22 Q. And that you're unable to identity the voice?  
14:46:25 23 A. That's correct. I'm not a forensic -- I don't have voice  
14:46:29 24 print technology, so I certainly am not able to do that.  
14:46:34 25 Q. Then you state in there, "The video was stored on a

14:46:39 1 removable hard drive belonging to Mr. Diehl."

14:46:42 2 A. As best as I could observe.

14:46:45 3 Q. Okay. Could you tell us what brand -- or, if you know,  
14:46:48 4 what brand of removable hard drive this was?

14:46:51 5 A. I have no idea.

14:46:52 6 Q. Okay. Now, you have more expertise than most people do in  
14:47:04 7 the area of computers and the Internet, do you not, sir?

14:47:08 8 A. Some would say.

14:47:09 9 Q. Yeah. Okay. Well, and you know how downloading and  
14:47:16 10 uploading works, do you not, sir?

14:47:18 11 A. For the most part.

14:47:20 12 Q. Okay. And as a matter of fact, I'd hazard to guess at the  
14:47:25 13 way the Cyber Crimes officials got to you in Florida was they  
14:47:28 14 tracked you by some downloading or uploading you had done?

14:47:32 15 A. That's incorrect.

14:47:33 16 Q. Okay. You're saying it was an informant?

14:47:36 17 A. Yes, sir.

14:47:37 18 Q. Okay. But when you were downloading and uploading these  
14:47:41 19 pornographic files, you went to particular sites to get them,  
14:47:44 20 did you not, sir?

14:47:45 21 A. I wouldn't call them sites, per se. Again, on a  
14:47:49 22 file-sharing network, it's a distributed network. There's no  
14:47:51 23 site involved. There's no single site. There are thousands of  
14:47:54 24 them.

14:47:54 25 Q. Well, would you hazard to guess that you have left -- that

14:47:58 1 you left through your Internet service provider details of  
14:48:03 2 where you had taken your -- pointed your computer?  
14:48:06 3 A. It was -- my own use was very -- it would not have been  
14:48:14 4 tracked through my ISP. I had information security measures  
14:48:21 5 that would prevent the ability to track anything that I did on  
14:48:24 6 the Internet.  
14:48:25 7 Q. Okay.  
14:48:26 8 A. Specifically using a leased server. And I don't know  
14:48:31 9 where it was, but that leased server is what I personally used  
14:48:36 10 to download pornography -- child pornography in particular.  
14:48:40 11 Q. Okay.  
14:48:47 12 MR. ORR: May I have just a second?  
14:49:46 13 Q. (BY MR. ORR) All right, sir. Now, I think you testified a  
14:49:49 14 little while ago so far as the trip from Mr. Diehl's  
14:49:52 15 girlfriend's house to his house?  
14:49:54 16 A. Yes, sir.  
14:49:54 17 Q. She had some little kids?  
14:49:56 18 A. Yes, sir.  
14:49:56 19 Q. Did she leave them at home?  
14:49:58 20 A. I honestly don't remember.  
14:49:59 21 Q. So -- well, two- or three-year-old kids, she wouldn't  
14:50:05 22 likely leave them at home?  
14:50:06 23 A. You're absolutely right.  
14:50:08 24 Q. So she probably took them?  
14:50:09 25 A. I don't remember.

14:50:10 1 Q. So there's these little kids. There's Mr. Diehl's  
14:50:13 2 sister. There's his girlfriend?

14:50:15 3 A. Correct. And his son A.

14:50:17 4 Q. And his son A.

14:50:18 5 A. Like I said, I don't remember all the details of the  
14:50:21 6 night.

14:50:21 7 Q. But what you're saying is you do remember going in the  
14:50:24 8 back and he says, Hey, look at this?

14:50:25 9 A. Absolutely. There's certain things that are more  
14:50:30 10 memorable than others is all I can answer to that.

14:50:33 11 Q. Would you agree or disagree that, when you're taking  
14:50:36 12 illegal drugs or illegally taking drugs that might by  
14:50:40 13 themselves be legal under prescription, that it can in fact  
14:50:43 14 make you remember stuff that didn't happen?

14:50:45 15 A. I'm not an expert on memory, so I cannot answer that  
14:50:49 16 question.

14:50:50 17 Q. So -- well, okay. So you at least admit you were taking  
14:50:55 18 steroids?

14:50:56 19 A. At the time roughly once a week at that point.

14:51:00 20 Q. Okay. And had you ever taken methamphetamine at this  
14:51:03 21 point?

14:51:03 22 A. Never.

14:51:03 23 Q. Never. Okay. But -- and you don't remember if you were  
14:51:06 24 drinking?

14:51:07 25 A. I don't drink. I don't drink much. And if I did, it was

14:51:10 1 never more than one or two.

14:51:12 2 Q. Okay. And you admit that you didn't bring this up until  
14:51:15 3 after you had seen Mr. Diehl had been arrested -- you found  
14:51:20 4 that out?

14:51:20 5 A. Actually, like I said, it was brought to my attention.

14:51:23 6 Q. It's brought to your attention. You said a minute ago,  
14:51:27 7 that, well -- well, you sort of implied that you're a stand-up  
14:51:31 8 kind of guy. You wouldn't give that kind of information out  
14:51:34 9 about somebody correct?

14:51:35 10 A. Again, I didn't -- it wasn't -- to be precise about this  
14:51:40 11 I -- again, I was never given the opportunity to do that.  
14:51:46 12 However, if I had been given the opportunity, what I would have  
14:51:49 13 done, given the opportunity, would have been to contact  
14:51:53 14 Mr. Diehl and ask him to help me give up people. I wouldn't  
14:51:57 15 have honestly directly gone about this at that time. But I was  
14:52:05 16 never given than option.

14:52:06 17 Q. But right now you're here saying this stuff about him in  
14:52:10 18 order to get you a reduction of sentence?

14:52:11 19 A. Well, again, I've never been made no promises.

14:52:14 20 Q. Well, you're not here doing this just because you think --

14:52:18 21 A. Well, I was --

14:52:18 22 Q. -- just because you wanted a trip to Texas?

14:52:19 23 A. Well, I was not given the option of whether I was going to  
14:52:23 24 Texas or not. I am, however, voluntarily giving my testimony  
14:52:28 25 today.

14:52:29 1 Q. All right. Now, you hosted some sites of -- some Web  
14:52:33 2 pages of Mr. Diehl's?

14:52:34 3 A. A business Web site.

14:52:35 4 Q. Business Web site. That's right. And you're saying that  
14:52:38 5 you never hosted on your server -- you had a server to do  
14:52:41 6 this. You never hosted pornography of any kind on there?

14:52:45 7 A. The answer is a complicated one in the fact that I did in  
14:52:50 8 fact have pornography on that server. But at the same time, I  
14:52:54 9 never hosted it. So there -- so it's esoteric, the answer. In  
14:53:01 10 other words, it requires special knowledge. But the answer is  
14:53:05 11 that I never hosted pornography of any kind on any server.

14:53:13 12 But there was never at any point any professional  
14:53:15 13 sharing or any other kind of sharing between Mr. Diehl and I of  
14:53:19 14 any form of pornographic files of any form.

14:53:23 15 Q. Other than the story about he took you into the bedroom  
14:53:26 16 and showed you this?

14:53:27 17 A. Correct. And I also saw the cameras.

14:53:29 18 Q. So what you're saying is he trusted you enough to show you  
14:53:33 19 this video in his bedroom while his sister --

14:53:35 20 A. Not in his bedroom.

14:53:37 21 Q. Let me -- huh?

14:53:38 22 A. It was not in his bedroom.

14:53:38 23 Q. His office?

14:53:39 24 A. His office.

14:53:39 25 Q. Well, did he have a separate office other than a bedroom?

14:53:41 1 A. Yes.

14:53:41 2 Q. So while all these people are around in the house, he was  
14:53:44 3 willing to show you all of this but he wasn't willing to  
14:53:47 4 trade --

14:53:47 5 A. Well, it started his girlfriend was passed out -- I mean,  
14:53:51 6 his sister was passed out in the back room at this point. His  
14:53:54 7 girlfriend was going in between the kitchen and TV, knocking on  
14:53:57 8 the door saying, Hey, what are you guys doing in there? What  
14:54:01 9 are you guys doing in there?

14:54:02 10 And -- and we're sitting there kind of having this secret  
14:54:06 11 smile. Again, the best I can give you would be the AT&T  
14:54:10 12 commercial where that guy is laughing and he's saying, Oh, I've  
14:54:13 13 got the one-up on you. So that's the answer to that.

14:54:17 14 Q. Well, I don't watch the AT&T commercials. I have an  
14:54:21 15 iPhone, so I've got enough against them for the dropped calls.

14:54:24 16 I'm handing you what's marked as Defendant's  
14:54:27 17 Exhibit 1. Can you take a look and read that. That's from the  
14:54:32 18 discovery that y'all gave us.

14:54:37 19 MR. ORR: I'll show it. It's an E-mail.

14:54:43 20 MR. DEVLIN: If you wouldn't mind letting me look at  
14:54:45 21 that when you're done.

14:54:46 22 A. I can see that --

14:54:48 23 Q. Hang on.

14:54:52 24 A. Yes, sir.

14:55:19 25 Q. Okay. Can you tell us what Defendant's Exhibit Number 1

14:55:22 1 is?

14:55:24 2 A. It is a request that I please cancel my account totally  
14:55:30 3 from Mr. Diehl to me, dated 18th February of 2009.

14:55:44 4 Q. Okay.

14:55:45 5 MR. ORR: I'd offer Defendant's Exhibit 1.

14:55:49 6 MR. DEVLIN: No objection, Your Honor.

14:55:50 7 THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit 1 is admitted.

14:55:53 8 Q. (BY MR. ORR) And the actual text of the E-mail that you  
14:55:56 9 received from Mr. Diehl was: "I have not been on the server  
14:55:58 10 for months. Basically, since my little scare about content."  
14:56:02 11 Right?

14:56:02 12 A. Right.

14:56:03 13 Q. "Please cancel my account totally. If we need to settle  
14:56:08 14 some funds, let's talk. I won't be using it, nor have I used  
14:56:12 15 it anyway." And there's all this computer stuff on there that  
14:56:15 16 you might understand, which I certainly don't.

14:56:19 17 Okay. And so he's not talking about a scare about --

14:56:22 18 THE COURT: Let me see Exhibit 1.

14:56:25 19 MR. ORR: Oh. I'm sorry.

14:56:26 20 THE COURT: You can go ahead.

14:56:27 21 Q. (BY MR. ORR) So he's not talking about a scare he received  
14:56:31 22 because of an investigation. He's talking about a scare about  
14:56:34 23 content, correct?

14:56:35 24 A. It would appear so, sir.

14:56:38 25 Q. And the truth is that, while looking at your server,

14:56:42 1 looking at his stuff, he found on your severer pornography?

14:56:48 2 A. That would be true.

14:56:49 3 Q. Okay. And that's -- and he wanted his stuff not to be on

14:56:52 4 a server that had pornography?

14:56:55 5 A. That's correct.

14:56:57 6 Q. So you said that he -- you told us all this stuff, that he

14:57:08 7 tried to entice people to do this and so on and so forth,

14:57:12 8 correct?

14:57:12 9 A. Yes, sir.

14:57:13 10 Q. But he didn't want his site on a server where you had

14:57:16 11 pornography.

14:57:17 12 A. If that's the way -- that's one way to interpret that.

14:57:21 13 However, the little scare was specifically about the little

14:57:26 14 scare. At least that's my interpretation of that. And the

14:57:29 15 little scare would be the \$7,000 scare where he had where he

14:57:33 16 was investigated by the two investigators from California.

14:57:37 17 Q. But you told us -- you told this Judge a while ago that

14:57:41 18 you didn't ever have pornography on your server, correct?

14:57:44 19 A. I don't believe I ever said that.

14:57:47 20 Q. Okay.

14:57:47 21 A. I said I didn't host it. There's a difference between

14:57:50 22 having something -- when you say host, that would mean

14:57:54 23 sharing. That would be distributing. That would mean some

14:57:58 24 other form. There's a difference between that and having a

14:58:01 25 secured storage there.

14:58:03 1 Q. This was a server that people on the Internet could  
14:58:07 2 connect --

14:58:08 3 A. Yes.

14:58:08 4 Q. -- to Mr. Diehl's Web pages, correct?

14:58:11 5 A. Correct. When you talk about --

14:58:12 6 Q. Let me finish. That Mr. Diehl's Web pages were there and  
14:58:16 7 probably other people's Web pages?

14:58:18 8 A. I had about five different companies on the server.

14:58:21 9 Q. Okay. So you had all these Web pages on this server,  
14:58:25 10 correct?

14:58:25 11 A. Well, I wouldn't call them pages. There were no --  
14:58:28 12 right. There were Web sites on the server.

14:58:30 13 Q. Whatever. I'm sorry. I don't know the lingo. And so on  
14:58:34 14 this site; that is, your server that people on the Internet  
14:58:37 15 regularly connected to, you happened to have some secure  
14:58:40 16 storage of child pornography that you didn't have any intention  
14:58:46 17 of anyone else to connect to?

14:58:48 18 A. Correct.

14:58:48 19 Q. That's your story?

14:58:49 20 A. Yes.

14:58:50 21 MR. ORR: May I have just one other moment,  
14:58:53 22 Your Honor?

14:59:20 23 Q. (BY MR. ORR) Well, Mr. Diehl was allowed to log onto your  
14:59:24 24 server to administer his Web pages, correct?

14:59:25 25 A. Yes, he was. He had full administrative access.

14:59:27 1 Q. And when he got on there, he could see that you had  
14:59:30 2 these -- he could go to the file structure and see that you had  
14:59:33 3 these other file sharing files --  
14:59:35 4 A. Yes.  
14:59:36 5 Q. -- correct?  
14:59:36 6 A. Yes, he could.  
14:59:36 7 Q. And they were of a pornographic nature, correct?  
14:59:39 8 A. Yes.  
14:59:39 9 Q. So people, if they knew the right passwords, could get on  
14:59:42 10 there and upload or download?  
14:59:43 11 A. Well, people who knew the right passwords, they could also  
14:59:48 12 access your garage, and the same laws apply to either. In  
14:59:51 13 other words, using that logic, that I -- if I knew the right  
14:59:56 14 password, I could push your garage door and open it and go into  
15:00:00 15 your garage. To say those are similar is just completely  
15:00:04 16 nonsense as far as I am concerned.  
15:00:06 17 Q. People get on the Internet, and they download files?  
15:00:09 18 A. But if there's no path or access or server sharing those  
15:00:12 19 files, then it is impossible to do so.  
15:00:16 20 Q. Well, Mr. Diehl got on there and he could see them,  
15:00:19 21 correct?  
15:00:20 22 A. Because he had full administrative access to the server.  
15:00:23 23 Q. And you began deleting them, did you not?  
15:00:26 24 A. Actually, I -- he did actually tell me to get it off the  
15:00:33 25 server. But I continued to use it for that purpose after

15:00:43 1 that -- after he was off the server completely.

15:00:47 2 Q. He told you to get, what, the pornography off the server?

15:00:50 3 A. Yes, sir.

15:00:51 4 Q. But you -- but he got off instead and you continued to use  
15:00:54 5 it for pornography?

15:00:55 6 A. It was my server.

15:00:56 7 Q. Was it located in your house?

15:00:58 8 A. No.

15:00:58 9 Q. Some other off --

15:01:00 10 A. I have no idea where it was located.

15:01:02 11 Q. It wasn't even a computer that you controlled?

15:01:04 12 A. I leased it for \$110 month from a -- I can't remember the  
15:01:10 13 company now.

15:01:10 14 Q. They call them server forums or ...

15:01:13 15 A. It was a leased server. It was a full Windows Server 2003  
15:01:16 16 with the full server software suite on it.

15:01:36 17 MR. ORR: One minute, Your Honor.

15:01:57 18 Q. (BY MR. ORR) Okay. And the main purposes of your dealing  
15:02:02 19 with Mr. Diehl was software you would -- he would -- you would  
15:02:07 20 get him to help you on doing various projects?

15:02:10 21 A. Our main dealing was discussing software. However, we  
15:02:14 22 talked about everything from politics to motorcycles. He  
15:02:18 23 actually convinced me that the Honda ST1300 was a great bike,  
15:02:22 24 and I went out and bought one, for example.

15:02:24 25 Q. Was it a great bike?

15:02:30 1 A. Yes. So to answer your question, we had both a  
15:02:34 2 professional and personal relationship.

15:02:37 3 Q. Thank you.

15:02:38 4 MR. ORR: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

15:02:40 5 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin, redirect?

15:02:44 6 MR. DEVLIN: Briefly, Your Honor.

15:02:46 7 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION**

15:02:46 8 **BY MR. DEVLIN:**

15:02:46 9 Q. Mr. Courtney, going back to the Star Baby video, at the  
15:02:49 10 time was there any discussion between you and him that you had  
15:02:52 11 seen this particular video yourself?

15:02:54 12 A. Yes, there was.

15:02:55 13 Q. And tell us about that.

15:02:58 14 A. Well, again, when he said that he had made it, it was --  
15:03:01 15 to me it was surprising because I had in fact seen that video  
15:03:04 16 and downloaded that from eMule specifically. And, again, eMule  
15:03:08 17 is a huge network. So I had seen that video independently. So  
15:03:18 18 I did in fact very much doubt his claim that he made it. And  
15:03:24 19 I -- and, again, I spent -- I watched that thing 50, 60 times  
15:03:30 20 just trying to make out if that was in fact him because, again,  
15:03:35 21 there is a hand, a ring, and this -- this kind of banter going  
15:03:40 22 back and forth between a male voice and the female subject.  
15:03:46 23 And so, again, I didn't necessarily believe but he -- that was  
15:03:51 24 what he had told me.

15:03:52 25 Q. Did he seem in any way surprised or dismayed that you had

15:03:56 1 seen that video somewhere else before?

15:03:58 2 A. I don't recall. I don't honestly -- I just -- because  
15:04:04 3 once -- when he said he made it, it just shocked me. So, I --  
15:04:10 4 I mean, not that it was bad. Just that this is something I had  
15:04:14 5 known and I had seen. I was, like, whoa, you know. So at that  
15:04:17 6 point I kind of just -- that's really what hit me. So it was a  
15:04:23 7 kind of a big shock. So ...

15:04:27 8 MR. DEVLIN: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

15:04:29 9 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

15:04:30 10 MR. ORR: Just a second, Your Honor. No further  
15:04:41 11 questions, Your Honor.

15:04:41 12 THE COURT: You may step down. Mr. Devlin, you may  
15:05:08 13 proceed.

15:05:10 14 MR. DEVLIN: Government rests, Your Honor.

15:05:29 15 MR. ORR: May we approach, Your Honor?

15:05:36 16 THE COURT: You may. You don't have to come over  
15:05:38 17 here, do you? It's a bench trial. We don't have a jury.

15:05:39 18 MR. ORR: I am just used to doing that, Your Honor.  
15:05:39 19 This is the second bench trial I did. The first one was, like,  
15:05:42 20 1974. Somewhere in there. I had forgotten what they're like.

15:05:46 21 THE COURT: Well, at least you didn't file a motion  
15:05:48 22 in limine for a bench trial like some lawyers do.

15:05:52 23 MR. ORR: Well, no. At least I didn't do that.

15:05:57 24 Yes. Wouldn't want Your Honor to think about certain things.

15:06:02 25 We have subpoenaed N.A.D., his son, here. What we'd

15:06:10 1 like to do is close the Courtroom -- there's people from the  
15:06:13 2 press here -- and have him testify.

15:06:22 3 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin, what's the Government's  
15:06:24 4 position, and what is the reason?

15:06:32 5 MR. DEVLIN: That's what I need to find out. I'm not  
15:06:35 6 necessarily opposed to it. I'm not really quite sure, since  
15:06:38 7 his name is out there already, it's -- is he going to be  
15:06:41 8 testifying about some protected stuff?

15:06:44 9 MR. ORR: No. Probably not. But I think it would  
15:06:49 10 just likely be traumatic.

15:06:55 11 THE COURT: I'm not sure that's enough.

15:06:58 12 MR. ORR: Well, can we approach? Now I'd really like  
15:07:01 13 to approach.

15:07:01 14 THE COURT: Okay.

15:07:23 15 (At the bench, on the record)

15:07:23 16 MR. ORR: Well, he was one of the John Does -- I  
15:07:33 17 mean, he's the John Doe in the indictment, which we've gotten  
15:07:36 18 taken out. But, you know, it could get into some stuff that  
15:07:39 19 would be -- you know, I don't know what all we are going to  
15:07:41 20 do. We may blunder into some stuff that would be protected  
15:07:45 21 activity. I mean, it's not my intention to get into that, but  
15:07:49 22 the main thing is I just don't want to read about it.

15:07:51 23 MR. DEVLIN: Well, I'm not sure that gives me a lot.

15:07:53 24 THE COURT: It's not really --

15:07:55 25 MR. DEVLIN: I'm not going to get into that --

15:07:58 1 THE COURT: -- that simple, to just seal the  
15:07:59 2 Courtroom just because of sensibilities. There's got to be a  
15:08:03 3 little bit deeper reason. You know, I try cases all the time  
15:08:07 4 where juveniles testify.

15:08:12 5 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

15:08:12 6 MR. DEVLIN: I have no evidence on the John Doe  
15:08:14 7 aspect that would count.

15:08:14 8 THE COURT: And we don't have any -- there has not  
15:08:18 9 been anything raised that there are any compromising pictures  
15:08:21 10 of him or embarrassing pictures of him or any sexual wrongdoing  
15:08:25 11 with him.

15:08:26 12 MR. DEVLIN: Not on the Government's case and chief  
15:08:27 13 there haven't. There are, but I'm not intending to introduce  
15:08:29 14 those, Judge. I'm not going to get into that.

15:08:30 15 THE COURT: Are you going to get into that?

15:08:32 16 MR. ORR: No.

15:08:32 17 THE COURT: Then I think --

15:08:34 18 MR. ORR: Actually, I want to use him to combat some  
15:08:37 19 of the stuff Mr. Courtney said.

15:08:39 20 MR. DEVLIN: With that said, then I'm not going to  
15:08:41 21 get into anything involving any --

15:08:45 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to seal it now.  
15:08:47 23 If the landscape changes, then I want to hear from  
15:08:53 24 one or the other of you that's likely to change.

15:08:56 25 MR. DEVLIN: Okay.

15:08:57 1 THE COURT: Then I will reconsider my ruling.

15:09:00 2 MR. ORR: Can we have a break while I talk to him  
15:09:02 3 about doing that? A short break?

15:09:04 4 THE COURT: Yeah. We'll go ahead and take our  
15:09:06 5 afternoon recess.

15:09:07 6 MR. ORR: I'd like to be --

15:09:10 7 THE COURT: We'll just take our afternoon recess.

15:09:13 8 MR. ORR: Yeah. I'd like to talk to him about it.

15:09:15 9 (Open Court, Defendant present)

15:09:15 10 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, at this  
15:09:17 11 time, the Court will be in recess until 3:30. We'll take our  
15:09:23 12 afternoon recess at this time.

15:09:25 13 (Recess)

15:28:13 14 (Open Court, Defendant present)

15:28:13 15 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

15:29:53 16 MR. ORR: Your Honor, at the close of the  
15:29:56 17 Government's evidence, Defendant David Diehl moves for judgment  
15:29:59 18 of acquittal pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 29,  
15:30:04 19 on the grounds that the evidence offered by the Government is  
15:30:07 20 insufficient on the United States Constitution and the relevant  
15:30:11 21 case law to establish federal jurisdiction in this matter, and  
15:30:15 22 that there is no adequate interstate nexus shown --

15:30:18 23 THE COURT: Slow down. Go ahead, but just slow  
15:30:21 24 down. You're just reading very quickly.

15:30:23 25 MR. ORR: I'm making this up as I go.

15:30:25 1 THE COURT: I don't think Ms. Rodriguez is having  
15:30:27 2 trouble. But make it up more slowly as you go.

15:30:30 3 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. I go a lot faster if I'm  
15:30:33 4 making stuff up.

15:30:34 5 That the -- and there is no evidence whatsoever that  
15:30:38 6 Mr. -- of any credible nature that Mr. Diehl or any other  
15:30:41 7 nature that Mr. Diehl transported in interstate commerce any --  
15:30:47 8 any child pornography alleged in any of the counts of the  
15:30:52 9 indictment. And we renew our motion to dismiss that we filed  
15:30:56 10 prior to trial.

15:30:57 11 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin?

15:30:59 12 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I think taken in the light  
15:31:02 13 most favorable to the Government, the evidence is more than  
15:31:05 14 ample to show the interstate nexus and the other elements.

15:31:09 15 First of all, the stipulation does show the first  
15:31:11 16 two -- there are three elements. The first two elements being  
15:31:14 17 that the defendant used, persuaded, enticed, et cetera, one or  
15:31:18 18 more -- one or more children to engage in sexually explicit  
15:31:21 19 conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of such  
15:31:25 20 conduct. Secondly, that the children were minors at the time.  
15:31:28 21 I don't think there's any dispute about those.

15:31:30 22 Third, in terms of the element of interstate nexus,  
15:31:33 23 the element is not that the defendant transported it. That's a  
15:31:35 24 separate offense of transporting or, in some cases,  
15:31:37 25 distribution. But simply that the visual depictions actually

15:31:42 1 were transported in interstate and foreign commerce. And  
15:31:44 2 that's the element, not that he did it.

15:31:46 3 I think by standing alone, the stipulation is  
15:31:48 4 sufficient to show that. But on top of that, additional  
15:31:54 5 testimony just reinforces the stipulation.

15:31:57 6 THE COURT: Break it down for me on Jane Doe 1,  
15:32:02 7 Jane Doe 2, and Jane Doe 3. And don't argue it generally.  
15:32:09 8 Point out for me what we have on each of the three and where it  
15:32:15 9 appears.

15:32:19 10 MR. DEVLIN: In terms of count 1, Judge -- that would  
15:32:22 11 be Government Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2 -- the evidence is that  
15:32:29 12 the -- in the stipulation paragraph -- actually, paragraph 15  
15:32:41 13 states that each of the visual -- excuse me.

15:32:44 14 The video exhibits, which is essentially Government's  
15:32:47 15 Exhibits -- which includes Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2,  
15:32:53 16 that each of those visual depictions were found in digital  
15:32:57 17 video format stored in one or more computers, hard drives,  
15:33:00 18 and/or other computer storage media in places outside the State  
15:33:04 19 of Texas and seized in connection with child pornography  
15:33:06 20 investigations that were conducted in and after the video  
15:33:10 21 exhibits were produced.

15:33:11 22 And the rest of paragraph 15 also pertains to all of  
15:33:14 23 the video -- what are labeled as the video exhibits in the  
15:33:18 24 stipulation, that 1-1 and 1-2 in particular were found on one  
15:33:24 25 or more computers in Mesa, Arizona.

15:33:29 1 That the 1-1 and 1-2 and the other video exhibits  
15:33:35 2 were found in the digital video format at places outside of the  
15:33:39 3 State of Texas and seized in connection with other criminal  
15:33:43 4 investigations.

15:33:43 5 That the visual -- and, again, it just repeats that  
15:33:45 6 the visual depictions contained in the video exhibits were  
15:33:48 7 found outside of the State of Texas as recently as 2010. And  
15:33:52 8 that each of the video exhibits is currently available on the  
15:33:54 9 Internet, and all of them have been available on the Internet  
15:33:58 10 since at least 2007.

15:33:59 11 I think that the statements in all of paragraph 15  
15:34:03 12 and the stipulation by -- by itself would certainly supply us  
15:34:09 13 more than enough evidence to show the interstate nexus element  
15:34:13 14 of the fact that the visual depictions actually were  
15:34:17 15 transporting in interstate and foreign commerce -- and/or  
15:34:22 16 foreign commerce.

15:34:23 17 I would then also in particular as to 1-1 and 1-2, I  
15:34:27 18 think Mr. Courtney's testimony substantially adds to that. He  
15:34:31 19 saw the videos in 1-1 and 1-2, as he identified through still  
15:34:39 20 photographs, in the State of Florida on the defendant's  
15:34:43 21 computer. And he was shown that in about 2008. And so I think  
15:34:46 22 that by that alone, again, would -- would more than adequately  
15:34:51 23 support the interstate nexus element as to the videos in  
15:34:55 24 count 1.

15:34:56 25 In addition, the testimony of Kerry Jenkins was that

15:35:00 1 the defendant -- she and the defendant moved quite a bit. That  
15:35:05 2 after they lived in Austin, after the time that the video  
15:35:09 3 exhibits were produced -- and, again, that includes 1-1 and  
15:35:13 4 1-2 -- that the defendant moved to Ohio and he subsequently  
15:35:19 5 moved back to Texas, to Florida, to California. I don't have  
15:35:23 6 them in the exact order she testified to. But that he also  
15:35:26 7 took all of his computer equipment with him, and that would  
15:35:31 8 include the -- the computers that he had when they were  
15:35:39 9 married.

15:35:40 10 And she testified that he uploaded and stored videos  
15:35:43 11 on his computer that he took. He was an avid videographer and  
15:35:50 12 that she has seen him upload and store videos on the computer.  
15:35:53 13 I think it's a fair inference that the video exhibits -- and,  
15:35:57 14 again, I'm using them collectively -- were stored on computers  
15:36:01 15 owned and maintained by him and were so transported with him in  
15:36:06 16 interstate commerce during each of his moves.

15:36:08 17 So I think that additional evidence beyond what is  
15:36:11 18 already in paragraph 15 of the stipulation would more than  
15:36:14 19 adequately satisfy the interstate nexus element as to count 1.

15:36:19 20 And as to Counts 2 through 10, again, paragraph 15.  
15:36:25 21 I can go individually, but I think it really applies to all of  
15:36:29 22 them. I think paragraph 15 is fairly -- does encompass all of  
15:36:33 23 the video exhibits that were admitted as to each of Counts 2  
15:36:36 24 through 10 and that they were found in other states either in  
15:36:41 25 count -- in the case of Count 2, Government Exhibit 2 was found

15:36:51 1 on a computer in Westminster, Maryland. Government Exhibit 3  
15:36:55 2 was found on computers in Westminster, Maryland. Government  
15:36:59 3 Exhibit 4-1 -- and I have 5 here, but that's probably 5-1 and  
15:37:05 4 5-2 -- were found on computers in Westminster, Maryland.  
15:37:10 5 Government Exhibit 6 and 8 were found on computers in  
15:37:15 6 Mesa, Arizona. Government's Exhibits 7, 9-1, 9-2, and 10 were  
15:37:19 7 found in computers in Westminster, Maryland.

15:37:22 8 It's established earlier in the stipulation that  
15:37:26 9 the -- all of those videos were produced in Texas, in the  
15:37:30 10 Western District of Texas. Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2  
15:37:34 11 were produce at the Star Ranch. Government Exhibit 2 was  
15:37:37 12 produced at the Star Ranch in the Western District of Texas.  
15:37:40 13 The rest of them were produced at the defendant's residence on  
15:37:44 14 Hazelhurst Drive in the Western District of Texas.

15:37:47 15 So the fact that they have been found in other states  
15:37:50 16 is sufficient to show that the visual depictions had actually  
15:37:53 17 been transported in interstate and foreign commerce.

15:37:56 18 And, in addition, there is stipulation that each of  
15:37:59 19 the video exhibits is available on the Internet. And under the  
15:38:02 20 case of the *United States v. Runyan* -- that's 290 F.3d 223, a  
15:38:15 21 Fifth Circuit case from 2002 --

15:38:16 22 THE COURT: 290 F.3d. What's your page citation?

15:38:20 23 MR. DEVLIN: 223.

15:38:21 24 THE COURT: And that's *Runyan*?

15:38:23 25 MR. DEVLIN: R-u-n-y-a-n.

15:38:25 1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

15:38:26 2 MR. DEVLIN: Transmission on the Internet was found  
15:38:28 3 by the Fifth Circuit in the context of sexual exploitation  
15:38:35 4 offenses to be sufficient to show interstate nexus. And that  
15:38:41 5 is at -- the point cite is page 239. States: "*As Runyan*  
15:38:56 6 correctly notes, the Circuit has not yet decided whether an  
15:38:58 7 Internet transmission in and of itself constitutes interstate  
15:39:01 8 transportation sufficient to satisfy the interstate commerce  
15:39:04 9 element of Section 2251," which is at least the statute under  
15:39:08 10 which we're charged here.

15:39:10 11 "In the instant case we squarely face this question.  
15:39:16 12 We join the First Circuit and holding that 'transmission of  
15:39:19 13 photographs by means of the Internet is tantamount to moving  
15:39:22 14 photographs across state lines and, thus, constitutes  
15:39:24 15 transportation and interstate commerce' for the purpose of  
15:39:26 16 18 U.S.C. Section 2251."

15:39:28 17 I believe that that -- that case provides sufficient  
15:39:32 18 support that just having these videos exhibits on the Internet  
15:39:37 19 is sufficient to show interstate and foreign commerce, since  
15:39:41 20 the Internet is -- is a means of interstate and foreign  
15:39:44 21 commerce.

15:39:45 22 And, as Mr. Courtney testified, too, he said he has  
15:39:49 23 seen at least Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2 over the  
15:39:56 24 network that he used, called eMule, which he described as  
15:40:00 25 involving the connection of thousands or millions of computers

15:40:06 1 and that it was a worldwide network.

15:40:08 2 So I think, again, under the circumstances, all of  
15:40:11 3 the evidence is sufficient to show that all of the video  
15:40:15 4 exhibits that are charged in Counts 1 through 10 have a  
15:40:21 5 sufficient interstate nexus proven sufficient to not only get  
15:40:25 6 by a motion for judgment of acquittal, but also to convict the  
15:40:29 7 defendant. And we believe that the Court should deny the  
15:40:33 8 motion -- the Rule 29 Motion.

15:40:35 9 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, your motion. You get the last  
15:40:38 10 word.

15:40:39 11 MR. ORR: Well, we believe that finding videos  
15:40:42 12 somewhere else on the Internet is not enough to show that they  
15:40:46 13 were transported.

15:40:47 14 And, secondly, Your Honor, even if they were  
15:40:50 15 transported by someone -- some unknown persons, the way the  
15:40:56 16 statute reads, it says, "have been transported." So even if  
15:41:00 17 Mr. Diehl made these videos at a certain point in time and  
15:41:04 18 somebody else transported it later, that would be an  
15:41:07 19 unconstitutional application to Mr. Diehl of someone else  
15:41:10 20 undertaking transportation of the videos. And I think the  
15:41:13 21 evidence is not adequate to show that he in fact transported  
15:41:17 22 anything.

15:41:18 23 If the literal reading of the statute -- and I think  
15:41:21 24 what Mr. Devlin argues for is to decrease or to do away with  
15:41:25 25 the requirement that Mr. Diehl had done something knowingly and

15:41:33 1 intelligently -- intentionally, I mean.

15:41:33 2 THE COURT: What's your response to *Runyan*?

15:41:35 3 MR. ORR: Well, I think *Runyan* is wrong, Your Honor.

15:41:39 4 I think that, just the mere fact that something is found on the  
15:41:43 5 Internet somewhere else is not adequate to convict someone of  
15:41:50 6 "have been transported."

15:41:54 7 THE COURT: I understand your argument, but it's not  
15:41:58 8 up to me to tell the Fifth Circuit that they were wrong. It's  
15:42:01 9 up to the Fifth Circuit to reconsider or the Supreme Court of  
15:42:04 10 the United States to tell the Fifth Circuit that they were  
15:42:07 11 wrong.

15:42:07 12 MR. ORR: Well, Your Honor, I'll get up to the  
15:42:09 13 Fifth Circuit, and I will tell them that they were wrong.

15:42:12 14 THE COURT: All right. Having heard the argument, I  
15:42:15 15 do find that this is an area of the law that in the past has  
15:42:21 16 not been litigated widely. It's becoming more and more  
15:42:27 17 litigated, but I find based on *Runyan* and based on -- primarily  
15:42:33 18 on *Runyan*, but also based on the testimony of Mr. Courtney, who  
15:42:38 19 I find credible as to that part at least in his testimony, and  
15:42:43 20 Ms. Jenkins, who I find credible, that the Government has  
15:42:50 21 satisfied its burden of going beyond a motion for acquittal,  
15:42:57 22 because I cannot find that there is no reasonable trier of fact  
15:43:01 23 that could not contribute -- could not convict under the facts  
15:43:06 24 that have been presented in the Government's case in chief. So  
15:43:09 25 the defendant's Rule 29 motion is overruled.

15:43:13 1 Mr. Orr, do you have evidence you wish to present?

15:43:17 2 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. We'd like to call A.D.

15:43:22 3 (Witness sworn)

15:43:22 4 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask that -- go ahead

15:44:07 5 and be seated. An initial question, Mr. Orr, before you

15:44:11 6 question.

15:44:12 7 Mr. D., how old are you?

15:44:14 8 THE WITNESS: Fourteen, sir.

15:44:15 9 THE COURT: And do you know you have just been given

15:44:18 10 an oath by the lady here, Ms. Jones?

15:44:21 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

15:44:22 12 THE COURT: And you know that you need to tell the

15:44:23 13 truth and you know what the truth is; is that correct?

15:44:26 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

15:44:27 15 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed, Mr. Orr.

15:44:29 16 MR. ORR: Thank you, Your Honor.

15:44:29 17 **N.A.D.,**

15:44:29 18 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

15:44:29 19 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

15:44:29 20 **BY MR. ORR:**

15:44:29 21 Q. Mr. D., I am Steve Orr. I represent your father. You

15:44:35 22 understand that, I think?

15:44:36 23 A. Yes, sir.

15:44:36 24 Q. All right, sir. And I'll try to keep this as simple as I

15:44:40 25 can for you. All right. And you have lived with your father

15:44:47 1 for how many years? A good long while, correct, sir?

15:44:51 2 A. Yes, sir.

15:44:51 3 Q. And up until recently, you have gone to live with your

15:44:54 4 mom?

15:44:54 5 A. Yes, sir.

15:44:54 6 Q. All right. And when you first went to live with your

15:44:57 7 father, did you travel about and move from state to state?

15:45:00 8 A. Yes, sir.

15:45:00 9 Q. Okay. And do remember what states that you -- that you

15:45:04 10 moved from and to? Could you tell the Judge that?

15:45:07 11 A. For the most part, yes.

15:45:09 12 Q. Would you please tell him.

15:45:11 13 A. Okay. The furthest back I can remember would be Ohio.

15:45:16 14 And from there I believe we moved to Texas. And after that we

15:45:22 15 moved to Florida, I believe. And then we moved to Dallas and

15:45:28 16 then again to Florida. And we moved several times within each

15:45:32 17 of these. At least twice.

15:45:33 18 Q. Okay.

15:45:33 19 A. And then we moved to California and then back to Florida.

15:45:37 20 Q. Okay. And during the -- was all that moving a little

15:45:42 21 stressful for you?

15:45:43 22 A. Yes, sir.

15:45:43 23 Q. Okay. Did your dad keep you in schools?

15:45:46 24 A. Yes, sir.

15:45:46 25 Q. Okay. Did you make good grades?

15:45:48 1 A. For the most part.

15:45:50 2 Q. Well, nobody's perfect. And did you -- were you -- how

15:45:55 3 long had you lived in Florida?

15:45:57 4 A. Total?

15:45:58 5 Q. Yes, sir.

15:45:59 6 A. Around three years.

15:46:01 7 Q. Oak. And this last time you were there, how long did you

15:46:04 8 live there? Is that what you're talking about?

15:46:07 9 A. No. The last time?

15:46:08 10 Q. Uh-huh.

15:46:09 11 A. It was a total of like seven months in Jacksonville, I

15:46:15 12 believe. Jacksonville, Florida.

15:46:18 13 Q. Okay. And while you were in Florida, you rose to the

15:46:21 14 ranks of being one of the top tennis players over there?

15:46:24 15 A. Top fifties.

15:46:25 16 Q. Top how many?

15:46:26 17 A. Fifties.

15:46:27 18 Q. Okay. And you're on the tennis team in your school now,

15:46:31 19 correct?

15:46:31 20 A. Yes, sir.

15:46:32 21 Q. And you're doing quite well in tennis?

15:46:34 22 A. Yes, sir.

15:46:35 23 Q. All right, sir. Now, when you -- well, let me ask you

15:46:41 24 this: Did you -- did your dad take good care of you while you

15:46:45 25 were moving from place to place and while you were living with

15:46:48 1 him? I mean, you got fed and taken to school and that sort of  
15:46:54 2 thing?

15:46:54 3 A. Yes.

15:46:55 4 Q. And so far as your -- did you know -- when you lived in  
15:47:02 5 Florida, did you know a guy named Kenneth Courtney?

15:47:05 6 A. Yes, sir.

15:47:06 7 Q. Okay. Did your dad -- did there ever come a time when  
15:47:08 8 your dad warned you about Kenneth Courtney?

15:47:12 9 A. No.

15:47:12 10 Q. Do you recall a time when your dad said, We can't hang out  
15:47:16 11 with Kenneth Courtney anymore?

15:47:19 12 A. No, sir.

15:47:19 13 Q. Okay. Do you remember a time when you were at a meeting  
15:47:22 14 or a group with Mr. -- with David Diehl's sister and his  
15:47:28 15 girlfriend in Florida, and Mr. Courtney and Mr. Diehl, your  
15:47:32 16 father, had a fight?

15:47:34 17 A. I don't remember that, sir. I'm sorry.

15:47:39 18 Q. Okay. Do you ever remember them going over to your house;  
15:47:42 19 that is, David Diehl, Mr. Courtney, and your aunt and  
15:47:50 20 Mr. Diehl's girlfriend?

15:47:53 21 A. Coming over to our house?

15:47:55 22 Q. Coming to your house.

15:47:56 23 A. All at once? I don't remember. I don't remember when  
15:48:04 24 they all came.

15:48:04 25 Q. Okay. How many times do you think you have seen

15:48:07 1 Mr. Courtney?

15:48:08 2 A. Maybe 15 total.

15:48:14 3 Q. Okay.

15:48:15 4 A. No. Probably more than that. Just about 20.

15:48:18 5 Q. Do you ever remember him coming to your house?

15:48:21 6 A. Once maybe.

15:48:24 7 Q. Do you remember when that was and who else was there?

15:48:27 8 A. No.

15:48:28 9 Q. Do you remember what time of day it was?

15:48:31 10 A. It was during the daytime, and then it went into night.

15:48:35 11 Q. Yeah. Daytime or nighttime? Was it dark or daylight?

15:48:38 12 A. It was light, and then it was, like, during the evening.

15:48:41 13 So it was a bit of both. Like he came over in the afternoon

15:48:45 14 and stayed for a little while.

15:48:47 15 Q. Okay. And you don't remember who else was there, if

15:48:49 16 anyone?

15:48:50 17 A. No.

15:48:50 18 Q. Do you remember what the purpose of that visit was with

15:48:53 19 Mr. Courtney?

15:48:54 20 A. No. I don't remember. I didn't even know. I was

15:48:57 21 probably reading my book at the time.

15:48:59 22 Q. I'm sorry? I couldn't quite hear that.

15:49:01 23 A. I didn't know. At the time I was probably reading a book,

15:49:04 24 so I wasn't sure as to what they were doing.

15:49:07 25 Q. Okay.

15:49:12 1 MR. ORR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?

15:49:14 2 THE COURT: Yes.

15:49:37 3 MR. ORR: I pass the witness.

15:49:38 4 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin?

15:49:39 5 MR. DEVLIN: I have no questions, Your Honor.

15:49:41 6 THE COURT: You may step down.

15:50:01 7 Mr. Orr, anything further?

15:50:05 8 MR. ORR: One -- less than one minute.

15:50:08 9 THE COURT: Take the time you need.

15:50:52 10 MR. ORR: No further witnesses, Your Honor. We rest.

15:50:58 11 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin, does the Government have any

15:51:00 12 rebuttal?

15:51:01 13 MR. DEVLIN: No rebuttal, Your Honor. We close.

15:51:05 14 THE COURT: Mr. Orr, does the defendant close?

15:51:07 15 MR. ORR: We close, Your Honor.

15:51:11 16 THE COURT: All right. How much time would you like

15:51:14 17 for closing argument summations?

15:51:19 18 MR. DEVLIN: Ten minutes, Judge.

15:51:20 19 MR. ORR: That's fine, sure.

15:51:21 20 THE COURT: You want a little time to gather your

15:51:23 21 thoughts before I entertain the closing summation, or are you

15:51:27 22 ready to go straight into it?

15:51:30 23 MR. DEVLIN: Just a few -- five minutes would be

15:51:33 24 sufficient for me, Judge.

15:51:35 25 THE COURT: Well, we'll be in recess until

15:51:37 1 4:00 o'clock. Does that give you enough time?

15:51:39 2 MR. DEVLIN: That's perfect, Judge.

15:51:40 3 MR. ORR: Oh, yeah. That's great. Sure.

15:51:41 4 THE COURT: And I'll hear closing summations at  
15:51:44 5 4 o'clock.

15:51:44 6 MR. ORR: As always, Your Honor, you made a perfect  
15:51:48 7 ruling.

15:51:48 8 THE COURT: I know. It's uncanny, isn't it?

15:51:50 9 MR. ORR: That may not be what I tell the  
15:51:53 10 Fifth Circuit.

15:51:53 11 THE COURT: I think you'll say something else to them  
15:51:55 12 the next time you have an opportunity. I don't know when that  
15:51:58 13 will be. Court's in recess.

15:52:01 14 MR. DEVLIN: Judge, before me -- I'm sorry. Before  
15:52:04 15 you leave, may -- we would like to excuse Mr. Courtney so he  
15:52:07 16 can return to where he's being --

15:52:09 17 MR. ORR: Fine with us, Your Honor.

15:52:10 18 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, Your Honor

15:52:10 19 THE COURT: And you had previously asked about  
15:52:13 20 Agent Mullen, I believe, and the defendant wanted him retained  
15:52:17 21 also in case the defendant wanted to call him.

15:52:19 22 MR. ORR: Yeah. We don't have any problems with  
15:52:21 23 that. We decided not put him back.

15:52:23 24 THE COURT: Since both sides have rested and closed  
15:52:25 25 without objection from either party, either side can release

15:52:28 1 any witnesses that they may have here. Mr. Orr, you're in  
15:52:31 2 agreement with that?

15:52:32 3 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor.

15:52:32 4 THE COURT: Mr. Devlin?

15:52:33 5 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

15:52:35 6 THE COURT: All right.

15:52:37 7 (Recess)

15:52:37 8 (Open Court, Defendant present)

16:01:52 9 THE COURT: One brief matter. The Government and the  
16:02:04 10 defendant previously filed under seal on July 31, 2011 the  
16:02:09 11 agreed stipulation of facts and evidence, and I allowed it to  
16:02:13 12 be filed under seal at that time. I have now admitted that  
16:02:18 13 stipulation of facts and evidence into the record as of this  
16:02:24 14 morning in Open Court. I did not seal it. I just want to make  
16:02:30 15 sure the parties understand that that constitutes evidence at  
16:02:35 16 this point and is in the record and is not sealed because we  
16:02:40 17 have had a request for a copy of it that I intend to honor,  
16:02:46 18 unless either one of you gives me some very good reasons why it  
16:02:52 19 is not public testimony at this point.

16:02:55 20 MR. DEVLIN: No, sir. We admitted it today with the  
16:02:57 21 understanding that it would be unsealed as evidence.

16:02:59 22 THE COURT: Mr. Orr?

16:03:01 23 MR. ORR: Well, we would like to have it continue to  
16:03:04 24 be sealed, Your Honor.

16:03:05 25 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to continue it

16:03:08 1 sealed. Ms. Jones, the clerk's office, can provide copies of  
16:03:10 2 the agreed stipulation of facts in evidence because I do not  
16:03:16 3 find that it contains anything that will compromise any  
16:03:21 4 victims. It is well-drafted, it is circumspect, and it is  
16:03:27 5 public record.

16:03:28 6 So at this time, Mr. Devlin, I'll hear from the  
16:03:31 7 Government for ten minutes. You may reserve part of your time  
16:03:35 8 if you desire for rebuttal, but you may proceed with summation.

16:03:40 9 **GOVERNMENT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT**

16:03:40 10 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I will  
16:03:41 11 reserve just a very short period of time for rebuttal.

16:03:46 12 Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court,  
16:03:47 13 Counsel, Your Honor. In this case I am going to focus  
16:03:51 14 primarily on the interstate nexus elements, since that is  
16:03:54 15 really the only one in dispute here.

16:03:56 16 The first two elements of the offense -- offenses, I  
16:04:00 17 should say -- and, again, I'm going to argue them together only  
16:04:02 18 because they are all production of child pornography in  
16:04:04 19 counts 1 through 10. Essentially there are three elements to  
16:04:07 20 each of those offenses.

16:04:08 21 First, that an actual minor; that is, a real person  
16:04:10 22 who is less than 18 years old, was depicted. The stipulation  
16:04:13 23 clearly establishes that at the time that these video exhibits  
16:04:17 24 were produced, all of the minors identified as victims; namely,  
16:04:22 25 Jane Doe Number 1, Jane Doe Number 2, and Jane Doe Number 3

16:04:26 1 were all under the age of 18.

16:04:28 2           Secondly, and the -- I think this is a good reminder  
16:04:31 3 of what this offense is. It's really not the actual  
16:04:34 4 production, but simply the use, persuasion, inducement,  
16:04:38 5 enticement, or coercion of a minor to take part in sexually  
16:04:41 6 explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual  
16:04:44 7 depiction of the conduct. That's clearly been established as  
16:04:48 8 well by the stipulation.

16:04:49 9           It's been established that the defendant is the adult  
16:04:52 10 male who is seen and/or heard in all of the videos; that it is  
16:04:57 11 his arm and his body parts that are seen in those videos; that  
16:05:01 12 he was the only male in -- depicted in the videos; that he was  
16:05:06 13 running the videos; and that he is the one who did use,  
16:05:09 14 persuade, induce, and entice the minors to take part in the  
16:05:14 15 deplorable sexually explicit conduct that is depicted in those  
16:05:17 16 videos.

16:05:18 17           So I think the first and second elements are more  
16:05:21 18 than established beyond a reasonable doubt by the agreed  
16:05:24 19 stipulation.

16:05:25 20           My focus will be on the third element, which is that  
16:05:27 21 the visual depiction was mailed or actually transported in  
16:05:32 22 interstate or foreign commerce. There's not a *mens rea* aspect  
16:05:36 23 to that because that is a federal jurisdictional element. It  
16:05:38 24 still needs to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. But as the  
16:05:40 25 Court is well aware, the Court can take into account both

16:05:44 1 direct and circumstantial evidence.

16:05:46 2           And in this case the Government is admittedly relying  
16:05:48 3 a lot on circumstantial evidence. We did not have a seizure of  
16:05:52 4 child pornography from the defendant, which is a very unusual  
16:05:55 5 circumstance in these types of cases, so we have had to  
16:05:58 6 establish the -- that element by other means.

16:06:01 7           But, first, the stipulation itself, as I argued a few  
16:06:06 8 minutes ago, is more than sufficient to show -- I'm going to,  
16:06:10 9 again, refer to them as the video exhibits as they are  
16:06:13 10 expressed in the stipulations, because they are -- it's really  
16:06:17 11 all or nothing to a great extent.

16:06:19 12           All of those visual depictions were found outside of  
16:06:22 13 Texas in the course of other investigations. That's  
16:06:25 14 established by the stipulation. It was also agreed in the  
16:06:28 15 stipulation that all of those videos are on the Internet and  
16:06:31 16 have been for some time. I think Mr. Courtney's testimony  
16:06:35 17 reinforces that fact. He said that he found at least the video  
16:06:39 18 charge in Count 1 on the Internet prior to seeing it at the  
16:06:43 19 defendant's house in Florida in 2008.

16:06:46 20           In addition, there is a lot of other evidence, Judge,  
16:06:49 21 that is probably more subtle that does show that the  
16:06:51 22 defendant -- excuse me, that the these videos were -- were  
16:06:55 23 transported in interstate and foreign commerce.

16:06:58 24           First of all, as Kerry Jenkins testified and even as  
16:07:02 25 young A.D. testified, they moved the -- the defendant moved

16:07:07 1 extensively over a period of time, starting at least as early  
16:07:10 2 as 1999 and continuing until almost the time that he was  
16:07:14 3 arrested, moved extensively around the country. He lived in  
16:07:18 4 Texas, lived in Florida, lived in California, lived in Georgia,  
16:07:22 5 lived in Ohio. And each of those times he transported all of  
16:07:25 6 his computer and video equipment.

16:07:27 7           Secondly, the defendant designed the computer system  
16:07:30 8 himself, as testified to by Kerry Jenkins.

16:07:33 9           Third, she said that he uploaded and stored videos on  
16:07:36 10 his computer. So he took the time, even ten years ago or so,  
16:07:40 11 to upload videos that were produced onto computer. He also  
16:07:48 12 used video editing software. And as you saw in one of the  
16:07:51 13 video exhibits -- I believe it might have been 5-1 -- there was  
16:07:55 14 some editing and some -- there's a lot of music on some of  
16:08:00 15 those videos. Whether he actually did that or not, we cannot  
16:08:03 16 directly establish. However, the circumstantial evidence  
16:08:08 17 certainly shows that he is probably responsible for that.

16:08:10 18           And one of the other things is that he was pretty  
16:08:13 19 much an avid videographer. Probably went beyond what most  
16:08:17 20 people did. He not only videotaped a lot of innocuous  
16:08:20 21 activities involving Jane Doe Number 2, which is depicted in  
16:08:24 22 Government Exhibit 11, but he also had an extensive array, it  
16:08:29 23 seems, of spy cameras. Kerry Jenkins testified about the  
16:08:32 24 lipstick camera and what she called the button camera that she  
16:08:36 25 saw that the defendant had. Now, reportedly he was going to

16:08:39 1 use that to film himself motorcycling, but clearly that could  
16:08:45 2 have -- could have been used for other purposes.

16:08:47 3 Kenneth Courtney also testified to the defendant's  
16:08:49 4 use of hidden cameras. He saw one in an Ajax container and  
16:08:53 5 another one in a spray bottle -- very small, very  
16:08:56 6 inconspicuous.

16:08:58 7 So the defendant has -- is quite an expert  
16:09:01 8 videographer and is obviously one who wants to do a lot of  
16:09:06 9 videotaping and presumably would want to use that for both  
16:09:09 10 legitimate and illegitimate purposes. I think the  
16:09:13 11 circumstantial evidence shows that.

16:09:16 12 Regarding Mr. Courtney, he's longtime friends with  
16:09:19 13 the defendant. He's not a jailhouse snitch. He's not coming  
16:09:22 14 here because he had a recent encounter with the defendant. He  
16:09:25 15 was friends with him for a long time. Knew him both socially  
16:09:28 16 and professionally. He's serving a sentence for child  
16:09:30 17 pornography himself. In this case, birds of a feather flock  
16:09:34 18 together.

16:09:34 19 At some point they learned of their mutual interest  
16:09:37 20 in child pornography. I wish I could -- Mr. Courtney could  
16:09:39 21 have explained that better, because I can't frankly imagine how  
16:09:42 22 such a conversation would even come up. But apparently it did  
16:09:45 23 at some point.

16:09:46 24 Mr. Courtney saw the tent video, which is really  
16:09:49 25 Government's Exhibits 1-1 and 1-2, frankly, together off of the

16:09:54 1 defendant's computer in Florida. That's the only video that we  
16:09:57 2 have pretty direct testimony about in terms of being  
16:10:00 3 transported interstate, because now we have that video being  
16:10:02 4 produced in Texas and now those videos are in the State of  
16:10:06 5 Florida as late as 2008. I think there's a good inference that  
16:10:11 6 the child pornography collection that the defendant had was  
16:10:15 7 also transported with him.

16:10:16 8           And on that point, Judge, Mr. Courtney testified that  
16:10:18 9 the defendant had an extensive child pornography collection.  
16:10:22 10 He traded with others. In fact, his trading system was such  
16:10:25 11 that he would use -- he would put out very small snippets of  
16:10:30 12 videos that he had and then, apparently, in trying to get  
16:10:34 13 others to make more child pornography for him, whatever that  
16:10:37 14 meant, either make it themselves or get some more to send to  
16:10:40 15 him, he would then send the longer series of the videos.

16:10:44 16           I think it's pretty clear that child pornography --  
16:10:48 17 this child pornography collection that Mr. Courtney himself had  
16:10:51 18 and the defendant had were valuable commodities. This is  
16:10:54 19 contraband. It's kind of like drugs. Mr. Diehl was using it  
16:10:59 20 as a thing of value because he was sending it out to folks who  
16:11:02 21 wanted to have it traded, who wanted to have some more, and who  
16:11:05 22 wanted to have the entire series and not just a little  
16:11:10 23 snippet.

16:11:11 24           So I think the circumstantial evidence is such that  
16:11:12 25 it is beyond what is in the stipulation, that he would maintain

16:11:14 1 all of the video exhibits that he had produced on his computer  
16:11:18 2 since those would be especially valuable. Those were personal  
16:11:21 3 experiences that he had -- and I hate to call them experiences,  
16:11:25 4 but that is the best term I could come up with at the moment --  
16:11:28 5 and not just somebody else's experiences that he would then be  
16:11:31 6 able to trade. These were his own. These would be especially  
16:11:35 7 valuable. This was his own doing. This is something that he  
16:11:38 8 produced, that he made, that he knows the source of, and that  
16:11:41 9 he would want to have -- that he would want to experience  
16:11:45 10 himself repeatedly and that he would want to send out to  
16:11:48 11 others.

16:11:48 12 And as it was testified to by Agent Mullen, the tent  
16:11:54 13 series videos, which is the videos in count 1 and the c-baby  
16:11:58 14 series videos, which would have been videos in counts 2  
16:12:00 15 through 10 were known and popular child pornography series.  
16:12:05 16 In fact, Agent Mullen is still getting calls about them turning  
16:12:08 17 up in other places.

16:12:10 18 So obviously the defendant knew that these were  
16:12:14 19 valuable. So he wanted to maintain that. There would be no  
16:12:17 20 reason that he would destroy those. No reason that he would  
16:12:19 21 not transport those with him when he went into other places.

16:12:23 22 In addition, the defendant used the Internet relay  
16:12:25 23 chat channels that Mr. Courtney testified was a method used by  
16:12:29 24 a very, very small percentage of people and a small percentage  
16:12:33 25 of users.

16:12:34 1 Again, Mr. Diehl's knowledge of -- he's a  
16:12:37 2 knowledgeable computer expert. He has a homemade computer. It  
16:12:42 3 was something he was very sensitive to that this -- his child  
16:12:45 4 pornography collection was something to be protected, something  
16:12:48 5 to be safeguarded, something to be kept from law enforcement,  
16:12:52 6 frankly. The defendant made sure that he had the child  
16:12:55 7 pornography well encrypted, that it was safeguarded, and that  
16:12:59 8 he took special care with it.

16:13:02 9 On top of that, Mr. Courtney testified that he found  
16:13:06 10 at least the count 1 videos and possibly more on the eMule  
16:13:09 11 network that he described -- that he described as a very  
16:13:14 12 extensive worldwide network. As the stipulation states, not  
16:13:17 13 only were all the video exhibits before you found outside of  
16:13:20 14 the State of Texas in the course of other investigations. They  
16:13:24 15 were also stipulated to be on the Internet.

16:13:26 16 And, finally, the defendant did -- apparently did  
16:13:29 17 destroy some videos, at least according to him, as far as  
16:13:33 18 Mr. Courtney testified, but only when he was being investigated  
16:13:36 19 in another sexual molestation investigation.

16:13:40 20 Mr. Courtney was not able to describe how much of  
16:13:44 21 that he had destroyed, but Mr. Courtney was able to describe  
16:13:47 22 that there was no other occasion that he was aware of where the  
16:13:49 23 defendant would destroy or did destroy any of this child  
16:13:52 24 pornography collection. And, again, as I mentioned earlier,  
16:13:55 25 this is valuable property to the defendant. There would be no

16:13:58 1 reason why he would ever destroy any of this unless it came to  
16:14:01 2 a very serious circumstance, such as being investigated and  
16:14:05 3 potentially being caught.

16:14:06 4           And, finally, one of the more subtle aspects of  
16:14:09 5 Mr. Courtney's testimony was that, when the defendant was  
16:14:13 6 showing the tent series video to Mr. Courtney, there was --  
16:14:17 7 Mr. Courtney apparently had mentioned that he had seen it  
16:14:19 8 before -- couldn't believe that the defendant had produced it.  
16:14:22 9 There was nothing mentioned by the defendant that he was  
16:14:24 10 surprised that Mr. Courtney had seen it, that he was shocked or  
16:14:27 11 dismayed or that there was anything indicating that the  
16:14:31 12 defendant did not know that it was already out there on the  
16:14:33 13 Internet. Very subtle. Very circumstantial. But I think it  
16:14:38 14 is an important bit of evidence that, taken in combination with  
16:14:43 15 all the other evidence, taken in combination especially with  
16:14:46 16 the facts that have been stipulated to in the stipulation, I  
16:14:49 17 think that that just simply adds to the great weight of the  
16:14:53 18 evidence showing interstate nexus.

16:14:55 19           So based on the evidence in this case, based on the  
16:14:57 20 stipulation, based on the testimony before you, we urge that  
16:15:00 21 you find the defendant guilty of Counts 1 through 10 of the  
16:15:03 22 second superseding indictment.

16:15:06 23           THE COURT: Mr. Orr -- well, let me ask you a  
16:15:08 24 question before Mr. Orr because he might want to address this.  
16:15:14 25 Mr. Orr said in his argument on the Rule 29 motion that --

16:15:20 1 words to the effect that it would be unfair to convict this  
16:15:25 2 defendant if there was no evidence that the defendant knew that  
16:15:29 3 the visual depictions were going to be mailed or transported in  
16:15:34 4 interstate or foreign commerce. I believe I heard that your  
16:15:37 5 argument was that knowledge and *mens rea* is not an element of  
16:15:41 6 the offense; is that correct?

16:15:43 7 MR. DEVLIN: As this is charged, yes, Your Honor.  
16:15:45 8 It's not an element of this particular offense. If we charge  
16:15:48 9 him with transportation or distribution, I would concede that  
16:15:52 10 it is.

16:15:52 11 THE COURT: It's the Government's argument, then, if  
16:15:57 12 there is child pornography and it moves in interstate commerce,  
16:16:05 13 whether the defendant or a defendant as charged in this  
16:16:09 14 indictment admitted to move in interstate commerce or not, that  
16:16:13 15 is enough, the mere fact that it did? Is that the  
16:16:15 16 Government's --

16:16:15 17 MR. DEVLIN: That is correct, Your Honor. That it  
16:16:17 18 did obviously, subsequent to the production, which I think it  
16:16:20 19 can't move before the production. So yes.

16:16:22 20 THE COURT: All right.

16:16:23 21 MR. DEVLIN: Just like a firearm, Your Honor.

16:16:24 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Orr?

16:16:29 23 **DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT**

16:16:29 24 MR. ORR: I think the way this particular stretch  
16:16:34 25 would read, 2251, there is a requirement. It's not merely a

16:16:38 1 jurisdictional requirement. But it is a requirement that there  
16:16:41 2 be knowledge. It's not something you can graft on to it as you  
16:16:46 3 can a firearm statute, but there has to be knowledge that the  
16:16:49 4 person intended that it moved in interstate commerce. That's  
16:16:51 5 one of the first prongs in there. And then the final line of  
16:16:56 6 that is or if it has been moved, it has been transported.

16:17:01 7           And so one of the things I'm saying is that that  
16:17:04 8 statute, if it does away with the scienter requirement, is  
16:17:09 9 unconstitutional. And, second, that the cases that deal with  
16:17:17 10 the possibility that -- of convicting someone because they made  
16:17:20 11 a home video that may be of pornographic nature involving  
16:17:25 12 children and that later on it is moved in interstate commerce  
16:17:28 13 without their permission or consent or knowledge, but they are  
16:17:31 14 then guilty of a federal crime, is not the law -- should not be  
16:17:35 15 the law under our constitution.

16:17:37 16           And as applied to the facts in this particular case,  
16:17:41 17 the Government has heaped one circumstance after another into  
16:17:46 18 the Courtroom here and tries to heap them on top of each other  
16:17:49 19 to get to the point to say that, Well, it got moved in  
16:17:54 20 interstate commerce; therefore, Mr. Diehl must have done it.

16:17:57 21           Now, if they think it's of no concern that Mr. Diehl  
16:18:01 22 moved this stuff in interstate commerce, they certainly have  
16:18:06 23 spent a fair amount of time trying to prove that he had  
16:18:09 24 something to do with moving it. So I think that they have  
16:18:12 25 implicitly admitted that they need to show that Mr. Diehl in

16:18:16 1 fact knowingly transported any child pornography in interstate  
16:18:21 2 commerce, which they have failed to do.

16:18:23 3 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this: In looking at  
16:18:25 4 Section 2251 ...

16:18:26 5 MR. ORR: Yes, sir. Let me get it up here.

16:18:43 6 THE COURT: Let me know when you have it.

16:18:45 7 MR. ORR: I've got it right here.

16:18:47 8 THE COURT: All right. Follow with me.

16:18:50 9 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:18:50 10 THE COURT: I'm looking at only the interstate part.

16:18:53 11 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:18:54 12 THE COURT: It appears to me three ways that it can  
16:18:56 13 be proved by the Government.

16:18:58 14 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:18:58 15 THE COURT: One, if such person knows or has reason  
16:19:01 16 to know that such visual depiction will be transported or  
16:19:04 17 transmitted using any means or facility of interstate or  
16:19:08 18 foreign commerce or affecting interstate or foreign commerce --

16:19:13 19 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:19:15 20 THE COURT: -- or mailed.

16:19:18 21 Then, two, if that visual depiction was produced or  
16:19:23 22 transmitted using materials that had been mailed, shipped, or  
16:19:26 23 transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by  
16:19:30 24 any means, including computer, or if such visual depiction has  
16:19:37 25 been -- has actually been transported or transmitted using any

16:19:41 1 means or facility of interstate or foreign commerce in or  
16:19:45 2 affecting interstate or foreign commerce or mailed.

16:19:48 3 The third way of proving the jurisdictional base  
16:19:52 4 appears to not have a scienter requirement in it.

16:19:58 5 MR. ORR: That's my point. Yes, sir.

16:20:00 6 THE COURT: So your point is, it doesn't have the  
16:20:01 7 requirement and that causes it to be constitutionally infirm?

16:20:06 8 MR. ORR: I've got two points here, I guess.

16:20:08 9 THE COURT: All right.

16:20:08 10 MR. ORR: If that's the reading of the statute, that  
16:20:10 11 section of that statute, then that's unconstitutional. It does  
16:20:16 12 not comply with our Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence.

16:20:19 13 But an alternative reading of the statute is that,  
16:20:23 14 because the drafters of the statute talk about, if such person  
16:20:24 15 knows or has reason to know that such visual depiction will be  
16:20:28 16 transported, they have imposed a scienter requirement on the  
16:20:31 17 interstate transportation. And then it seems to me  
16:20:35 18 illogical -- if I can argue the other side of the coin here, it  
16:20:39 19 seems illogical to me that they would then all of a sudden do  
16:20:45 20 away with the scienter requirement on the third way that we  
16:20:48 21 could have interstate commerce.

16:20:50 22 I think what they intended there was to say, well,  
16:20:53 23 if -- if the Government can prove that it was moved in  
16:20:58 24 interstate commerce by the defendant, that he actually moved  
16:21:01 25 it, then that shows that he intended to do it. But they

16:21:04 1 haven't done that in this particular case.

16:21:06 2 I guess my third argument is that the evidence fails  
16:21:09 3 to convict Mr. Diehl of either knowing it's going to be moved  
16:21:14 4 in interstate commerce or intentionally moving in interstate  
16:21:17 5 commerce. And then if the Government is intending to rely on  
16:21:21 6 the argument, well, it got moved in interstate commerce, but  
16:21:25 7 that's unconstitutional.

16:21:30 8 Is that -- and so what they have here is, they  
16:21:34 9 have -- they have gone to the trouble of bringing in  
16:21:38 10 Mr. Diehl's ex-wife to prove that stuff got moved. He went  
16:21:42 11 around. They went to Ohio. The computers got moved. They  
16:21:48 12 talked about video cameras and such as that. They talk -- they  
16:21:54 13 talk about a lot of stuff that creates a suspicion. They have  
16:21:59 14 never, to me, based on the evidence that we have, heard today  
16:22:01 15 in this courtroom and proved beyond a reasonable doubt that  
16:22:03 16 Mr. Diehl moved anything in interstate commerce, that he had  
16:22:10 17 any intention that it be moved in interstate commerce. Their  
16:22:14 18 big argument is that it was found --

16:22:18 19 THE COURT: In interstate commerce.

16:22:19 20 MR. ORR: -- it's found on computers across state  
16:22:22 21 lines. That's their argument. And we would urge that it  
16:22:26 22 being --

16:22:26 23 THE COURT: How would it have gotten there?

16:22:30 24 MR. ORR: Well, I don't know. But in some cases the  
16:22:33 25 Government may be able to prove -- they can prove through FTP

16:22:37 1 logs and through subpoena of records from the various Internet  
16:22:42 2 service where they can prove through the computers that they  
16:22:45 3 have apparently have seized, that they found these videos in  
16:22:48 4 these computers in whatever -- whatever part of the country or  
16:22:52 5 the world. They can prove where it came from. They could go  
16:22:54 6 to the trouble to show some history by going through the -- who  
16:23:00 7 knows how it got there?

16:23:01 8           And I think they have to do more than to say, well,  
16:23:04 9 it's found over there in some other state. Now, the Government  
16:23:07 10 brings in Mr. Courtney to say that in Florida, Mr. Diehl showed  
16:23:11 11 him one of these videos. And, of course, he doesn't even  
16:23:15 12 really mention that. This whole story about the fight and  
16:23:19 13 going over to Mr. Diehl's house, it's not even in the -- he  
16:23:21 14 admits he didn't tell them about that in November when he's  
16:23:25 15 talking about it. He didn't even bring this up until his  
16:23:29 16 lawyer apparently tells this to Mr. Mullen.

16:23:31 17           I don't know if whether Mr. Mullen called him or how  
16:23:35 18 it came about exactly, but when he's talking to these agents  
16:23:38 19 about produce -- when they first show up as Cyber Crimes people  
16:23:41 20 in Florida, they show up in his house and start talking about  
16:23:44 21 producers. He doesn't mention Mr. Diehl because he wants to  
16:23:47 22 tell the Court, Well, I am not that kind of guy. Well, we know  
16:23:51 23 he is that kind of guy because here is in Court testifying  
16:23:54 24 about his supposed great friend David Diehl. So we know he is  
16:23:59 25 that kind of guy, that he would come and testify.

16:24:01 1 So his testimony about this incident in Florida is  
16:24:03 2 totally un-credible. Number one, he may not be a jailhouse  
16:24:07 3 snitch, as Mr. Devlin referred to him, but to use the common  
16:24:13 4 parlance of the street, he sure is a snitch. And so he's doing  
16:24:18 5 it for the common reason that people snitch, to get himself a  
16:24:21 6 better deal. He got himself 15 years. He would not like to do  
16:24:29 7 15 years, and who could blame him for that? Nobody wants to do  
16:24:32 8 any amount of time.

16:24:34 9 So what he has done is, I don't know if it is because  
16:24:37 10 of the drug usage or because he has had time to sit around in  
16:24:39 11 the Florida prison system and dream up some way to add value.  
16:24:45 12 He wouldn't admit that he would have to add value to the  
16:24:48 13 Government's case to get his time fixed. Well, I'm just here  
16:24:50 14 to tell the truth.

16:24:51 15 Well, clearly if he came over here and said nothing  
16:24:54 16 that helped the Government's case, Mr. Devlin's phone call, if  
16:24:57 17 any, to the Florida prosecutor would be without much enthusiasm  
16:25:03 18 in seeking the time reduction for Mr. Courtney.

16:25:06 19 So I think what you've got here is certainly some  
16:25:11 20 suspicion that the Government has created, perhaps with  
16:25:14 21 Mr. Courtney, but they have not -- taking Mr. Courtney's  
16:25:18 22 testimony and all of the other testimony that they put on, they  
16:25:21 23 have not proven the elements of the offense beyond a reasonable  
16:25:24 24 doubt.

16:25:25 25 And I think that they haven't shown their

16:25:28 1 jurisdictional requirement insofar as just because some of  
16:25:31 2 these videos are found on -- on other computers and other  
16:25:35 3 states.

16:25:37 4 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this: If there is  
16:25:42 5 no -- if you were wrong on the constitutionality of the third  
16:25:46 6 clause of the interstate nexus portion of Section 2251, has not  
16:25:55 7 the Government proved that element by showing that it has shown  
16:26:01 8 up in other states? If it reads, "If such visual depiction has  
16:26:09 9 actually been transported or transmitted using any means or  
16:26:13 10 facility of interstate or foreign commerce or in any way  
16:26:17 11 affecting interstate or foreign commerce or mailed," and they  
16:26:20 12 seized the videos in other states -- and that testimony is at  
16:26:25 13 least one of them in another country -- and that clause is  
16:26:32 14 constitutional, has not the Government satisfied its burden?

16:26:36 15 MR. ORR: If it's constitutional?

16:26:39 16 THE COURT: Yes.

16:26:40 17 MR. ORR: Well, I will never admit that, Your Honor.

16:26:42 18 THE COURT: Well, you don't have to admit it's  
16:26:44 19 constitutional. I understand your argument. But if we take it  
16:26:48 20 at face value, has not the Government satisfied its burden of  
16:26:53 21 showing that this material, as charged in the indictment, moved  
16:26:57 22 in interstate commerce if the Government has proved that it  
16:27:01 23 originated in Texas and was seized in another state or another  
16:27:05 24 country?

16:27:06 25 MR. ORR: I don't even want to concede that moving in

16:27:11 1 interstate commerce is shown by the fact that it may be found  
16:27:14 2 in another state. I think there are cases that indicate --  
16:27:17 3 Your Honor, I think it's just not enough. They've got to show  
16:27:22 4 some method or means that it got across. It's not like a gun  
16:27:25 5 that we found --

16:27:26 6 THE COURT: Why is it not like a gun?

16:27:27 7 MR. ORR: Well, because a gun is a physical item.  
16:27:30 8 It's got to travel somehow or other, in somebody's pocket from  
16:27:34 9 Connecticut, from the Colt Arms factory to Texas.

16:27:38 10 THE COURT: World's changed.

16:27:41 11 MR. ORR: Well --

16:27:42 12 THE COURT: Things travel through the air.

16:27:44 13 MR. ORR: Yes, sir. Little electrons. They're just  
16:27:49 14 little electrons. You can't transport a gun in the form of  
16:27:53 15 electrons.

16:27:54 16 THE COURT: But you can transport images of the gun  
16:27:57 17 by electrons. And in this case the charge is not that the  
16:28:01 18 minors were transported, but images of the minors were  
16:28:04 19 transported. Can that not be done by electrons?

16:28:12 20 MR. ORR: Well, I suppose it can be, yes. I think  
16:28:14 21 that's what I just said. Electrons move the world these days.

16:28:20 22 THE COURT: I understand your argument. But you will  
16:28:22 23 admit that the Government has proved that these items were in  
16:28:26 24 fact found outside of the State of Texas?

16:28:29 25 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:28:31 1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Devlin, you may rebut.  
16:28:39 2 And what I would like for you to address is the  
16:28:47 3 constitutionality of the third clause.

16:28:49 4 MR. ORR: May I just clarify one thing, Your Honor?

16:28:52 5 THE COURT: You may.

16:28:52 6 MR. ORR: The language of statute is transporting.  
16:28:55 7 It is not found. It's transporting. And just because  
16:28:58 8 something -- the Government --

16:28:59 9 THE COURT: I know. I didn't say that I find that it  
16:29:04 10 was transporting. I was asking you how it would have gotten  
16:29:07 11 there if it wasn't transported, and you weren't conceding  
16:29:10 12 that. All you said was that, yes, you will admit that it was  
16:29:14 13 located in another state.

16:29:16 14 MR. ORR: Yes, sir. And I think it's up to the  
16:29:18 15 Government to show how it was transported.

16:29:22 16 THE COURT: So your argument is it's not enough to  
16:29:25 17 show it got to the other state?

16:29:30 18 MR. ORR: Yeah.

16:29:31 19 THE COURT: All right.

16:29:32 20 MR. ORR: Yes, sir.

16:29:32 21 THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Devlin?

16:29:35 22 MR. DEVLIN: You want me to address what, Judge?

16:29:38 23 THE COURT: Well, what I'm interested in is the  
16:29:40 24 argument Mr. Orr made that either all three of the methods of  
16:29:45 25 proving interstate nexus require scienter or, in the event they

16:29:49 1 don't, why the third one is constitutional without such a  
16:29:53 2 requirement. I believe that's where issue is joined at this  
16:30:02 3 point. Or at least it is in my mind, and I'm the one who has  
16:30:04 4 to make a decision.

16:30:05 5 **GOVERNMENT'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENT**

16:30:05 6 MR. DEVLIN: Yes, Your Honor. And I guess I'm  
16:30:06 7 relying heavily -- and I should go back to my materials when we  
16:30:09 8 had the motions hearing, when we talked about constitutionality  
16:30:13 9 with the commerce clause. I kind of thought that was decided  
16:30:15 10 at that point. So I'm not fully prepared to address that at  
16:30:18 11 this time, other than to rely on my response to that motion to  
16:30:21 12 dismiss, which -- in which I think Mr. Orr advanced the same  
16:30:26 13 arguments, although maybe perhaps more broadly, that under the  
16:30:29 14 Commerce Clause, Sub 2251 is not constitutional.

16:30:33 15 What I'm -- I was -- what I'm prepared to address is  
16:30:37 16 the scienter requirement in which there is not a whole lot of  
16:30:41 17 case law.

16:30:42 18 THE COURT: What did you just say about the commerce  
16:30:44 19 clause?

16:30:45 20 MR. DEVLIN: Mr. Orr had filed a motion to dismiss  
16:30:47 21 the indictment based on the Commerce Clause, and you had ruled.

16:30:51 22 THE COURT: But I ruled on that with less than a  
16:30:53 23 fully developed record. That's what we always have when we  
16:30:59 24 make a preliminary ruling. I ruled that you had enough to get  
16:31:02 25 this case to trial. It was not necessarily in the inquiry,

16:31:07 1 because there's always a different slant to it after I have had  
16:31:11 2 an opportunity to hear all of the evidence other than what was  
16:31:14 3 just presented for purposes of a preliminary motion. The  
16:31:19 4 burden, we're now at reasonable doubt stage, which is different  
16:31:23 5 than earlier.

16:31:24 6 MR. DEVLIN: Right. Well, I am relying heavily on  
16:31:27 7 facts, obviously, to establish reasonable doubt. And I think  
16:31:29 8 we're trying to determine what the legal standard is, whether  
16:31:32 9 we have to show that it was transported or that he transported.  
16:31:36 10 And I -- or at least knew that he transported. And in that  
16:31:41 11 regard, Judge, there really is a dearth of case law regarding  
16:31:44 12 the particular element that we're dealing with.

16:31:47 13 In one -- in Supreme Court Case 1994, *United States*  
16:31:52 14 *v. X-Citement Video* at 513 U.S. 64 -- it's, again, a 1994  
16:32:00 15 case -- the Supreme Court in the context of 2251 held that  
16:32:03 16 there is not a scienter requirement as to the age of victims.  
16:32:07 17 That the defendant did not have to know the age of the  
16:32:10 18 victims.

16:32:11 19 In addition, Judge, in *United States v. Feola*, which  
16:32:14 20 is another Supreme Court case -- that's from 1975. It was not  
16:32:18 21 in the child pornography context. It's at 420 US 671. I  
16:32:24 22 believe it was in the context of the federal -- the assault  
16:32:27 23 statute of federal employees. I believe the issue was whether  
16:32:30 24 or not the defendant in that case had to know the person was a  
16:32:34 25 federal employee, and the Supreme Court basically said no

16:32:37 1 because of the existence of the fact that confers federal  
16:32:40 2 jurisdiction need not be one in the mind of the actor at the  
16:32:43 3 time he perpetrates the act made criminal by the federal  
16:32:45 4 statute.

16:32:46 5           So, based on that case -- I don't have one of the  
16:32:49 6 firearms cases in front of me, but the firearms cases  
16:32:55 7 addressing the interstate commerce element in the firearms  
16:32:58 8 cases would certainly be appropriate here and apply to this  
16:33:01 9 particular element because, again, there is a provision in 2251  
16:33:07 10 in the context -- and 2252 in the context of transporting or  
16:33:13 11 distributing, that the defendant did transport or distribute  
16:33:15 12 those things and that he would have to have done that in  
16:33:20 13 interstate or foreign commerce.

16:33:21 14           Whereas, in this particular case, the element of  
16:33:24 15 interstate nexus is just simply conferring federal jurisdiction  
16:33:30 16 over the defendant's actions in using and enticing and  
16:33:32 17 persuading minors to engage in sexually explicit conduct for  
16:33:36 18 purpose of producing a visual depiction.

16:33:39 19           So the fact that the visual depiction is produced and  
16:33:44 20 moves in interstate commerce by any means, whether it's by the  
16:33:47 21 defendant or otherwise, is simply the element that establishes  
16:33:51 22 federal jurisdiction. And it does not need to be shown that  
16:33:54 23 the defendant himself did it because, if that were the case,  
16:33:57 24 then we could probably charge him with transporting and  
16:34:00 25 distributing on top of producing since those are separate acts.

16:34:04 1 So, again -- again, the gravamen of the offense is  
16:34:08 2 the enticement, use, persuasion of a minor to engage in  
16:34:10 3 sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual  
16:34:13 4 depiction. That's why there are other elements regarding the  
16:34:17 5 interstate nexus that would apply, that the materials used to  
16:34:20 6 produce traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. There is  
16:34:25 7 no requirement that the defendant have transported those  
16:34:27 8 materials. And then the element that the defendant -- excuse  
16:34:31 9 me -- the element that the visual depictions actually moved in  
16:34:35 10 interstate and foreign commerce do not need to be accomplished  
16:34:40 11 by the defendant because Congress would have been quite clear  
16:34:43 12 in saying that he would have had to have transported them in  
16:34:46 13 order to make that requirement on the Government.

16:34:48 14 So I believe that the scienter requirement that's  
16:34:53 15 discussed in the *X-Citement Video* case regarding age is  
16:34:57 16 particularly appropriate. And also in the *Feola* case, that  
16:35:02 17 describes the existence of the fact that federal jurisdiction  
16:35:06 18 does not need to be known to the defendant at the time that he  
16:35:11 19 perpetrates the criminal act.

16:35:12 20 So, again, with operating under a dearth of case law  
16:35:16 21 and, if the Court wishes, I would be happy to submit a  
16:35:20 22 post-trial brief that would expound upon that further, if the  
16:35:22 23 Court feels that would be helpful.

16:35:25 24 MR. ORR: We'd be happy to do that, too. And it  
16:35:28 25 might be fun, especially for young Mr. Crawford here.

16:35:36 1 THE COURT: Well, I don't know what cases you're  
16:35:40 2 going to come up with that I haven't heard from you on because  
16:35:45 3 I am reasonably convinced that there are not a lot of cases out  
16:35:52 4 there based on what I did to prepare for the pretrial hearing  
16:35:58 5 in this case and this. So my question is: Other than getting  
16:36:04 6 from both of you what would amount to additional written  
16:36:07 7 argument, am I likely to get anything that would shed any  
16:36:13 8 authoritative or precedential light on this issue other than  
16:36:17 9 what I have already heard, because I am not convinced that  
16:36:22 10 there are cases that neither one of you have shown to me here  
16:36:26 11 before that are out there.

16:36:28 12 MR. DEVLIN: There is no cases that I've found,  
16:36:30 13 Judge, that are directly on point on this particular element of  
16:36:34 14 2251(a).

16:36:35 15 MR. ORR: I think that's fair.

16:36:37 16 MR. DEVLIN: So it would be by analogy, Judge.

16:36:40 17 MR. ORR: May I say something additionally?  
16:36:43 18 Something brief?

16:36:44 19 THE COURT: Yes.

16:36:44 20 MR. ORR: The *Runyan* case actually deals with a  
16:36:46 21 situation where Runyan was telling the people, the young  
16:36:50 22 women -- he says, I'm going to put this on the Internet. He  
16:36:53 23 told them.

16:36:53 24 THE COURT: I'm familiar with the factual difference  
16:36:55 25 in *Runyan*, but I think the Circuit -- the Circuit in *Runyan*

16:37:02 1 compared *Runyan* to *Carroll* out of what I think is the First  
16:37:08 2 Circuit and found the fact situations very similar and agreed  
16:37:14 3 with *Carroll*. But I think the clause that was being discussed  
16:37:22 4 and where issue was joined in *Runyan* was the first clause of  
16:37:26 5 the interstate nexus in 2251(a), that the person knows or has  
16:37:31 6 reason to know that the visual depiction will be transported or  
16:37:36 7 transmitted, et cetera, et cetera. Because there the evidence  
16:37:43 8 was that the defendant made a statement to the victim that I  
16:37:48 9 intend to transmit this, if I am recalling it.

16:37:52 10 MR. ORR: That's correct, Your Honor. I've got it  
16:37:54 11 right here.

16:37:55 12 THE COURT: But I don't think this third clause was  
16:37:57 13 discussed.

16:37:59 14 MR. DEVLIN: I don't believe it was because it didn't  
16:38:01 15 get to that point. And right --

16:38:03 16 THE COURT: So I get it in the first instance because  
16:38:06 17 I don't think there is another -- I don't think there's a case  
16:38:09 18 on the third clause of the interstate nexus portion of 2251.

16:38:14 19 MR. DEVLIN: Not squarely, no, Judge.

16:38:17 20 THE COURT: Then I am not sure that just additional  
16:38:21 21 analogies other than what you have presented are going to shed  
16:38:29 22 any more light on what I am going to do with the third clause  
16:38:31 23 of 2251(a).

16:38:34 24 MR. ORR: We have a law review article -- Law  
16:38:35 25 Review 21 article that deals with "The Jurisdictional Limits of

16:38:38 1 Federal Criminal Child Pornography Law," if Your Honor would  
16:38:41 2 like that.

16:38:42 3 THE COURT: Well, I would consider that.

16:38:43 4 MR. ORR: Would you like us to pass it up? I'll get  
16:38:46 5 you a copy, too. I'll get it for you. Just remind me.

16:39:01 6 THE COURT: All right. Here is what I am going to  
16:39:04 7 do: I need to deliberate on this since I am the trier of fact,  
16:39:12 8 and I want to review what I have in front of me. And so I am  
16:39:19 9 going to recess until 10 o'clock in the morning. And if you  
16:39:24 10 all will be back at 10 o'clock in the morning, I will announce  
16:39:30 11 my verdict at that time. I am not going to delay on this, but  
16:39:34 12 I do need some time to look over what I have and give some  
16:39:38 13 thoughts to -- give some thought to what has been presented to  
16:39:43 14 me, particularly with regard to interstate nexus.

16:39:47 15 So at this time we're going to be in recess until  
16:39:53 16 10:00 in the morning. I do not intend to entertain additional  
16:39:59 17 argument in the morning. I will look at what I have, and I  
16:40:03 18 will render a verdict at that time. Is there anything else  
16:40:07 19 that anyone wants to present to the Court this evening?

16:40:11 20 MR. DEVLIN: No, Your Honor.

16:40:11 21 MR. ORR: No, Your Honor.

16:40:12 22 THE COURT: All right. Then at this time we're in  
16:40:14 23 recess until 10 o'clock in the morning.

16:40:18 24 (End of transcript)

25

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT        )**

2 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS            )**

3       I, Arlinda Rodriguez, Official Court Reporter, United  
4 States District Court, Western District of Texas, do certify  
5 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of  
6 proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

7       I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with  
8 those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the  
9 United States

10       WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 7th day of March 2011.

11  
12                               /S/ Arlinda Rodriguez  
13                               Arlinda Rodriguez, Texas CSR 7753  
14                               Expiration Date: 12/31/2012  
15                               Official Court Reporter  
16                               United States District Court  
17                               Austin Division  
18                               200 West 8th Street, 2nd Floor  
19                               Austin, Texas 78701  
20                               (512) 916-5143  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ARLINDA L. RODRIGUEZ, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)